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## ПРЕДИСЛОВИЕ

Настоящая грамматика современного английского языка для̆ университетов Р. Кверка, С. Гринбаума, Дж. Лича и Я. Свартвика представляет собой сжатый вариант обширного труда по английской грамматике, выпущенного данными авторами в 1972 году. Выход в свет «Грамматики современного английского языка» (полного варианта) явился событием в истории английской филологии, так как по ряду теоретических предпосылок и по некоторым методам их осуществления эта грамматика отличалась от предшествующих ей трудов в области описания грамматического строя английского языка. Сами авторы предупреждают, что, будучи задумана как описание употребления современного английского языка, предлагаемая ими грамматика не может включать изложение различных взглядов на тот или иной языковой феномен или дискуссию по правомерности той или иной грамматической теории, однако это, разумеется, не означает, что в ней отсутствует определенная теоретико-методологическая позиция, без оценки и учета которой невозможно понять распределение фактического языкового материала и формы его анализа.

Исследование грамматического строя любого языка, в том числе и английского, может преследовать разные цели и иметь поэтому различную направленность. Сообразно этим целям существуют грамматики описательные и нормативные (иногда называемые «описывающими» и «предписывающими»), синхронные и исторические и т. д. Существуют, разумеется, и смешанные типы. Предлагаемая грамматика является синхронно-описательной, учитывающей, однако, нормативность анализируемых грамматических конструкций. Обратимся к тому фактическому языковому материалу, на котором был построен курс «Грамматики современного английского языка».

С шестидесятых годов нашего столетия в Лондонском университете начал осуществляться проект, целью которого было дать полное и непредвзятое описание английского язька так, как он действительно звучит в устах современного жителя Великобритании. В данном вводном очерке у нас нет возможности подробно останавливаться на методике сбора и фиксации собираемого материала для этого проекта, известного в англистике как Survey of English Usage, укажем только, что именно этот проект (а его руководителем был профессор P. Кверк) составил основной фонд того «банка данных», на который опирались авторы «Грамматики» в своих положениях и выводах.

В первой главе своей работы авторы «Грамматики» указывают, что объектом их описания является английский язык, понимаемый как общее ядро, которое находит свою практическую реализацию в различных вариантах.

Социальная обусловленность языка как общественного явления сказывается не только на его историческом развитии, но и на характере его функционального использования. Будучи достоянием коллектива, язык служит средством общения людей между собой, а также позволяет сохранять информацию о результатах многообразного опыта, накопленного человечеством в процессе его созидательного труда и познания окружающего мира.

Развитие общества и общественного производства ведет к необходимости как непосредственного (устного), так и опосредованного (письменного) типов общения, порождающего сообразно разным сферам коммуникативной деятельности различные жанрово-стилистические системы.

В своей грамматике Р. Кверк, С. Гринбаум, Дж. Лич и Я. Свартвик не дают специальной маркировки стилистических вариантов, поскольку это и не входит в задачу их труда. Однако в ряде мест они указывают на выбор конструкции в зависимости от интенции говорящего. Так, для вводных слов с модальной окраской (гл. 8, параграфы 8.47-8.49) они дают две группы - style disjuncts и attitudinal disjuncts, поясняя, что первая группа передает отношение говорящего к форме высказывания и в известной мере зависит от условий, в которых осуществляется это высказывание. Вторая группа, наоборот, показывает позицию говорящего в отношении содержания высказывания. В то время как не исключена возможность, что данный говорящий выберет для сообщения иногда национальный литературный язык, а иногда местный диалект - а, может быть, даже будет переключаться с одного национального стандарта на другой,-в целом предполагается, что данньй индивид принимает один из вышеуказанных вариантов как обычную для себя форму английской речи. Что касается вариантов, сообразных содержанию высказываемого (т. е. регистров. - В. Я.), то предполагается, скорее, что один и тот же говорящий имеет в своем распоряжении целый набор подобных вариантов (регистров) и привычно переключается на тот, который соответствует данной ситуации.

Остановились мы на этом вопросе так подробно потому, что, хотя в «Грамматике» жанрово-стилевая дифференциация языка авторами специально не выделяется, сама направленность их труда предполагает ориентацию на анализ живой речи, и в этом кроется одно из достоинств их труда. Подобная ориентация на описание современного английского живого языка не только в его письменном, но и в устном разговорном воплощении была предопределена самим ходом собирания материала и методом его оценки.

В ряде мест своего труда авторы «Грамматики» приводят (под звездочкой) неприемлемые формы и грамматические конструкции. Еще в 1970 г. в работе "Elicitation Experiments in English. Linguistic Studies in Use and Attitude" (один из томов Longman Linguistic Library) С. Гринбаум и Р. Кверк определили набор специальных тестов, оперируя которыми можно выяснить узуальность той или иной конструкции английского языка и понять условия выбора грамматико-синтаксических образований. На выбор грамматической конструкции во многих случаях влияет характер лексических единиц, но все же грамматический образец (иначе грамматическая модель) может быть выделена с достаточной четкостью. Например, когда испытуемым предлагали в предложении He hardly could sit still заменить he на they, помещение наречия в позиции между вспомогательным (модальным) и полнозначным глаголом оказывалось единственно приемлемым и, следовательно, They could hardly sit still коррелировало с порядком слов в He could hardly sit still.

Нюансы употребления учтены авторами «Грамматики», и несмотря на сжатость изложения материала достоинством их работы является осознание варьирования современного английского языка. Это создает определенный динамизм всей работы в целом и выделяет функциональную нагрузку грамматических единиц в качестве их основного назначения.
«Грамматика» Р. Кверка, С. Гринбаума, Дж. Лича и Я. Свартвика построена в строго синхронном плане. Ее внутренний динамизм создается, как мы упоминали выше, тем, что авторы много внимания уделяют функциональной стороне описываемых форм. В любом наугад взятом параграфе мы находим сразу за констатацией формы части речи или синтаксической мо-

дели анализ ее употребления (например, параграф 5.2 «Большинство прилагательных могут быть как атрибутивными, так и предикативными, однако некоторые выступают только как атрибутивные или только как предикативные»). Будучи грамматикой описательно-нормативного типа, труд Р. Кверка, С. Гринбаума, Дж. Лича и Я. Свартвика оперирует только типовыми примерами, т. е. авторы не прибегают к выборке цитаций из каких-либо классических произведений английской художественной литературы. Но, как было указано выше, примеры для «полной» грамматики современного английского языка были накоплены в процессе реализации проекта по употреблению английского языка (Survey of English Usage) и поэтому могут считаться нормативными для английского языка Великобритании, так как большие отклонения региональных вариантов (главным образом американского) авторы «Грамматики» указывают. Впрочем, они совершенно справедливо замечают, что в области грамматики подобных отклонений несоизмеримо меньше, чем в области фонетики или лексики.

Принимая традиционное деление сфер грамматики на морфологию и оинтаксис, авторы «Грамматики» дают в сжатой, но достаточно полной форме характеристику всех единиц указанных аспектов системы языка. С точки зрения теоретической можно было бы упрекнуть авторов «Грамматики» в известной разобщенности описьваемых языковых явлений - а́нализ в их труде явно преобладает над синтезом. Между тем анализ употребления языковых форм невозможен без понимания тех семантических связей, которые существуют в системе языка между отдельными ее звеньями и реализуются в процессе речевой деятельности говорящих.

Один из первых теоретических вопросов, которые приходится решать каждому лингвисту, приступающему к описанию и анализу грамматического строя языка, - это отношение формы и содержания грамматических единиц. Если в процессе коммуникации содержание высказывания понимается при восприятии («опознании») знакомых форм, а формальная и содержательная стороны языка как бы слиты вместе, то при описанйи структуры языка неизбежно приходится идти или от формы к содержапию, или от содержания к форме. Авторы «Грамматики» начинают с подачи грамматических форм, раскрывая их значение путем показа функций этих форм. Путь возможный, хотя и не единственный. Именно вследствие указанного выше выбора грамматические категории анализируются авторами «Грамматики» в связи с описанием частей речи.

Семантическое ядро каждой грамматической категории реализуется в первую очередь в парадигме, вследствие чего структурная компактность и морфологическая выдержанность парадигмы всегда служили признаком выделимости грамматической категории. Не случайно парадигмы, созданные на основе флективной (или, шире, синтетической) техники, не вызывали сомнений, в то время как парадигмы аналитического склада всегда были предметом ожесточенных споров у лингвистов. Выделимость на фоне емкого парадигматического ряда присуща тем языкам, которые широко используют аффиксальные морфемы (в частности, морфемы суффиксального типа), примером чего может служить так называемая «нулевая флексия», когда отсутствие аффикса на фоне других аффиксально выраженных форм парадигматического ряда воспринимается как положительная маркированность данной формы. Распределение нулевых форм в пределах данного ряда, а также их место в общей морфологической и словообразовательной системе язька может явиться одним из существенных критериев для выделения разных морфологических типов. В приложении к структуре морфологических рядов нулевая форма реализуется как в бинарных противопоставлениях (ср. минимальный бинарный ряд парадигмы существительного в английском языке $\operatorname{dog}$ (ед. ч., форма общего па-

дежа) - dog's (ед. ч., форма притяжательного падежа), так и в случае выделимости нулевой формы в многочисленном парадигматическом ряду (ср. склонение имени существительного типа «дом» в русском языке).

Как указывалось выше, труд Р. Кверка, С. Гринбаума, Дж. Лича и Я. Свартвика является грамматикой описательно-нормативного типа, поэтому авторы эксплицитно не излагают и не обосновывают своих теоретических позиций, но расположение материала и направленность его объяснения показывают, что некоторое объединение тех проблем, которые в грамматиках других англистов распределяются между отделами морфологии и синтаксиса, объясняется стремлением по возможности объединить форму и функцию грамматических элементов. Этот подход помогает лучше понять типологию грамматического строя современного английского языка и выгодно отличает данную грамматику от тех зарубежных грамматических работ, где под маской так называемого «непротиворечивого описания» скрьвается беспредельная формализация, что нередко приводит к забвению сущности языка и его назначения быть средством общения людей в обществе и средством выражения и передачи мысли.

Уровневая стратификация язька приводит к разным типам дифференциации общих отношений. Отсутствие парадигматического выражения данного значения не исключает возможности его передачи в пределах словосочетания. Однако подвижность синтаксических конструкций и, в особенности, их относительные трансформации значительно осложняют проблему статуса грамматических категорий в синтаксисе. Основной причиной всех трудностей является то, что в синтаксической конструкции находят свою реализацию не только грамматические, но и лексические потенции употребляемых слов и поэтому часто бывает сложно разграничить явления, относящиеся к различным уровням языка.

Если мы обратимся к грамматическому значению единиц морфологического уровня, то их основное значение неизбежно понимается через парадигматическое строение той группы единиц, к которой принадлежит рассматриваемый элемент языкового континуума. В этих условиях неизбежно будет наблюдаться двусторонность явления варьирования: от тождества содержания и различия в формах до вариативности содержания при единстве строения слова. Перенос центра тяжести на синтаксис в случае бедности морфологических форм для языков аналитического типа, таких, как английский, иллюстрирует соотносительность двух разделов грамматики. Однако понятие позиции и функции элементов языка важно не только для языков аналитического типа, но и во всех случаях грамматических исследований. Поэтому можно согласиться с мнением тех ллингистов, которые придают большое значение при лингвистическом анализе понятиям позиции и функции. Содержание в языке манифестируется через форму. В целях передачи необходимого содержания говорящий употребляет формы, узуальные для данного языка, и опознает их в качестве получателя сообщения. Поэтому для полноты описания языка и, в частности, его грамматического строя необходимо регистрировать все типы форм, которые могут использоваться в процессе коммуникации.

Авторы «Грамматики» отводят много места описанию синтаксических комплексов. Следует отметить нетрадиционную подачу словосочетания, особенно именных словосочетаний (см. главу 13), где показаны не только возможности расширения именного словосочетания путем подчинительного присоединения целой цепи слов к управляющему слову - центру словосочетания (the Head), но и его семантические корреляции со сложным предложением. Путь подобных сравнений весьма плодотворен.

Системный анализ фактов языка предполагает их сравнение в целях отождествления или нахождения суммы различительных признаков. Процедура противопоставления сравниваемых элементов сводится к выявлению у них большего или меньшего количества несходных признаков на фоне одного общего признака, служащего основанием для конституирования данного ряда. Противопоставление всегда в той или иной мере присутствует при сравнении любых двух величин. Когда форма им./вин. падежа «дом» сравнивается с формой дат. падежа «дому», то одновременно происходит и противопоставление этих форм. Поэтому противопоставленность элементов в системе языка является одновременно и формой их связи. Хотя принципы противопоставленности в общей форме могут быть сформулированы как универсальные, именно их конкретное претворение на разных уровнях структуры языка помогает понять специфику того или иного структурного ряда. При осуществлении лингвистического анализа полезно различать противопоставленность членов изолированного бинома и противопоставленность членов данной пары в целостной системе. В развитие этого положения следует сказать, что существует не только противопоставленность двух элементов - одного по отношению к другому, но и противопоставленность одного элемента общему целому, частью которого является этот элемент. Авторы настоящей грамматики широко используют при анализе грамматических единиц принцип сравнения и противопоставления, вместе с тем удачно избегая того преувеличения, которое часто допускают при применении чисто формальных параметров представители американского структурализма. Часто в этом помогает учет вариативности конструкций языка.

С проблемой вариативности тесно соприкасаются не только вопросы синонимии, но и вопросы омонимии в языке. Данные понятия, будучи общими для языка в целом, имеют свое различное претворение в зависимости от того или иного уровня языковой структуры. Известно, что явление полисемии связано с проблемой омонимов в языке, так как можно было бы сказать, что верхней границей полисемантической единицы служит ряд омонимов, если значения внутри этой единицы оказываются настолько разобщенными, что уже не воспринимаются как имеющие какой-либо общий стержень. Однако, если для единицы словаря данного языка этот сложньй вопрос имеет как теоретическое, так и практическое значение, то для грамматического уровня эта сторона связи омонимии и полисемии мало исследована, и введение понятия вариативности в плане соде̣ржания, а не только формального варьирования, может привести к новому освещению известных фактов. Как уже было сказано выше, вариативность в широком смысле, т. е. как в плане формы, так и плане содержания, для морфологии может быть рассмотрена с точки зрения количества однозначных или, наоборот, многозначных форм и определения того, можно ли говорить в последнем случае не о полисемантизме формы, а о ее семантической вариативности. Этот вопрос особенно важен для языков с сжатой или редуцированной парадигмой, типа английского, когда условия контекстуальной дистрибуции (разность и сходство контекстов) создают целую гамму переходов от более близких к более далеким значениям данной морфологической единицы.

Известно, что между специалистами теории грамматики современного английского языка нет единства мнений по поводу количества форм в парадигме английского глагола. Р. Кверк, С. Гринбаум, Дж. Лич и Я. Свартвик выделяют пять форм в обычной парадигме глагола английского языка: «Многие английские глаголы имеют пять форм: основу, форму на $-s$, форму прошедшего, причастие на -ing и причастие на -ed. Полнозначные правильные глаголы имеют одну и ту же флексию -ed и для прошедшего и для причастия прошедшего времени (called). Полнозначные неправильные

глаголы имеют от трех (put, puts, putting) до восьми форм (be, am, is, are, was, were, being, been) (параграф 3.2).

Указывая на функции, которые основная форма (основа - base) имеет в языке, авторы грамматики перечисляют следующие: 1) все формы настоящего времени, исключая 3 -е лицо единственного числа; 2) повелительное наклонение; 3) сослагательное; 4) инфинитив простой и с to. Как видно из изложенного выше, не все значения основной формы в одинаковой степени близки между собой, а самовыделение различающихся форм глагола основано исключительно на фонетико-структурных признаках.

Таким образом, помимо новизны и свежести самого языкового материала, на котором основывались авторы, не меньший интерес представляет их подход к грамматическим явлениям, новая, нестандартная их интерпретация, а также освещение таких вопросов, которые ранее не находили себе места в грамматиках английского языка, но которые стали актуальными за последнее время.

Настоящая книга имеет целью удовлетворить потребность студентов университетов и педагогических институтов, а также преподавателей английского языка в авторитетном и достаточно полном описании грамматической структуры современного английского языка, показывающем функционирование грамматических форм и конструкций в живом современном английском языке. Вместе с тем, «Грамматика английского языка для университетов» может служить полезным пособием для широкого круга лиц, занимающихся в нашей стране английским языком.

По сравнению с английским изданием предлагаемая книга сокращена в соответствии с программой, действующей в советских вузах. Это повлекло за собой изменения в нумерации разделов внутри каждой главы и в индексе. Для студентов, специализирующихся в области грамматики английского языка, добавлен список работ советских лингвистов.

Доктор филологических наук
В. Н. Ярцева

## PREFACE

In preparing this shorter version of A Grammar of Contemporary English, our aim has been to satisfy the needs of university students who require the comprehensiveness of the original work but not its detail or extensive theoretical discussion or wealth of exemplification. But, insofar as it has been compatible with so curtailed a treatment, we have been careful to preserve the structure of the parent book so that reference to the fuller study can be easy and direct, chapter by chapter, as required.

In order to accommodate actual student needs in our treatment, we consulted a number of friends and colleagues all over the world: scholars with rich and varied experience of teaching English at institutions with widely different traditions; scholars whose opinion we valued on the kind of abridged Grammar that would best suit their students' needs. We are happy to acknowledge our gratitude to John Algeo (Georgia), M. A. G. Cerrudo (Buenos Aires), Rudolf Filipović (Zagreb), Jan Firbas (Brno), Denis Girard (Paris), Harold V. King (Michigan), Gerhard Nickel (Stuttgart), Wulf Praeger (Lörrach), Andrew Rogers (Texas), Alfred Schopf (Freiburg), and Takashi Shimaoka (Tokyo), all of whom studied A Grammar of Contemporary English in proof, with abridgment for student use in mind. Above all, we have benefited from the skilled and detailed guidance generously provided by R. A. Close (London) from his fund of university teaching experience in Japan, China, Czechoslovakia, Chile, Greece, and elsewhere.

Awareness of the correspondence with the parent book is taken for granted throughout the present treatment, and no reference is made to it in the bibliographical notes with which we conclude chapters. Nor do we refer in these chapter notes to other major descriptions of English (by Jespersen, Kruisinga, etc), though they are of course listed in the Bibliography, in acknowledgment of their permanent relevance to grammatical studies and their contribution to our own research. For all grammarians draw freely on the work of their predecessors and at the same time use their new vantage point to see where fresh headway can be made. We have indeed precisely this double relation with A Grammar of Contemporary English: as well as producing an epitome of the larger work, we have taken the opportunity to improve the description in numerous respects. In this way, we have made the labour of the present enterprise as fruitful and stimulating to ourselves as we hope it will be rewarding to our students.

## CONTENTS

PREFACE ..... 11
SYMBOLS AND TECHNICAL CONVENTIONS ..... 14
ONE
Varieties of English ..... 15
Standard English 15; National standards of English 16
TWO
Elements of grammar ..... 18
Sentence elements 20; Parts of speech 25;
Stative and dynamic 28;
Pro-forms, question, and negation 29
THREE
Verbs and the verb phrase ..... 32
Regular verbs and inflectional rules 33,
Irregular verbs 35; Auxiliaries 36;
Tense and aspect 40; Mood 50
FOUR
Nouns, pronouns, and the basic noun phrase ..... 58
Noun classes 58; Determiners 60; Reference and the articles 66;
Number 76; Gender 85; The genitive 89;
Pronouns 95
FIVE
Adjectives and adverbs ..... 108
Adjectives, attributive and predicative 109,
Adverbs as modifiers 119;
Comparison and intensification 123;
Correspondence between adjective and adverb 128, Adjective, adverb, and other word-classes 129
SIX
Prepositions and prrpositional phrases ..... 134
Place relations 137 Time relations 144;
Other relations chiefly as adjunct 146,
as disjunct 151, as complementation
of verb or adjective 152
SEVEN
The simple sentence ..... 154
Clause patterns 154; Elements andtheir meanings 158; Concord 163;Negation 170; Questions 177;
Commands 184
EIGHT
Adjuncts, disjuncts, conjuncts ..... 189Limiter and additive adjuncts 193; Intensifiers 195;Adjuncts of manner, means, and instrument 201,of place 205, of time 209; Style and
attitudinal disjuncts 219; Conjuncts ..... 221
NINE
Coordination and apposition ..... 225
Ellipsis 225; Coordination of clauses 228,
Coordination of phrases 236; Apposition 240;
Non-restrictive apposition 242;
Restrictive apposition 246
TEN
Sentence connection ..... 248
Time relaters 249; Logical connecters 251;
Substitution 257; Discourse reference 263;
Ellipsis in dialogue 266
ELEVEN
The complex sentence ..... 269
Finite, non-finite, and verbless clauses 269;
Subordinators and subordination 272;
Nominal clauses 276; Adverbial clauses 281;
Comparative sentences 289; The verb phrase in
dependent clauses 294; Direct and indirect speech ..... 298
TWELVE
The verb and its complementation ..... 303
Phrasal and prepositional verbs 303;
Complementation of adjective phrase 309;
Units as direct object 312; Complex transitive complementation 317; Ditransitive complementation 323
THIRTEEN
The complex noun phrase ..... 328
Restrictive relative clauses 331;
Non-restrictive relative clauses 334 ;
Non-finite postmodifiers ..... 335 ;
Prepositional phrase postmodification ..... 338 ;
Premodification by adjective 344,
by participle 344, by genitive 346,
by noun 347; Multiple premodification 348
FOURTEEN
Focus, theme, and emphasis ..... 353
Information focus 353; Voice and reversibility 357;
Theme and inversion 358; Existential sentences 364;
Extraposition and other postponement devices 367;
Emotive emphasis 371
BIBLIOGRAPHY ..... 374
INDEX ..... 377

## SYMBOLS AND TECHNICAL CONVENTIONS

Since our use of symbols, abbreviations, and the like follows standard practice, all that we need here is a visual summary of the main conventions, with a brief explanation or a reference to where fuller information is given.

AmE, BrE:
American English, British English
$\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{O}_{1}$, etc:
See 2.5 ff ; when italicized, strings of these symbols refer to the clause types explained in 7.2.
a 'better GRÀMmar:
Capitals in examples indicate nuclear syllables, accents indicate the intonation, raised verticals indicate stress, and long verticals tone unit boundaries.
*a more better one:
A preceding asterisk indicates an unacceptable structure.
?they seem fools:
A preceding question mark indicates doubtful acceptability; combined with an asterisk it suggests virtual unacceptability.

Help me (to) write:
Parentheses indicate optional items.
He came $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { to } \\ \text { from }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { London } \\ \text { New York }\end{array}\right.$
Curved braces indicate free alternatives.
$\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{He} \\ \text { She }\end{array}\right]$ does $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { his } \\ \text { her }\end{array}\right]$ best:
Square brackets indicate contingent alternatives: eg selection of the top one in the first pair entails selection of the top one in the second also.
\{His [expensive (house insurance)]\}:
Contrasts in bracketing give a linear indication of hierarchical structure.
/zz/, |z/, /s/:
Slants enclose phonemic transcriptions, usually of inflections. The symbols have widely familiar values: /i/ as in bid, /i/ as in beat, |z/ as in zip, $/ 2 /$ as in the first syllable of alone, etc.

## VARIETIES OF ENGLISH

## 1.1.

## Variety classes

There are numerous varieties of the English language, and what we ordinarily mean by 'English' is a common core or nucleus which is realized only in the different forms of the language that we actually hear or read.

## Regional variation

## 1.2

Varieties according to region have a well-established label both in popular and technical use: 'dialects'. Geographical dispersion is in fact the classic basis for linguistic variation.

Regional variation seems to be realized predominantly in phonology. That is, we generally recognize a different dialect from a speaker's pronunciation before we notice that his vocabulary (or lexicon) is also distinctive. Grammatical variation tends to be less extensive and certainly less obtrusive. But all types of linguistic organization can readily enough be involved.

## 1.3

It is pointless to ask how many dialects of English there are: there are indefinitely many, depending solely on how detailed we wish to be in our observations. But they are of course more obviously numerous in the long-settled Britain than in the more recently settled North America or in the still more recently settled Australia and New Zealand.

## 1.4

## Standard English

The degree of acceptance of a single standard of English throughout the world, across a multiplicity of political and social systems, is a truly remarkable phenomenon: the more so since the extent of the uniformity involved has, if anything, increased in the present century. Uniformity is greatest in what is from most viewpoints the relatively unimportant matter of spelling. Although printing houses in all Englishspeaking countries retain a tiny area of individual decision (some preferring -ise and others -ize in words like realise; some preferring judgment and others judgement; etc), there is basically a single system, with two minor subsystems. The one is the subsystem with British
orientation (used in all English-speaking countries except the United States) with distinctive forms in only a small class of words, colour, centre, levelled, etc. The other is the American subsystem: color, center, leveled, etc. In Canada, the British subsystem is used for the most part, but some publishers (especially of popular material) follow the American subsystem and some a mixture (color but centre). In the American Mid-West, some newspaper publishers (but not book publishers) use a few additional separate spellings such as thru for through.

In grammar and vocabulary, Standard English presents somewhat less of a monolithic character, but even so the world-wide agreement is extraordinary and - as has been suggested earlier - seems actually to be increasing under the impact of closer world communication and the spread of identical culture, both material and non-material. The uniformity is especially close in neutral or formal styles of written English on subject matter not of obviously localized interest: in such circumstances one can frequently go on for page after page without encountering a feature which would identify the English as belonging to one of the national standards.

## National standards of English

## 1.5

## British and American English

There are two national standards that are overwhelmingly predominant both in the number of distinctive usages and in the degree to which these distinctions are 'institutionalized': American English and British English. Grammatical differences are few and the most conspicuous are widely known; the fact that AmE has two past participles for get and BrE only one, for example, and that in BrE the indefinite pronoun one is repeated in co-reference where AmE uses he as in One cannot succeed at this unless $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { one } \\ \text { he }\end{array}\right\}$ tries hard
Lexical examples are far more numerous, but many of these are familiar to users of both standards: for example, railway ( BrE ), railroad (AmE); tap ( BrE ), faucet ( AmE ); autumn ( BrE ), fall ( AmE ). More recent lexical innovations in either area tend to spread rapidly to the other. Thus while radio sets have had valves in BrE but tubes in AmE, television sets have cathode ray tubes in both, and transistors are likewise used in both standards.

## 1.6

## Scotland, Ireland, Canada

Scots, with ancient national and educational institutions, is perhaps nearest to the self-confident independence of BrE and AmE , though
the differences in grammar and vocabulary are rather few. Irish. (or Hiberno-) English should also be regarded as a national standard, for though we lack descriptions of this long-standing variety of English it is consciously and explicitly regarded as independent of BrE by educational and broadcasting services. The proximity of Britain, the easy movement of population, and like factors mean however that there is little room for the assertion and development of separate grammar and vocabulary.

Canadian English is in a similar position in relation to AmE. Close economic, social, and intellectual links along a 4000 -mile frontier have naturally caused the larger community to have an enormous influence on the smaller, not least in language. Though in many respects Ca nadian English follows British rather than United States practice, in many other respects it has approximated to AmE and seems likely to continue in this direction.

## 1.7

## South Africa, Australia, New Zealand

South Africa, Australia and New Zealand are in a very different position, remote from the direct day-to-day impact of either BrE or AmE. While in orthography and grammar the South African English in educated use is virtually identical with BrE , rather considerable differences in vocabulary have developed.

New Zealand English is more like BrE than any other non-European variety, though it now feels the powerful influence of Australia and to no small degree - of the United States.

Australian English is undoubtedly the dominant form of English in the Antipodes, and it is even exerting an influence in the northern hemisphere, particularly in Britain, though much of what is distinctive in Australian English is confined to familiar use.

## ELEMENTS OF GRAMMAR

## 2.1

The purpose of this chapter is to explore certain outstanding features of English structure in such a way as to provide, as it were, a smallscale map of areas that will be viewed in much greater detail in later chapters. As with any small-scale map, a great many features will be ignored and complicated contours will be smoothed out. The reader's attention will not be distracted even by forward references to the parts of the book in which the focus will allow such complication to become visible. But to compensate for the disadvantages in this degree of oversimplification, we have hoped to achieve the advantages of the geographical analogue as well. In other words, we have tried to provide enough broad information to enable the reader to understand - and place in a wider context - the more detailed discussion that subsequent chapters involve.

## Parts of a sentence

## 2.2

## Subject and predicate

In order to state general rules about the construction of sentences, it is constantly necessary to refer to smaller units than the sentence itself. Our first task must therefore be to explain what these smaller units are that we need to distinguish, confining our attention for the present to a few sentences which, though showing considerable variety, are all of fairly elementary structure.

Traditionally, there is a primary distinction between SUBJECT and PREDICATE:

John
The girl
His brother
It
He
They
carefully searched the room is now a student at a large university grew happier gradually rained steadily all day had given the girl an apple make him the chairman every year

Although such a division obviously results in parts which are (in these examples) very unequal in size and dissimilar in content, it is of course by no means arbitrary. The subject of the sentence has a close general relation to 'what is being discussed', the 'theme' of the sentence, with the normal implication that something new (the predicate) is being said about a 'subject' that has already been introduced in an earlier sentence. This is of course a general characteristic and not a defining
feature: it is patently absurd in relation to sentence [4], for example. Another point is that the subject determines concord. That is, with those parts of the verb that permit a distinction between singular and plural, the form selected depends on whether the subject is singular as in [2], the girl is, or plural as in [6], they make.

Furthermore, the subject is the part of the sentence that changes its position as we go from statement to question:

Had he given the girl an apple?

## 2.3

## Operator, auxiliary, and predication

In contrast with the subject, there are few generalizations that we can usefully make about the predicate since - as our examples have illustrated - it tends to be a more complex and heterogeneous unit. We need to subdivide it into its elements or constituents. One division has already been suggested; this distinguishes AUXILIARY as OPERATOR (as in [5q]) from what we may call the PREDICATION. The distinctions may be illustrated as follows:


This particular division of the sentence helps us to understand, for example, how interrogative and negative sentences are formed, how certain adjuncts are positioned, and how certain types of emphasis are achieved.

## 2.4

## Range of operators

The verb expression may have several auxiliaries; eg
He should have been questioned by the police
In such cases, it is the first auxiliary that acts as operator:
Should he have been questioned by the police?
No, he shouldn't have been questioned by the police
Yes, he should

Where the verb expression has no auxiliary in the positive declarative sentence, do is introduced when an operator is required:

It rained steadily all day
Did it rain steadily all day?
No, it didn't
The verb be can act as operator whether it is an auxiliary, as in
John is searching the room $\sim I s$ John searching ...?
or not, as in
The girl is now a student $\sim I s$ the girl now ...?
The same is true to some extent (especially in BrE ) for have:
He has a degree $\sim$ Has he a degree?

## 2.5

## Sentence elements

A sentence may alternatively be seen as comprising five units called ELEMENTS of sentence (or, as we shall see below, clause) structure: SUBJECT, VERB, COMPLEMENT, OBJECT, ADVERBIAL, here abbreviated as $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{A}$ :

John (S) carefully (A) searched (V) the room (O)
The girl (S) is (V) now (A) a student (C) at a large university (A)
His brother (S) grew (V) happier (C) gradually (A)
It (S) rained (V) steadily (A) all day (A)
$\mathrm{He}(\mathrm{S})$ had given (V) the girl (O) an apple (O)
They (S) make (V) him (O) the chairman (C) every year (A)

We shall see in 2.11 that considerable variety is possible in realizing each element of structure. Indeed $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{O}$, and A can themselves readily have the internal constituents of sentences:

She (S) saw (V) that [it (S) rained (V) all day (A)] (O)
His brother (S) grew (V) happier (C) when [his friend (S) arrived (V)] (A)
That [she (S) answered (V) the question (O) correctly (A)] (S) pleased (V) him (O) enormously (A)

The italicizing is intended to emphasize the similarity between subordinate (or dependent) clauses and independent sentences. At the same time this and the bracketing can interestingly suggest that when in [8] and that in [7] and [9] operate as A, O, and S respectively (though this is only partly true) while more importantly being themselves 'expanded' by the dependent clauses.

## Complements and objects

The relation between the room in illustration [1] and the other elements in that sentence is very different from the relation between the girl in [5] and its fellow elements, though both are labelled 'object'. Even more obviously, perhaps, the two elements labelled 'object' in [5] play sharply distinct roles in this sentence. We need in fact to distinguish two types of object and two types of complement in the sentences so far illustrated:
object $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { direct object }\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}\right) \\ \text { indirect object }\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\end{array}\right.$
complement $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { subject complement }\left(C_{s}\right) \\ \text { object complement }\left(C_{0}\right)\end{array}\right.$
The direct object is illustrated in
John carefully searched the room $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$
He had given the girl an apple $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$
The direct object is by far the more frequent kind of object, and (with certain outstanding exceptions) it must always be present if there is an indirect object in the sentence:

He had given the girl $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ an apple
As here, the indirect object almost always precedes the direct object; it is characteristically (though by no means always) a noun referring to a person, and the semantic relationship is often such that it is appropriate to use the term 'recipient'. Loosely, one might say in most cases that something (the direct object) tends to be done for (or received by) the indirect object.

Turning to complements, we may illustrate first the subject complement:
The girl is now a student $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ at a large university
His brother grew happier $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ gradually
Here the complements have a straightforward relation to the subjects of their respective sentences such that the subject of [2] is understood as being a 'girl student' and the subject of [3] a 'happier brother'. The 'object complement' can be explained as having a similar relation to a direct object (which it follows) as the subject complement has to a subject:

They make him the chairman $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ every year
That is to say, the direct object and object complement in this example, 'him the chairman', correspond to the subject and subject complement in
$H e$ is the chairman $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$

## Categories of verb

## 2.7

There are different types of verb corresponding closely to the different types of object and complement. Sentences such as [2] and [3], which have subject complements, have INTENSIVE verbs and all other sentences have EXTENSIVE verbs. The latter are INTRANSITIVE if as in

It rained steadily all day
they do not permit any of the four object and complement types so far distinguished. Extensive verbs are otherwise TRANSITIVE. All transitive verbs take a direct object; some, like give in [5], permit an indirect object, and these will be distinguished as DITRANSITIVE. A few verbs, like make in [6], take an object complement and these are among the verbs referred to as COMPLEX TRANSITIVE. The rest are MONOTRANSITIVE.

## 2.8

But distinctions between verbs need to be drawn not only in relation to object- and complement-types but also in relation to whether they themselves admit the aspectual contrast of 'progressive' and 'non-progressive'. Thus it is possible to say

John carefully searched the room
or John was carefully searching the room
It rained steadily all day
or It was raining steadily all day
But it is not possible to use the progressive in
The girl is now a student at a large university
*The girl is now being a student ...
John knew the answer
*John was knowing the answer
When verbs (either habitually or in certain uses) will not admit the progressive, as in [2] and [10], they are called STATIVE. When they will admit it, as in [1] and [4], they are called DYNAMIC. It is normal for verbs to be dynamic and even the minority that are almost always stative can usually be given a dynamic use on occasion.

## 2.9

## Categories of adverbial

Next we may take a preliminary look at adverbials, concerning ourselves only with such distinctions as are necessary to explain some of the chief restrictions in constructing the simplest sentences. We may begin by looking again at a sentence with two adverbials:

The girl is now a student at a large university

This might have had fewer elements:
The girl is a student at a large university
The girl is a student
The girl is now a student
The girl is at a large university
but the sentence could not have been formed initially as:
*The girl is now
On this evidence we may say that the adverbials now and at a large university belong to different classes and it seems natural to label them 'time' and 'place' respectively.

Consider now the fact that the adverbial carefully in illustration [1] could be replaced by many others, making acceptable sentences in each case:
John searched the room $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { carefully } \\ \text { slowly } \\ \text { noisily } \\ \text { sternly } \\ \text { without delay }\end{array}\right.$

But if these same adverbials were inserted in sentences which had stative verbs, the sentences would become unacceptable:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { The girl is now a student } \ldots \\ \text { John knew the answer ... }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}{ }^{*} \text { carefully } \\ \text { *slowly }^{* \text { noisily }} \\ \text { *sternly } \\ \text { *without delay }\end{array}\right.$
It is clear that we again have a subclass of adverbials. Because the verbs with which they can occur allow the progressive, the aspect of on-going activity, it is appropriate to refer to these adverbials as 'process'.

### 2.10

## Types of sentence structure

Bringing together the distinctions so far made, we can present some basic sentence-structure rules diagrammatically. Each line constitutes a pattern which is illustrated by means of a correspondingly numbered example having just those obligatory and optional (parenthesized) elements that are specified in the formula. The order in which the elements appear is common but by no means fixed. It is a principle of sentence organization that what is contextually familiar or 'given' comes relatively early, while the part which needs to be stressed or which seems to convey the greatest information is given the special prominence of 'end-focus'.


She is in London (now)
She is a student (in London) (now)
John heard the explosion (from his office) (when he was locking the door)
Universities (gradually) became famous (in Europe) (during the Middle Ages)
They ate the meat (hungrily) (in their hut) (that night)
He offered (her) some chocolates (politely) (outside the hall) (before the concert)
They elected him chairman (without argument) (in Washington) (this morning)
The train had arrived (quietly) (at the station) (before we noticed it)

### 2.11

## Element realization types

Sentence elements can be realized by linguistic structures of very different form. The verb element is always a verb phrase. This may, as in all the examples used so far, be 'finite' (showing tense, mood, aspect, and voice) or 'non-finite' (not showing tense or mood but still capable of indicating aspect and voice). Consider the three types of non-finite verb phrase functioning as the V element in the italicized non-finite clauses:

Mary wanted $\left[\right.$ to be (V) a student $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ at that university $\left.(\mathrm{A})\right]\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$
[Carefully (A) searching (V) the room $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ ] (A), John found a ring
[Made (V) the chairman ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) every year (A)] (A), he was very busy
Whether finite or non-finite, the verb phrase can consist of one word, as in most illustrative sentences so far, or of more than one word, in which case the phrase consists of a 'head verb' preceded by one or more 'auxiliary verbs' as with the verb phrases in the following (the first three finite, the fourth non-finite):

He had given the girl an apple
He may be growing happier
He had been challenged rudely, and having been challenged he was angry

The subject of a sentence may be a 'clause' as in
That she answered the question correctly pleased him
but it is usually a 'noun phrase', at its simplest a pronoun such as They or a proper noun such as John. But a noun phrase may be an indeterminately long and complex structure having a noun as head, preceded by other words such as an article, an adjective, or another noun, and followed by a prepositional phrase or by a relative clause; it is by no means uncommon to find all such items present in a noun phrase:

The new gas stove in the kitchen which I bought last month has a very efficient oven

Subject complements, direct objects, and object complements may be realized by the same range of structures as subjects: He was the chairman; She saw the chairman; They made him the chairman. But subject and object complements have the additional possibility of being realized by adjective phrases (having an adjective as head), as in

She made him $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { happy } \\ \text { very much happier }\end{array}\right.$
Indirect objects, on the other hand, have fewer possibilities than subjects, and their realizations are chiefly noun phrases, as in

He had given the girl an apple
Unlike direct objects and subjects, they cannot be realized by thatclauses.

Finally, adverbials can be realized (a) by adverb phrases, having an adverb as head; (b) by noun phrases; (c) by prepositional phrases that is, structures consisting of a noun phrase dominated by a preposition; and (d) by clauses, finite or non-finite:
(a) John very carefully searched the room
(b) They make him the chairman every year
(c) She studied at a large university
(d) He grew happier when his friend arrived Seeing the large crowd, John stopped his car.

## Parts of speech

### 2.12

The structures realizing sentence elements are composed of units which can be referred to as parts of speech. These can be exemplified for English as follows:
(a) noun - John, room, answer, play
adjective - happy, steady, new, large, round
adverb - steadily, completely, really, very, then
verb - search, grow, play, be, have, do
(b)
article - the, a(n)
demonstrative - that, this
pronoun - he, they, anybody, one, which
preposition - of, at, in, without, in spite of
conjunction - and, that, when, although
interjection - oh, ah, ugh, phew
We should notice that the examples are listed as words in their 'dictionary form' and not as they often appear in sentences when they function as constituents of phrases: thus the singular room and not the plural rooms, the simple happy and not the comparative happier, the infinitive (or uninflected) grow and not the past grew, the subject form he and not the object form him.

## Note

From even the few examples given, it can be seen that a part-of-speech item may consist of more than a single word. This is especially common in the case of complex prepositions, such as in spite of, out of.

### 2.13

Some of the examples in 2.12 appear as more than one part of speech (play as noun and verb, that as demonstrative and conjunction) and more of them could have been given additional entries in this way (round can be noun, verb, adjective, adverb, and preposition). Similarly, we should notice a direct correspondence between most adjectives and adverbs, the latter usually consisting of the former plus -ly. Less obviously, there is an important correspondence between all words beginning $/ \delta /$ (the, that, then, for example) and many of those beginning wh(which, when, for example): basically the former are relater or indicator words and the latter interrogative words.

### 2.14

## Closed-system items

The parts of speech in 2.12 are listed in two groups, (a) and (b), and this introduces a distinction of very great significance. Set (b) comprises what are called 'closed-system' items. That is, the sets of items are closed in the sense that they cannot normally be extended by the creation of additional members: a moment's reflection is enough for us to realize how rarely in a language we invent or adopt a new or additional pronoun. It requires no great effort to list all the members in a closed system, and to be reasonably sure that one has in fact made an exhaustive inventory (especially, of course, where the membership is so extremely small as in the case of the article).

The items are said to constitute a system in being (i) reciprocally exclusive: the decision to use one item in a given structure excludes the possibility of using any other (thus one can have the book or a book but not *a the book); and (ii) reciprocally defining: it is
less easy to state the meaning of any individual item than to define it in relation to the rest of the system. This may be clearer with a non-linguistic analogy. If we are told that a student came third in an examination, the 'meaning' that we attach to 'third' will depend on knowing how many candidates took the examination: 'third' in a set of four has a very different meaning from 'third' in a set of thirty.

### 2.15

## Open-class items

By contrast, set (a) comprises 'open classes'. Items belong to a class in that they have the same grammatical properties and structural possibilities as other members of the class (that is, as other nouns or verbs or adjectives or adverbs respectively), but the class is 'open' in the sense that it is indefinitely extendable. New items are constantly being created and no one could make an inventory of all the nouns in English (for example) and be confident that it was complete. This inevitably affects the way in which we attempt to define any item in an open class: while it would obviously be valuable to relate the meaning of room to other nouns with which it has semantic affinity (chamber, hall, house, ...) one could not define it as 'not house, not box, not plate, not indignation, ...', as one might define a closedsystem item like this as 'not that'.

Of course, in any one phrase or sentence the decision to select a particular word at one place in the structure obviously imposes great constraints on what can be selected at another. But it is essential to see that in an arrangement like the following there is in principle a sharp difference between the number of possibilities in columns $i$, iii, and $i v$ ('closed') and the number in $i i$ and $v$ ('open'):

|  | $i$ | ii | iii | $i v$ | $v$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (John) | may | sit | by | this | fountain |
|  | will | stare | at | that |  |
|  | must | read | from |  | window |
|  |  | hurry | along |  | blackboard |
|  | : |  | on |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | path |
|  |  |  | : |  |  |

The distinction between 'open' and 'closed' parts of speech must be treated cautiously, however. On the one hand, we must not exaggerate the ease with which we create new words: we certainly do not make up new nouns as a necessary part of speaking in the way that making up new sentences is necessary. On the other hand, we must not exaggerate the extent to which parts of speech in set (b) of 2.12 are 'closed': new prepositions (usually of the form 'prep + noun + prep' like by way of) are by no means impossible.

Although they have deceptively specific labels, the parts of speech tend in fact to be rather heterogeneous. The adverb and the verb are perhaps especially mixed classes, each having small and fairly well-defined groups of closed-system items alongside the indefinitely large open-class items. So far as the verb is concerned, the closed-system subgroup is known by the well-established term 'auxiliary'. With the adverb, one may draw the distinction broadly between those in -ly that correspond to adjectives (complete-ly) and those that do not (now, there, forward, very, for example).

### 2.16

## Stative and dynamic

The open classes have some notable general characteristics. We have just seen that adverbs of the productive class are in a one-to-one relation with adjectives. There are regular word-formation processes giving a comparable one-for-one relation between nouns and adjectives, and between nouns and verbs. For the rest, it is useful to see nouns, adjectives, and verbs in connection with the opposition of stative and dynamic introduced in 2.8. Broadly speaking, nouns and adjectives can be characterized naturally as 'stative'; thus, nouns refer to entities that are regarded as stable, whether these are concrete (physical) like house, table, paper, or abstract (of the mind) like hope, botany, length. On the other hand, verbs and adverbs can be equally naturally characterized as 'dynamic': most obviously, verbs, which are fitted (by their capacity to show tense and aspect, for example) to indicate action, activity, and temporary or changing conditions. These relations between the open classes can be summarized thus:

| Stative | noun | adjective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathfrak{l}$ | $\mathfrak{\downarrow}$ |
| DYNAMIC | verb | adverb |

But we saw in 2.8 that there were some verbs such as know which could not normally be used with the progressive (*he is knowing): that is, which could not be seen as referring to something that was in progress. Verbs so used we called 'stative', and they should be seen as exceptions within the class of verbs. There are exceptions in the other direction among the nouns, not all of which need be stative. For example, a child may be well-behaved one minute and $a$ nuisance the next. The situation is similar when we turn to the remaining open word-class, adjectives. Although they are predominantly stative (tall, red, old), some adjectives can resemble verbs in referring on occasion to transitory conditions of behaviour or activity such as naughty or insolent. And since be must be used to make predications having any noun or adjective as complement, we must qualify the statement made in 2.8 that this is a stative verb: it can
also be used dynamically, in the progressive, when the complement is dynamic:

He is being $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { a nuisance } \\ \text { naughty }\end{array}\right\}$ again
Indeed, it is essential to realize that these primary distinctions are in the nature of general characteristics rather than immutable truths. No small part of language's value lies in its flexibility. Thus we can take a normally dynamic item (say the verb in 'He wrote the book') and 'nominalize' it ('The writing of the book'), pretending as it were - to see the action as a static 'thing'. So also the verb tax beside the noun taxation. Again, the name 'participle' reflects the fact that such a form participates in the features both of the verb ('The girl is sitting there') and of the adjective ('The sitting girl').

### 2.17

## Pro-forms

The names of the parts of speech are traditional, however, and neither in themselves nor in relation to each other do these names give a safe guide to their meaning, which instead is best understood in terms of their grammatical properties. 'Adverb' is a classic instance. We have seen some justification in the previous section for 'participle', and of course the 'pronoun' is an even clearer exception in correctly suggesting that it can serve as a replacement for a noun:

John searched the big room and the small one
More usually, however, pronouns replace noun phrases rather than nouns:

The man invited the little Swedish girl because he liked her
There are pro-forms also for place, time, and other adverbials under certain circumstances:

Mary is in London and John is there too
John searched the big room very carefully and the small one less so

But so has a more important pro-function, namely, to replace - along with the 'pro-verb' do - a predication:

She hoped that he would search the room carefully before
her arrival but he didn't do so
Here do so replaces all the italicized portion, the head verb search and the rest of the predication, as is shown below:


Frequently, however, the pro-predication is achieved by the operator alone:

> A: He didn't give her an apple. B: Yes, he did.
> They suspected that he had given her an apple and he had

Finally, it may be briefly observed that the use of the pro-forms greatly facilitates sentence connection as in [7], the conjoining of sentences to form 'compound sentences' as in [3] or [8], and the subordination of one sentence within another to form 'complex sentences' as in [2].

## Question and negation

### 2.18

## 'Wh'-questions

The pro-forms we have been considering may be regarded as having the general meaning 'We know what this item refers to, so I need not state it in full'. In 2.13 attention was drawn to correspondences of the then-when type, and we may now consider the $w h$-words of English as a special set of pro-forms diametrically opposed to the others in having the general meaning 'It has not been known what this item refers to and so it needs to be stated in full'. This informal statement will account for the use of $w h$-forms in questions:

Mary is in London
Mary is there
Where is Mary?
By such means, we can ask for the identification of the subject, object, complement or an adverbial of a sentence:

```
They (i) make him (ii) the chairman (iii) every year (iv)
Who makes him the chairman every year?[i]
```

Whom do they make the chairman every year? ..... [ii]
What do they make him every year? ..... [iii]
When do they make him the chairman? ..... [iv]

### 2.19

## 'Yes-no' questions

Besides wh-questions, which elicit information on particular parts of a sentence, there are questions which seek a yes or no response in relation to the validity of (normally) an entire predication:

Is the girl now a student?
Did John search the room?
Had he given the girl an apple?
Such questions normally open with an operator which is then followed by the subject and the predication.

### 2.20

## Negation and non-assertion

While a yes-no question normally challenges the validity of a predication as a whole, negation rejects it. And like yes-no questions, negative sentences involve the operator, requiring the insertion of not (or the affixal contraction $-n^{\prime} t$ ) between the operator and the predication:

The girl isn't a student
John did not search the room
He hadn't given the girl an apple
We need to see a further similarity between questions and negations. Let us call a sentence such as

He offered her some chocolates
an assertion. Now, a sentence can be non-assertive in one of two ways: by being negative or by being a question. We do not therefore have two independent systems
positive: negative
declarative: interrogative
but rather an interrelated system in which assertion involves both 'positive' and 'declarative' while non-assertion has a subsystem either 'negative' or 'interrogative'. The relationship may be diagrammed thus:
sentence $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { assertion - positive and declarative } \\ \text { non-assertion }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { interrogative } \\ \text { negative }\end{array}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { positive } \\ \text { negative }\end{array}\right.\right.\end{array}\right.$
While it is right to show 'interrogative' as lying between the upper extreme 'positive and declarative' and the lower extreme 'negative', it is important to recognize that 'interrogative' has a closer relationship to 'negative' in springing like it from the 'non-assertion' node. Evidence for this is not difficult to find. As compared with the some of the positive-declarative [1], we find any in the corresponding question and negation:

Did he offer her any chocolates?
He didn't offer her any chocolates

## THREE

## VERBS AND THE VERB PHRASE

## 3.1

## Types of verb

There are various ways in which it will be necessary to classify verbs in this chapter. We begin with a classification relating to the function of items in the verb phrase. This distinguishes lexical verbs from the closed system of auxiliary verbs, and subdivides the latter into primary and modal auxiliaries.


## Note

As we shall see (3.22), some of the modals listed differ in their inflectional and syntactic behaviour from others and will be referred to as 'marginal'. On the other hand, further items like had better or tend to could be added to the list since they have a similar semantic relation in the verb phrase to the modals; these other expressions are often called 'semi-auxiliaries'.

## 3.2

## Verbal forms and the verb phrase

Many English verbs have five forms: the BASE, the $-S$ FORM, the PAST, the -ING PARTICIPLE, and the -ED PARTICIPLE. Regular lexical verbs have the same -ed inflection for both the past tense and the -ed participle (called). Irregular lexical verb forms vary from three (eg: put, puts, putting) to eight (be, am, is, are, was, were, being, been). The modal auxiliaries are defective in not having infinitive (*to may), -ing participle (*maying), -ed participle (*mayed), or imperative (*may!).

## The morphology of lexical verbs

## 3.3

We will consider lexical verbs under two heads: regular (such as call) and irregular (such as drink). In all of them, the $-s$ form and -ing participle are predictable from the base form. They differ in that the $-e d_{1}$ and $-e d_{2}$ forms in irregular verbs cannot be predicted from the base.

## Regular lexical verbs

## 3.4

Regular lexical verbs have the following forms:

| V | BASE | call | like | try |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V-ing | -ING PARTICIPLE | calling | liking | trying |
| V-s | $-S$ FORM | calls | likes | tries |
| V-ed | PAST/-ED PARTICIPLE | called | liked | tried |

These are regular in that we can predict the other forms if we know the base of such a verb. This is a very powerful rule, since the base is the form listed in dictionaries and the vast majority of English verbs belong to this regular class. Furthermore, all new verbs that are coined or borrowed from other languages adopt this pattern.

## 3.5

The '-ing' and ' $-s$ ' forms
The -ing form is a straightforward addition to the base: push $\sim$ pushing sleep $\sim$ sleeping
The $-s$ form is also predictable from the base. It has three spoken realizations: $/ \mathrm{zz} /, \mid \mathrm{z} /$, and $/ \mathrm{s} /$, and two spellings, $-s$ and -es.
(1) Pronounced /IZ/ after bases ending in voiced or voiceless sibilants and spelled -es unless the base already ends in $-e$, eg
pass $\sim$ passes budge $\sim$ budges
buzz ~buzzes push ~ pushes
catch $\sim$ catches camouflage $\sim$ camouflages
(2) Pronounced $|z|$ and spelled $-s$ after bases ending in other voiced sounds, eg
call $\sim$ calls rob $\sim$ robs flow $\sim$ flows
Note: do ~ does go ~ goes say ~ says have ~ has
(3) Pronounced / $s /$ and spelled $-s$ after bases ending in other voiceless sounds, eg cut $\sim$ cuts $\quad$ lock $\sim$ locks $\quad$ sap $\sim$ saps

## 3.6

The past and the '-ed' participle
The past ( V -ed $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ ) and the -ed participle ( $\mathrm{V}-e d_{2}$ ) of regular verbs (spelled -ed unless the base ends in ee) have three spoken realizations:
/rd/after bases ending in/d/ and $/ \mathrm{t} /$ eeg
pad ~padded pat ~ patted
/d/after bases ending in voiced sounds other than /d/, eg
/t/ after bases ending in voiceless sounds other than $/ t$, eg pass $\sim$ passed $\sim$ pack $\sim$ packed

## Further inflectional spelling rules

## 3.7

## Doubling of consonant

Final base consonants are doubled before inflections beginning with a vowel letter when the preceding vowel is stressed and spelled with a single letter:

| bar | barring <br> permit | barred <br> permitting |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| permitted |  |  |

There is no doubling when the vowel is unstressed or written with two letters:
enter entering ${ }^{\circ}$ entered.
dread dreading dreaded

## EXCEPTIONS:

(a) Bases ending in certain consonants are doubled also after single unstressed vowels: -g $\rightarrow-g g-,-c \rightarrow-c k-:$
humbug humbugging humbugged
traffic trafficking trafficked
(b) BrE , as distinct from AmE , breaks the rule with respect to certain other consonants also: $-l \rightarrow-l l-,-m \rightarrow-m m-,-p \rightarrow-p p-$ :
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\begin{array}{lll}\text { signal } \\ \text { signal }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { signalling } \\ \text { signaling }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { signalled } \\ \text { signaled }\end{array} & (\mathrm{BrE}) \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { travel } \\ \text { travel }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { travelling } \\ \text { traveling }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { travelled } \\ \text { traveled }\end{array} & (\mathrm{BrE}) \\ (\mathrm{AmE})\end{array}\right\}$

| $\operatorname{program}(m e)$ | programming <br> program | programmed <br> programed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |\(\left(\begin{array}{l}(\mathrm{BrE}) <br>

(\mathrm{AmE})\end{array}\right\}\)

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| worship | wor | wo | (A |

Most verbs ending in $-p$, however, have the regular spellings in both BrE and AmE, eg: develop, envelop, gallop, gossip.

## 3.8

## Treatment of ' $\mathbf{-} \mathbf{y}$ '

(a) In bases ending in a consonant $+y$, the following changes occur before inflections that do not begin with $i$ :
carry $\sim$ carries carry $\sim$ carried but carry $\sim$ carrying The past of the following two verbs has a change $y \rightarrow i$ also after a vowel:
lay $\sim$ laid $\quad$ pay $\sim$ paid
Say $\sim$ said has the same change of spelling but, in addition, a change of vowel.
(b) In bases ending in -ie, the ie is replaced by $y$ before the -ing inflection:

$$
\text { die } \sim \text { dying } \quad \text { lie } \sim \text { lying }
$$

## 3.9

Deletion of ' $-e$ '
Final $-e$ is regularly dropped before the -ing and -ed inflections:
shave shaving shaved
Verbs with bases in -ee, $-y e,-o e$, and often -ge are exceptions to this rule in that they do not drop the -e before -ing; but they do drop it before -ed, as do also forms in -ie (tie $\sim$ tied):

| - -ee: | agree | agreeing | agreed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $-y e:$ | dye | dyeing | dyed |
| $-o e:$ | hoe | hoeing | hoed |
| $-g e:$ | singe | singeing | singed |

## Irregular lexical verbs

### 3.10

Irregular lexical verbs differ from regular verbs in the following ways:
(a) Irregular verbs either do not have a /d/ or $/ \mathrm{t} /$ inflection (drink $\sim$ drank $\sim$ drunk) or break the rule in 3.6 for a voiced inflection (eg: burn ~ burnt $/ \mathrm{t} /$, beside the regular burned $/ \mathrm{d} /$ ).
(b) Irregular verbs typically, but not necessarily, have variation in their base vowel:
find $\sim$ found $\sim$ found write $\sim$ wrote $\sim$ written
(c) Irregular verbs have a varying number of distinct forms. Since the $-s$ and -ing forms are predictable for regular and irregular verbs alike, the only forms that need be listed for irregular verbs are the base ( V ), the past ( $\mathrm{V}-e d_{1}$ ), and the past participle
(V-ed ${ }_{2}$ ). Most irregular verbs have, like regular verbs, only one common form for the past and the -ed participle, but there is considerable variation in this respect, as the table shows:

|  | BASE | V-ed | V-ed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| all alike | cut | cut | cut |
| V-ed $=\mathrm{V}$-ed ${ }_{2}$ | meet | met | met |
| $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}-e d_{2}$ | come | came | come |
| all different | speak | spoke | spoken |

In many cases, there are prefixed verbs having the same inflections, eg: outdo beside do.

## The auxiliaries 'do, have, be'

### 3.11

Do
The auxiliary do has the following forms:

|  | NON- <br> NEGATIVE | UNCONTRACTED <br> NEGATIVE | CONTRACTED <br> NEGATIVE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| present | $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\text { do } \\ \text { does } \\ \text { past }\end{array}\right.$ | do not <br> does not <br> did not | don't <br> doesn't <br> didn't |

Do as lexical verb ('perform', etc) and as pro-verb has the full range of forms, including the present participle doing and the past participle done:

What have you been doing today?
A: You said you would finish it. B: I have done so.

### 3.12

Have
Both as lexical verb and as auxiliary, have has the following forms:
NON- UNCONTRACTED CONTRACTED

NEGATIVE
NEGATIVE
NEGATIVE

| base | have, 've | have not, 've not | haven't |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -s form | has, 's | has not, 's not | hasn't |
| past | had, 'd | had not,'d not | hadn't |
| -ing form | having | not having |  |
| -ed participle | had |  |  |

## Note

In the stative sense of possession, have is often (especially in BrE ) constructed as an auxiliary. AmE prefers the do-construction:

I $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { haven't } \\ \text { don't have }\end{array}\right\}$ any books
In dynamic senses (receive, take, experience, etc), lexical have in both AmE and BrE normally has the do-construction:

Does he have coffee with his breakfast?
Did you have any difficulty getting here?
The do-construction is required in such expressions as
Did you have a good time?
There is also the informal have got, where have is constructed as an auxiliary, which is frequently preferred (especially in BrE ) as an alternative to have. It is particularly common in negative and interrogative sentences. As a further alternative for expressing negation, we have the negative determiner no:

I haven't got any books I have no books

### 3.13

## Be

The lexical and auxiliary verb be is unique among English verbs in having eight different forms:

|  |  NON. <br> NEGATIVE UNCONTRACTED <br> NEGATIVE CONTRACTED <br> NEGATIVE <br> base be am not, 'm not (aren't, ain't) <br> lst person <br> singular am, 'm is not, 's not isn't <br> 3rd person <br> singular is, 's are not, 're not aren't  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2nd person, <br> lst and 3rd <br> person. <br> plural | are, 're |  |  |


| past | 1st and 3rd person singular | was | was not | wasn't |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { 2nd person, } \\ 1 \mathrm{st} \text { and 3rd } \\ \text { person } \\ \text { plural }\end{array}\right.$ | were | were not | weren't |
| -ing form |  | being | not being |  |
| -ed participle |  | been |  |  |

## Note

[a] Aren't $I$ is widely used in BrE , but there is no generally acceptable contracted form for am not in declarative sentences. Ain't is substandard in BrE and is so considered by many in AmE; as well as serving as a contracted am not, it is used also for isn't, aren't, hasn't, and haven't.
[b] The lexical verb be may have the do-construction in persuasive imperative sentences and regularly has it with negative imperatives:

Do be quiet! Don't be silly!

## The modal auxiliaries

### 3.14

The modal auxiliaries are the following:

| NON- <br> NEGATIVE | UNCONTRACTED NEGATIVE | CONTRACTED NEGATIVE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\{\mathrm{can}$. | cannot, can not | can't |
| $\{$ could | could not | couldn't |
| \{ may | may not | mayn't |
| $\{$ might | might not | mightn't |
| \{ shall | shall not | shan't |
| \{ should | should not | shouldn't |
| \{ will, 'll | will not, 'll not | won't |
| \{ would, 'd | would not, 'd not | wouldn't |
| must | must not | mustn't |
| ought to | ought not to | oughtn't to |
| used to | used not to | usedn't to |
| need | need not | needn't |
| dare | dare not | daren't |

## Note

[a] Mayn't is restricted to BrE , where it is rare.
[b] Shan't is rare in AmE.
[c] Ought regularly has the to-infinitive, but AmE occasionally has the bare infinitive in negative sentences and in questions (although should is commoner in both cases): You oughtn't smoke so much; Ought you smoke so much?

### 3.15

## Marginal modal auxiliaries

Used always takes the to-infinitive and occurs only in the past tense. It may take the do-construction, in which case the spellings didn't used to and didn't use to both occur. The interrogative construction used he to is especially BrE ; did he used to is preferred in both AmE and BrE .

Dare and need can be constructed either as modal auxiliaries (with bare infinitive and with no inflected $-s$ form) or as lexical verbs (with $t o$-infinitive and with inflected $-s$ form). The modal verb construc-
tion is restricted to non-assertive contexts, ie mainly negative and interrogative sentences, whereas the lexical verb construction can always be used and is in fact the more common. Dare and need as auxiliaries are probably rarer in AmE than in BrE .

| MODAL AUXILIARY | LEXICAL VERB |
| :--- | :--- |
| CONSTRUCTION | CONSTRUCTION |

positive
negative interrogative negative-interrogative

He needn't go now Need he go now? Needn't he go now?

He needs to go now He doesn't need to go now Does he need to go now? Doesn't he need to go now?

## Note

[a] Non-assertive forms are not confined to overtly negative and/or interrogative sentences but can also be present in adverbials, eg: He need do it only under these circumstances. He need do it but once; in determiners, eg: He need have no fear, No soldier dare disobey; in pronouns, eg: No one dare predict ...; or even implicitly, eg: All you need do is, ... ('You need do no more than ...').
[b] Blends of the two constructions are widely acceptable in the case of dare: We do not dare speak.

## Finite and non-finite verb phrases

### 3.16

The verb forms operate in finite and non-finite verb phrases, which are distinguished as follows:
(1) Finite verb phrases have tense distinction:

$$
\mathrm{He}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { studies } \\
\text { studied }
\end{array}\right\} \text { English }
$$

(2) Finite verb phrases occur as the verb element of a clause. There is person and number concord between the subject and the finite verb. Concord is particularly overt with be:

$$
\mathrm{I}+a m \quad \mathrm{You} / \mathrm{we} / \text { they }+ \text { are } \quad \mathrm{He} / \text { she/it }+ \text { is }
$$

With most lexical verbs, concord is restricted to a contrast between 3 rd and non-3rd person singular present:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { He reads } \\ \text { They read }\end{array}\right\}$ the paper every morning
With the modal auxiliaries there is, however, no concord:
I/you/he/we/they can play the cello
(3) Finite verb phrases have mood. In contrast to the 'unmarked' INDICATIVE mood, we distinguish the 'marked' moods IMPERATIVE, and SUBJUNCTIVE.
(4) The non-finite forms of the verb are the infinitive (to call), the -ing participle (calling), and the -ed participle (called). Non-finite verb phrases consist of one or more such items. Compare:

## FINITE VERB PHRASES

He smokes heavily
He is working
He had been offended before

## NON-FINITE VERB PHRASES

To smoke like that must be dangerous I found him working
Having been offended before, he was sensitive

### 3.17

The modal, perfective, progressive and passive auxiliaries follow a strict order in the complex verb phrase:
[I] MODAL, always followed by an infinitive, as in He would visit
[II] PERFECTIVE, always followed by an -ed form, as in
He had visited
He would have visited
[III] PROGRESSIVE, always followed by an -ing form, as in
He was visiting
He would have been visiting
[IV] PASSIVE, always followed by an -ed form, as in
He was visited
He would have been being visited
The last example is added for completeness but the full range of auxiliaries is rarely found simultaneously in this way (though less rarely with the get passive). Rather, it should be noted that, while the above order is strictly followed, gaps are perfectly normal. For example:

I + III: He may be visiting
II + IV: He has been visited

## Tense, aspect, and mood

### 3.18

Time is a universal, non-linguistic concept with three divisions: past, present, and future; by tense we understand the correspondence between the form of the verb and our concept of time. Aspect concerns the manner in which the verbal action is experienced or regarded tor example as completed or in progress), while mood reates the verbal action to such condifions as certainty, obligation, necessity, possibility. In fact, however, to a great extent these three categories impinge on each other: in particular, the expression of time present and past cannot be considered separately from aspect, and the expression of the future is closely bound up with mood.

## Tense and aspect

### 3.19

We here consider the present and past tenses in relation to the progressive and perfective aspects. The range can be seen in the sentence frame 'I _ _ _ with a special pen', filling the blank with a phrase having the verb base write:

## SIMPLE COMPLEX

| present | write | progressive am writing | present |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| past | wrote | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { was writing } \\ \text { perfective } \\ \text { have written } \\ \text { had written } \end{array}\right.$ | past <br> (present) perfect <br> past (or plu-) perfect |
|  |  | perfect progressive have been writing had been writing | (present) perfect past (or plu-) perfect |

3.20

## Present

We need to distinguish three basic types of present:
(a) Timeless, expressed with the simple present form:

I (always) write with a special pen (when I sign my name) As well as expressing habitual action as here, the timeless present is used for universal statements such as

The sun sets in the west
Spiders have eight legs
(b) Limited, expressed with the present progressive:

I am writing (on this occasion) with a special pen (since I have mislaid my ordinary one)
Normally he lives in London but at present he is living in Boston

In indicating that the action is viewed as in process and of limited duration, the progressive can express incompleteness even with a verb like stop whose action cannot in reality have duration; thus the bus is stopping means that it is slowing down but has not yet stopped. The progressive (usually with an adverb of high frequency) can also be used of habitual action, conveying an emotional colouring such as irritation:

He's always writing with a special pen - just because he likes to be different
(c) Instantaneous, expressed with either the simple (especially in a series) or the progressive form:

Watch carefully now: first, I write with my ordinary pen; now, I write with a special pen
As you see, I am dropping the stone into the water
The simple present is, however, usual in radio commentary on sport ('Moore passes to Charlton'), and in certain performative declarations ('I name this ship Snaefell') it is obligatory.

## Note

The verbs keep (on), go on have a similar function to the normal progressive auxiliary be:
John $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { keeps } \\ \text { goes on }\end{array}\right\}$ asking silly questions

## Past

3.21

An action in the past may be seen
(1) as having taken place at a particular point of time; or
(2) over a period; if the latter, the period may be seen as
(a) extending up to the present, or
(b) relating only to the past; if the latter, it may be viewed as
(i) having been completed, or as
(ii) not having been completed


Typical examples will be seen to involve the perfective and progressive aspects as well as the simple past:
(1) I wrote my letter of 16 June 1972 with a special pen
(2a) I have written with a special pen since 1972
(2bi) I wrote with a special pen from 1969 to 1972
(2bii) I was writing poetry with a special pen
Habitual activity can also be expressed with the simple• past ('He always wrote with a special pen'), but since-unlike the simple present this is not implied without a suitable adverb, used to or (less commonly) would may be needed to bring out this sense:

He $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { used to } \\ \text { would }\end{array}\right\}$ write with a special pen
Note
Past time can be expressed with present tense forms. The 'historic present' is fairly common in vivid narrative:

At that moment, in comes a policeman
but has no such journalistic overtones with verbs of communicating:
John tells me that there was a car accident last night
On the other hand, past tense forms need not refer to past time. 'Did you want to see me?' is little more than a slightly politer version of 'Do you ...?'

### 3.22

## The past and the perfective

In relation to (2a), it is not the time specified in the sentence but the period relevant to the time specified that must extend to the present. Contrast

John lived in Paris for ten years
(which entails that the period of residence has come to an end and which admits the possibility that John is dead) with

John has lived in Paris for ten years
which entails that John is still alive but permits the residence in Paris to extend either to the present (the usual interpretation) or to some unspecified date in the past. Compare also:

For generations, Nepal has produced brilliant mountaineers
For generations, Sparta $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { produced } \\ \text { was producing }\end{array}\right\}$ fearless warriors
The first claims that Nepal is still in a position to produce more mountaineers, even if a long time may have elapsed since the last was produced. The second sentence, on the other hand, is uncommitted as to whether any further warriors can be produced by Sparta.

The choice of perfective aspect is associated with time-orientation and consequently also with various time-indicators (lately, since, so far, etc). It is therefore helpful to consider these two together. Here are some examples:

## ADVERBIALS

WITH SIMPLE PAST
(refer to a period now past)

I worked $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { yesterday (evening) } \\ \text { throughout January } \\ \text { on Tuesday }\end{array}\right.$

## ADVERBIALS <br> WITH PRESENT PERFECT

(refer to a period beginning in the past and stretching up to the present)
I have worked $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { since last January } \\ \text { up to now } \\ \text { lately } \\ \text { already }\end{array}\right.$

# ADVERBIALS WITH EITHER <br> SIMPLE PAST OR PRESENT PERFECT 

I $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { worked } \\ \text { have worked }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { today } \\ \text { this month } \\ \text { for an hour }\end{array}\right.$

## Note

There is some tendency (especially in AmE) to use the past informally in place of the perfective, as in I saw it already ( $=$ 'I have already seen it').

### 3.23

## Indefinite and definite

Through its ability to involve a span of time from earliest memory to the present, the perfective has an indefiniteness which makes it an appropriate verbal expression for introducing a topic of discourse. As the topic is narrowed down, the emerging definiteness is marked by the simple past as well as in the noun phrases. For example:

He says that he has seen a meteor at some time (between earliest memory and the present)
as compared with
He says that he saw the meteor last night that everyone is so excited about

Compare also:
Did you know that John has painted a portrait of Mary?
Did you know that John painted this portrait of Mary?

### 3.24

## Past perfect

What was said of the perfect in 3.21 -applies to the past perfect, with the complication that the point of current relevance to which the past perfect extends is a point in the past:


Thus:
(I say now [present] that) When I met him [relevant point in the past] John had lived in Paris for ten years
In some contexts, the simple past and the past perfect are interchangeable; eg:
I ate my lunch after my wife $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { came } \\ \text { had come }\end{array}\right\}$ home from her shopping

Here the conjunction after is sufficient specification to indicate that the arrival from the shopping expedition had taken place before the eating, so that the extra time indication by means of the past perfect becomes redundant.

## Note

There is no interchangeability when the past perfect is the past of the perfect:
John tells me that he hasn't seen Mary since Monday John told me that he hadn't seen Mary since Monday
*John told me that he didn't see Mary since Monday

### 3.25

## The past and the progressive

As with the present, the progressive when used with the past specifies the limited duration of an action:

I was writing with a special pen for a period last night but my hand grew tired

In consequence, it is a convenient device to indicate a time span within which another event (indicated by the simple past) can be seen as taking place:

While I was writing, the phone rang
The ability to express .incomplete action with the progressive is illustrated by the contrasting pair:

He read a book that evening (implies that he finished it)
He was reading a book that evening (implies that he did not finish it) and more strikingly by:

The girl was drowning in the lake (will permit 'but someone dived in and rescued her').
The girl drowned in the lake
Habitual activity may be expressed by the progressive provided it is clear that the habit is temporary:

At that time, we were bathing every day
and not merely sporadic:
*We were sometimes walking to the office
But general habits may be pejoratively referred to:
My brother was always losing his keys

### 3.26

## The perfect progressive

Limited duration (or incompleteness) and current relevance can be jointly expressed with the perfect progressive. Compare:

He has eaten my chocolates (they are all gone)
He was eating my chocolates (but I stopped him)
He has been eating my chocolates (but there are some left)
Frequently the perfect prögressive implies an especially recent activity, the effects of which are obvious, and the adverb just commonly accompanies this usage:

It has rained a great deal since you were here
Oh look! It has just been raining

### 3.27

## Verbal meaning and the progressive

As pointed out in 2.8, the progressive occurs only with dynamic verbs (or more accurately, with verbs in dynamic use). These verbs [A] fall into five classes while the stative verbs [B], which disallow the progressive, can be seen as belonging to one of two classes.

## [A] DYNAMIC

(1) Activity verbs: abandon, ask, beg, call, drink, eat, help, learn, listen, look at, play, rain, read, say, slice, throw, whisper, work, write, etc.
(2) Process verbs: change, deteriorate, grow, mature, slow down, widen, etc. Both activity and process verbs are frequently used in progressive aspect to indicate incomplete events in progress.
(3) Verbs of bodily sensation (ache, feel, hurt, itch, etc) can have either simple or progressive aspect with little difference in meaning.
(4) Transitional event verbs (arrive, die, fall, land, leave, lose, etc) occur in the progressive but with a change of meaning compared with simple aspect. The progressive implies inception, ie only the approach to the transition.
(5) Momentary verbs (hit, jump, kick, knock, nod, tap, etc) have little duration, and thus the progressive aspect powerfully suggests repetition.

## [B] STATIVE

(1) Verbs of inert perception and cognition: abhor, adore, astonish, believe, desire, detest, dislike, doubt, feel, forgive, guess, hate, hear, imagine, impress, intend, know, like, love, mean, mind, perceive, please, prefer, presuppose, realize, recall, recognize, regard, remember, satisfy, see, smell, suppose, taste, think, understand, want, wish, etc. Some of these verbs may take other than a recipient subject (7.11), in which case they belong with the A1 class. Compare:

I think you are right [B1]
I am thinking of you all the time [A1]
(2) Relational verbs: apply to (everyone), be, belong to, concern, consist of, contain, cost, depend on, deserve, equal, fit, have, include, involve, lack, matter, need, owe, own, possess, remain (a bachelor), require, resemble, seem, sound, suffice, tend, etc.

## The future

### 3.28

There is no obvious future tense in English corresponding to the time/tense relation for present and past. Instead there are several possibilities for denoting future time. Futurity, modality, and aspect are closely related, and future time is rendered by means of modal auxiliaries or semiauxiliaries, or by simple present forms or progressive forms.

### 3.29

## 'Will' and 'shall'

will or 'll + infinitive in all persons
shall + infinitive (in 1st person only; chiefly BrE )
I will/shall arrive tomorrow
He'll be here in half an hour
The future and modal functions of these auxiliaries can hardly be separated; but shall and, particularly, will are the closest approximation to a colourless, neutral future. Will for future can be used in all persons throughout the English-speaking world, whereas shall (for 1st person) is largely restricted in this usage to southern BrE .

The auxiliary construction is also used to refer to a statement seen in the past from a point of orientation in the future:

They will have finished their book by next year

## Note

Other modal auxiliaries can have future reference also: 'He may leave tomorrow' $=$ ' He will possibly leave ...'

### 3.30

## 'Be going to' + infinitive

This construction denotes 'future fulfilment of the present'. Looked at more carefully, be going to has two more specific meanings, of which one, 'future of present intention', is used chiefly with personal subjects:

When are you going to get married?
The other meaning is 'future of present cause', which is found with both personal and non-personal subjects:

She's going to have a baby
It's going to rain

Both of these suggest that the event is already 'on the way'. Be going to is not generally used in the main clause of conditional sentences, will'll or shall being preferred instead:

If you leave now, you'll never regret it -

### 3.31

## Present progressive

The present progressive refers to a future happening anticipated in the present. Its basic meaning is 'fixed arrangement, plan, or programme':

He's moving to London
Since the progressive is used to denote present as well as. future, a time adverbial is often used to clarify in which meaning the verb is being used:

They are washing the dishes $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { now } \\ \text { later }\end{array}\right.$
The present progressive is especially frequent with dynamic transitional verbs like arrive, come, go, land, start, stop, etc, which refer to a transition between two states or positions:

The plane is taking off at 5.20
The President is coming to the UN this week

### 3.32

## Simple present

The simple present is regularly used in subordinate clauses that are conditional (introduced by $i f$, unless, etc) or temporal (introduced by as soon as, before, when, etc):

What will you say if I marry my boss?
I'll tell you about it when we get home
The use of the simple present in main clauses may be said to represent a marked future aspect of unusual certainty, in that it attributes to the future something of the positiveness one normally associates with present and past events. It is used for statements about the calendar:

Yesterday was Monday, today is Tuesday, and tomorrow is Wednesday and to describe immutable events or 'fixtures':

When is high tide?
What time is the football match?
Both the simple present and the progressive are often used with dynamic transitional verbs: arrive, come, leave, etc, both having the meaning of 'plan' or 'programme':

The train $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { leaves } \\ \text { is leaving }\end{array}\right\}$ tonight from Chicago

### 3.33

## 'Will/shall' + progressive

The auxiliary verb construction can be used together with the progressive infinitive to denote a 'future-as-a-matter-of-course': will/shall + be + +V -ing. The use of this combination avoids the interpretation (to which will, shall, and be going to are liable) of volition, insistence, etc:

He'll do his best -(future or volitional interpretation possible)
He'll be doing his best (future interpretation only)
This complex construction can be used to convey greater tact and consideration than the simple auxiliary construction does:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { When will you }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { put on } \\
\text { be putting on }
\end{array}\right\} \text { another performance? } \\
& \text { When will you }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { come? } \\
\text { be coming? }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.34

'Be to' + infinitive
This expresses (a) arrangement, (b) command, or (c) contingent future:
(a) We are to be married soon

There's to be an investigation
(b) You are to be back by 10 o'clock
(c) If he is to succeed, he must work harder

### 3.35

'Be about to' + infinitive
This construction expresses near future, ie imminent fulfilment:
The taxi is here and we are about to leave
Be ... to may enclose other items such as shortly or soon to provide a means of future expression; with other items again (bound, liable, certain, (un)likely), future expression is overlaid with modal meaning:

He is certain to address the meeting ( $=$ It is certain that he will address ...)

### 3.36

Future time in the past
Some of the future constructions just discussed can be used in the past tense to express time which is in the future when seen from a viewpoint in the past.
(1) AUXILIARY VERB CONSTRUCTION with would (rare; literary narrative style)

The time was not far off when he would regret this decision
(2) be going to + INFINITIVE (often with the sense of 'unfulfilled intention')

You were going to give me your address
(3) PAST PROGRESSIVE

I was meeting him in Bordeaux the next day
(4) be to + INFINITIVE (formal = 'was destined', 'was arranged')

He was later to regret his decision
The meeting was to be held the following week
(5) be about to ('on the point of')

He was about to hit me

## Mood

### 3.37

Mood is expressed in English to a very minor extent by the subjunctive, as in

So be it then!
to a much greater extent by past tense forms, as in
If you taught me, I would learn quickly
but above all, by means of the modal auxiliaries, as in
It is strange that he should have left so early

### 3.38

## The subjunctive

Three categories of subjunctive may be distinguished:
(a) The MANDATIVE SUBJUNCTIVE in that-clauses has only one form, the base (V); this means there is lack of the regular indicative concord between subject and finite verb in the 3rd person singular present, and the present and past tenses are indistinguishable. This subjunctive can be used with any verb in subordinate that-clauses when the main clause contains an expression of recommendation, resolution, demand, and so on (We demand, require, move, insist, suggest, ask, etc that ...). The use of this subjunctive occurs chiefly in formal style (and especially in AmE) where in less formal contexts one would rather make use of other devices, such as $t o$-infinitive or should + infinitive:

It is necessary that every member inform himself of these rules
It is necessary that every member should inform himself of these rules
It is necessary for every member to inform himself of these rules
(b) The FORMULAIC SUBJUNCTIVE also consists of the base (V) but is only used in clauses in certain set expressions which have to be learned as wholes (see 7.63):

Come what may, we will go ahead
God save the Queen!
Suffice it to say that ...
Be that as it may ...
Heaven forbid that ...
(c) The SUBJUNCTIVE were is hypothetical in meaning and is used in conditional and concessive clauses and in subordinate clauses after optative verbs like wish. It occurs as the 1st and 3rd person singular past of the verb be, matching the indicative was, which is the more common in less formal style:
If she $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { were } \\ \text { was }\end{array}\right\}$ to do something like that,...
He spoke to me as if I $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { were } \\ \text { was }\end{array}\right\}$ deaf
I wish I $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { were } \\ \text { was }\end{array}\right\}$ dead

## Note

Only were is acceptable in 'As it were' (= so to speak); were is usual in 'If I were you'.

### 3.39

## Modal past

Just as was could replace were in 'If I were rich', so in closed or unreal conditions involving all other verbs than be, it is the past tense that conveys the impossibility. Other modal or quasi-modal uses of the past are illustrated by

I wondered if you'd like a drink
which involves an attitudinal rather than a time distinction from 'I wonder if you'd like a drink', and

We were catching the 8 o'clock train and it is nearly 8 o'clock already which seems to depend on a covert subordinating clause such as 'We agreed that ...' in which the past tense is purely temporal.

## The uses of the modal auxiliaries

3.40

CAN/COULD
can
(1) Ability
$=$ be able to, be capable of, know how to
(2) Permission
$=$ be allowed to, be permitted to (Can is less formal than may in this sense)

He can speak English but he can't write it very well ('He is able to speak/capable of speaking ...')
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Can } \\ \text { May }\end{array}\right\}$ I smoke in here?
('Am I allowed to smoke in here?')
(3) Theoretical possibility (Contrast may $=$ factual possibility)

Anybody can make mistakes The road can be blocked ('It is possible to block the road')
could
(1) Past ability I never could play the banjo
(2) Present or future permission

Could I smoke in here?
(3) Present possibility (theoretical or factual)

We could go to the concert The road could be blocked
(4) Contingent possibility or ability If we had more money, we could in unreal conditions buy a car

## Note

[a] Ability can bring in the implication of willingness (especially in spoken English):
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Can } \\ \text { Could }\end{array}\right\}$ you do me a favour?
[b] Past permission is sometimes expressed by could:
This used to be the children's room but they couldn't make a noise there because of the neighbours
More generally, the past can/could for permission and possibility is could have $+V$-ed:
Tonight you can dance if you wish but you could have danced last night equally
[c] With some perception verbs, can $V$ corresponds to the progressive aspect be $V$-ing with dynamic verbs:

I can hear footsteps; who's coming?

### 3.41

MAY/MIGHT
may
(1) Permission
= be allowed to
(In this sense may is more formal than can. Instead of may not or rare mayn't, the stronger mustn't is often used in the negative to express prohibition.)

You may borrow my car if you like You $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { mustn't } \\ \text { are not allowed to } \\ \text { may not }\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned} & \text { borrow } \\ & \text { my car }\end{aligned}$
 The road may be blocked ('It is possible that the road is blocked'; less probably: 'It is possible to block the road')
might
(1) Permission (rare)
(2) Possibility (theoretical or factual)

Might I smoke in here?
We might go to the concert
What you say might be true

## Note

[a] May and might are among the modal auxiliaries which involve differences of meaning in passing from declarative to interrogative or negative.
[b] There is a rare use of may as a 'quasi-subjunctive' auxiliary, eg to express wish, normally in positive sentences:

May he never set foot in this house again!

### 3.42

SHALL/SHOULD
shall
(1) Willingness on the part of the speaker in 2 nd and 3 rd person. Restricted use

He shall get his money
You shall do exactly as you wish
(2) Intention on the part of the speaker, only in 1st person

I shan't be long
We shall let you know our decision We shall overcome
(3) $a$ Insistence. Restricted use

## $b$ Legal and quasi-legal injunction

You shall do as I say
He shall be punished
The vendor shall maintain the equipment in good repair

Of these three meanings it is only the one of intention that is widely used today. Shall is, on the whole and especially outside BrE , an infrequent auxiliary with restricted use compared with should, will, and would; will is generally preferred, except in 1st person questions:

Shall/*Will I come at once?
In the first person plural, eg
What shall/will we drink?
shall asks for instructions, and will is non-volitional future (especially in AmE). Will $I /$ we has become increasingly common not only in contexts of non-volitional futurity (Will I see you later?), but also in sentences expressing helplessness, perplexity, etc:

How will I get there? What will I do? Which will I take?
This usage is predominantly AmE (though should is commonly preferred) but examples may be found in BrE too. A similar meaning is also conveyed by be going to:

What are we going to do?
should
(1) Obligation and logical necessity ( $=$ ought to)

You should do as he says
They should be home by now
(2) 'Putative' use after certain expressions, eg: it is a pity that, I am surprised that (see 11.51, 12.12, 12.17)

It is odd that you should say this to me
I am sorry that this should have happened
(3) Contingent use (1st person only and especially BrE ) in the main clause ( $=$ would)

We $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { should } \\ \text { would }\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned} & \text { love to go abroad (if } \\ & \text { we had the chance) }\end{aligned}$
(4) In rather formal real conditions

If you should change your mind, please let us know

### 3.43

will/would
will
(1) Willingness. Used in polite requests

He'll help you if you ask him Will you have another cup of coffee?
Will you (please, kindly, etc) open the window?
(2) Intention. Usually contracted ' $l l$; mainly 1st person

I'll write as soon as I can
We won't stay longer than two hours
(3) Insistence. Stressed, hence no ${ }^{\prime} / l \mathrm{He}$ 'will do it, whatever you say contraction
('He insists on doing it ...')
(Cf He 'shall do it, whatever you say = 'I insist on his doing it ...')
He will keep interrupting me
(4) Prediction
$C f$ the similar meanings of other expressions for logical necessity and habitual present. The contracted form 'll is common.
(a) Specific prediction: The game $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { will } \\ \text { must } \\ \text { should }\end{array}\right\} \begin{gathered}\text { be finished } \\ \text { by now }\end{gathered}$
(b) Timeless prediction:

Oil $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { will float } \\ \text { floats }\end{array}\right\}$ on water
(c) Habitual prediction:

He'll (always) talk for hours if you give him the chance would
(1) Willingness
(2) Insistence

Would you excuse me?

It's your own fault; you 'would take
the baby with you
(3) Characteristic activity in the past (often aspectual in effect)

Every morning he would go for a long walk (ie 'it was customary')
John 'would make a mess of it (informal = 'It was typical')
(4) Contingent use in the main clause of a conditional sentence

He would smoke too much if I didn't stop him
(5) Probability

## Note

Volition with preference is expressed with would rather/sooner:
A: Would you like tea or would you rather have coffee?
B: I think I'd rather have tea.
The expression with sooner is informal.

### 3.44

## MUST

(1) Obligation or compulsion in the present tense ( $=$ be obliged to, have (got) to); except in reported speech, only had to (not must) is used in the past. There are two negatives: $(1)=$ 'not be obliged to': needn't, don't have to; (2) = 'be obliged not to': mustn't.

You must be back by 10 o'clock Yesterday you had to be back by 10 o'clock
Yesterday you said you $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { had to } \\ \text { must }\end{array}\right\}$ be back by 10 o'clock
You $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { needn't } \\ \text { don't have to } \\ \text { are not obliged to }\end{array}\right\}$ be back by 10 o'clock
(2) (Logical) necessity Must is not used in sentences with negative or interrogative meanings, can being used instead. Must can occur in superficially interrogative but answer-assuming sentences.

There must be a mistake
but: There cannot be a mistake

Mustn't there be another reason for his behaviour?

### 3.45

OUGHT TO
Obligation; logical necessity or expectation

You ought to start at once
They ought to be here by now

## Note

Ought to and should both denote obligation and logical necessity, but are less categorical than must and have to. Ought to is often felt to be awkward in questions involving inversion, and should is preferred. Still less categorical than ought is had/'d better/best (plus bare infinitive):

A: Must you go?
B: Well, I don't have to, but I think I'd better (go).

### 3.46

## The tense of modals

Only some of the modals have corresponding present and past forms:

| PRESENT | PAST |
| :--- | :--- |
| can | could |
| may | could (might) <br> shall <br> should <br> will'll <br> must |
| $-\quad$ would 'd |  |
| ought to | (had to) |
| need | used to |
| dare | - |
|  | - |

He can speak English now
He'll do anything for money

He couldn't come yesterday
He wouldn't come when I asked him yesterday

The usual past tense of may denoting permission is could:
Today, we $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { can } \\ \text { may }\end{array}\right\}$ stay the whole afternoon
Yesterday, we could only stay for a few minutes

The following modals are not used in the past tense except in reported speech: must, ought to, and need. Had to serves as the past of both must and have to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{He}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { must } \\
\text { has to }
\end{array}\right\} \text { leave now } \\
& \mathrm{He}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
{ }^{*} \text { must } \\
\text { had to }
\end{array}\right\} \text { leave in a hurry yesterday }
\end{aligned}
$$



### 3.47

## The modals and aspect

The perfective and progressive aspects are normally excluded when the modal expresses 'ability' or 'permission', and also when shall or will express 'volition'. These aspects are freely used, however, with other modal meanings; eg
> 'possibility' $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { He may have missed the train. } \\ \text { He may have been visiting his mother } \\ \text { He can't be swimming all day } \\ \text { He can't have been working }\end{array}\right.$
> 'necessity' $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { He must have left his umbrella on the bus } \\ \text { I must be dreaming } \\ \text { You must have been sitting in the sun }\end{array}\right.$
> 'prediction' $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { The guests will have arrived by now } \\ \text { John will still be reading his paper }\end{array}\right.$

## NOUNS, PRONOUNS, AND THE BASIC NOUN PHRASE

## 4.1

## The basic noun phrase

The noun phrase typically functions as subject, object, complement of sentences, and as complement in prepositional phrases. Consider the different subjects in the following:
(a) The girl
(b) The pretty girl
(c) The pretty girl in the corner
is Mary Smith
(d) The pretty girl who became angry
(e) She

Since noun phrases of the types illiustrated in (b-d) include elements that will be dealt with in later chapters (adjectives, prepositional phrases, etc), it will be convenient to postpone the treatment of the noun phrase incorporating such items. We shall deal here with the elements found in those noun phrases that consist of pronouns and numerals, and of nouns with articles or other closed-system items that can occur before the noun head, such as predeterminers like all.

## Noun classes

## 4.2

It is necessary, both for grammatical and semantic reasons, to see nouns as falling into different subclasses. This is easily demonstrated by taking the four nouns John, bottle, furniture and cake and considering the extent to which it is possible for each to appear as head of the noun phrase operating as object in the following sentence (some in the fourth line is the unstressed determiner: 4.5):

I saw | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (2) | *bottle | furniture | cake |
| John | the bottle | the furniture | the cake |
| *the John $^{\text {a John }}$ | a bottle | *a furniture | a cake |
| *some John | *some bottle | some furniture | some cake |
| *Johns | bottles | *furnitures | cakes |

The difference between column 1 (with its four impossible usages) and column 4 (with none) indicates the degree of variation between classes. Nouns that behave like John in column 1 (Paris, Mississippi, Gandhi, ...) are PROPER NOUNS, further discussed in 4.23. The nouns in columns 2, 3 and 4 are all COMMON NOUNS, but there are im-
portant differences within this class. Nouns which, behave like bottle in column 2 (chair, word, finger, remark, ...), which must be seen as individual countable entities and cannot be viewed as an undifferentiated mass, are called COUNT NOUNS. Those conforming like furniture to the pattern of column 3 (grass, warmth, humour, ...) must by contrast be seen as an undifferentiated mass or continuum, and we call them NON-COUNT NOUNS. Finally in column 4 we have nouns which combine the characteristics of count and non-count nouns (cake, paper, stone, ...); that is, we can view stone as the non-count material (as in column 3) constituting the entity $a$ stone (as in column 2) which can be picked up from a pile of stones and individually thrown.

## 4.3

It will be noticed that the categorization count and non-count cuts across the traditional distinction between 'abstract' (broadly, immaterial) nouns like warmth, and 'concrete' (broadly, tangible) nouns like bottle. But while abstract nouns may be count like remark or non-count like warmth, there is a considerable degree of overlap between abstract and non-count. This does not proceed from nature but is languagespecific, and we list some examples which are non-count in English but count nouns in some other languages:
anger, applause, behaviour, chaos, chess, conduct, courage, dancing, education, harm, homework, hospitality, leisure, melancholy, moonlight, parking, photography, poetry, progress, publicity, research (as in do some research), resistance, safety, shopping, smoking, sunshine, violence, weather

## Note

Another categorization that cuts across the count and non-count distinction will identify a small class of nouns that behave like most adjectives in being gradable. Though such degree nouns are chiefly non-count ('His acts of great foolishness' $=$ 'His acts were very foolish'), they can also be count nouns: 'The children are such thieves!'

## 4.4

Nevertheless, when we turn to the large class of nouns, which can be both count and non-count, we see that there is often considerable difference in meaning involved and that this corresponds broadly to concreteness or particularization in the count usage and abstractness or generalization in the non-count usage. For example:

## COUNT

I've had many difficulties
He's had many odd experiences
Buy an evening paper
She was a beauty in her youth
The talks will take place in Paris

## NON-COUNT

He's not had much difficulty
This job requires experience
Wrap the parcel in brown paper She had beauty in her youth I dislike idle talk

There were bright lights and harsh sounds
The lambs were eating quietly
In many cases the type of distinction between lamb count and lamb non-count is achieved by separate lexical items: (a) sheep $\sim$ (some) mutton; (a) calf $\sim$ (some) veal; (a) pig $\sim$ (some) pork; (a) loaf $\sim$ (some) bread; (a) table $\sim$ (some) furniture.

## Note

Virtually all non-count nouns can be treated as count nouns when used in classificatory senses:

> There are several French wines available $(=$ kinds of wine $)$
> This is $a$ bread I greatly enjoy ( $=$ kind of bread)

## 4.5

## Determiners

There are six classes of determiners with respect to their cooccurrence with the noun classes singular count (such as bottle), plural count (such as bottles), and non-count nouns (such as furniture). The check marks in the figures that follow indicate which noun classes will co-occur with members of the determiner class concerned.

| COUNT | NON-COUNT |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| SINGULAR | bottle |  |
| PLURAL | fottles |  |
|  | furniture |  |

[A]

the
possessive ( $m y$, our, etc: see 4.87)
whose, which (ever), what (ever)
some (stressed)
any (stressed) $\}$ see $4.92 f$
no
[B]

zero article (as in'They need furniture')
some (unstressed)
any (unstressed)
enough
this that
[E]

$a(n)$ every each either neither
[D]

[F]

much

## Note

[a] Many of the determiners can be pronominal:
Either book $\sim$ Either of the books $\sim$ You can have either
[b] Every can co-occur with possessives: his every word ( = 'each of his words').

## 4.6

## Closed-system premodifiers

In addition to determiners, there is a large number of other closedsystem items that occur before the head of the noun phrase. These form three classes (predeterminers, ordinals, and quantifiers) which have been set up on the basis of the possible positions that they can have in relation to determiners and to each other. Within each of the three classes, we will make distinctions according to their patterning with the classes of singular count, plural count, and non-count nouns.

## Note

We will also include here some open-class premodifiers that commute to a significant extent with closed-system items, eg: three times (cf: once, twice), a large quantity of (cf: much).

## Predeterminers

## 4.7

All, both, half


These predeterminers can occur only before articles or demonstratives but, since they are themselves quantifiers, they do not occur with the following 'quantitative' determiners: every (n)either each, some, any, no, enough.

All, both, and half have of-constructions, which are optional with nouns and obligatory with personal pronouns:
all (of) the meat
both (of) the students
half (of) the time
all of it
both of them
half of it

With a quantifier following, the of-construction is preferred (especially in AmE):
all of the many boys
All three can be used pronominally:
All/both/half passed their exams
All and both (but not half) can occur after the head, either immediately or within the predication:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { The students } \\ \text { They }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { all } \\ \text { both }\end{array}\right\}$ passed their exams
The students were all hungry
They may have all finished
The predeterminer both and the determiners either and neither are not plural proper but 'dual', ie they can refer only to two. Compared with the numeral two, both is emphatic:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Both (the) } \\ \text { The two }\end{array}\right\}$ students were excellent
All is rare with concrete count nouns (? I haven't used all the pencil) though it is less rare with contrastive stress: I haven't read ALL the book, where book is treated as a kind of divisible mass. The normal constructions would be all of the book or the whole book.

Before certain temporal nouns, and especially in adjunct phrases, all is often used with the zero article: I haven't seen him all day.

## Note

[a] There is also an adverbial half (as in half wine, half water) which occurs in familiar emphatic negation and can precede enough:

He hasn't $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { half } \\ \text { nearly }\end{array}\right\}$ enough money!
Added to numbers from one upwards, a half co-occurs with ptural nouns: one and a half days.
[b] The postposed all in 'They were all hungry' must not be confused with its use as an informal intensifying adverb in 'He is all upset'.

## 4.8

Double, twice, three/four ... times
The second type of predeterminer includes double, twice, three times, etc, which occur with non-count and plural count nouns, and with singular count nouns denoting number, amount, etc.:
double their salaries
twice his strength
three times this amount
Three, four, etc times as well as once can co-occur with the determiners $a$, every, each, and (less commonly) per to form 'distributive' expressions with a temporal noun as head:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { once } \\ \text { twice } \\ \text { three } \\ \text { four } \\ \ldots\end{array}\right\} \text { times }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { a } \\ \text { every } \\ \text { each } \\ \text { (per) }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { day } \\ \text { week } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { year } \\ \text { decade } \\ \ldots\end{array}\right.$

## 4.9 <br> One-third, two-fifths, etc

The fractions one-third, two-fifths, three-quarters, etc, used with non-count and with singular and plural count nouns, can also be followed by determiners, and have the alternative of-construction:

He did it in one-third (of) the time it took me

## Postdeterminers

### 4.10

Items which must follow determiners but precede adjectives in the premodification structure include numerals (ordinal and cardinal) and quantifiers.

### 4.11

## Cardinal numerals

Apart from one, which can co-occur only with singular count nouns, all cardinal numerals (two, three, ...) co-occur only with plural count nouns:

He has one sister and three brothers
The two blue cars belong to the firm

## Note

One may be regarded as a stressed form of the indefinite article: 'I would like a/one large cigar'. In consequence, although the definite article may precede any cardinal, the indefinite can not.

### 4.12

## Ordinal numerals and general ordinals

In addition to the ordinals which have a one-for-one relation with the cardinals (fourth $\sim$ four; twentieth $\sim$ twenty), we consider here items like next, last, (an)other, additional, which resemble them grammatically
and semantically. All ordinals co-occur only with count nouns and usually precede any cardinal numbers in the noun phrase:

The first three planes were American
The general ordinals, however, may be used freely before or after cardinals, according to the meaning required:

His $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { last two } \\ \text { two last }\end{array}\right\}$ books were novels

## Note

Another has two functions. It can be the unstressed form of one other or it can have the same meaning as 'second' with indefinite article:

I don't like this house: I'd prefer another one
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Another } \\ \text { A second }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { blue car } \\ \text { two volumes of poetry }\end{array}\right.$

## Quantifiers

### 4.13

There are two small groups of closed-system quantifiers:
(1) many, (a) few, and several co-occur only with plural count nouns:

The few words he spoke were well chosen
(2) much and (a) little co-occur only with non-count nouns:

There hasn't been much good weather recently
Several is rarely (and much virtually never) preceded by a determiner, and in the case of few and little there is a positive/negative contrast according as the indefinite article is or is not used:

He took $\begin{cases}\text { a few biscuits } & (=\text { several }) \\ \text { few biscuits } & (=\text { not many }) \\ \text { a little butter } & (=\text { some }) \\ \text { little butter } & \text { (= not much) }\end{cases}$

Since the first of these has a plural count noun and the third a non-count noun, neither of which co-occurs with the indefinite article, it will be clear that in these instances $a$ belongs to the quantifier alone.

## Note

[a] The quantifier (a) little must be distinguished from the homonymous adjective as in A little bird was singing.
[b] Many and few can be used predicatively in formal style (His faults were many), and many has the additional potentiality of functioning as a predeterminer with singular count nouns preceded by $a(n)$ :

Many an ambitious student (= Many ambitious students)
[c] The quantifier enough is used with both count and non-count nouns:
There are (not) enough chairs
There is (not) enough furniture
Occasionally it follows the noun (especially non-count) but this strikes many people as archaic or dialectal.

### 4.14

There is also a large open class of phrasal quantifiers. Some can co-occur equally with non-count and plural count nouns:

The room contained $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { plenty of } \\ \text { a lot of } \\ \text { lots of }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { students } \\ \text { furniture }\end{array}\right.$
These (especially lots) are chiefly used informally, though plenty of is stylistically neutral in the sense 'sufficient'. Others are restricted to occurring with non-count nouns:

The room contained $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { a }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { great } \\ \text { good }\end{array}\right\} \text { deal of } \\ \text { a }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { (large) } \\ \text { (small) }\end{array}\right\}\end{array}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { quantity } \\ \text { amount }\end{array}\right\}\right.$ of $\}$ money
or to plural count nouns:
The room contained a $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { (great) } \\ \text { (large) } \\ \text { (good) }\end{array}\right\}$ number of students
As these examples suggest, it is usual to find the indefinite article and a quantifying adjective, the latter being obligatory in Standard English with deal.

### 4.15

The phrasal quantifiers provide a means of imposing countability on non-count nouns as the following partitive expressions illustrate:

GENERAL PARTITIVES $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { two pieces } \\ \text { a bit } \\ \text { an item }\end{array}\right\}$ of $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { news } \\ \text { information } \\ \text { furniture }\end{array}\right.$
TYPICAL PARTITIVES $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { a slice of cake } \\ \text { a roast of meat } \\ \text { a few loaves of bread } \\ \text { a bowl of soup } \\ \text { a bottle of wine }\end{array}\right.$
MEASURES $\begin{aligned} & \left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { pint of beer } \\ \text { a spoonful of medicine } \\ \text { a pound of butter }\end{array}\right.\end{aligned}$

## Reference and the articles

### 4.16

## Specific/generic reference

In discussing the use of the articles, it is essential to make a distinction between specific and generic reference. If we say

A lion and two tigers are sleeping in the cage
the reference is specific, since we have in mind specific specimens of the class 'tiger'. If, on the other hand, we say

Tigers are dangerous animals
the reference is generic, since we are thinking of the class 'tiger' without special reference to specific tigers.

The distinctions that are important for count nouns with specific reference disappear with generic reference. This is so because generic reference is used to denote what is normal or typical for members of a class. Consequently, the distinctions of number and definiteness are neutralized since they are no longer relevant for the generic concept. Singular or plural, definite or indefinite can sometimes be used without change in the generic meaning, though plural definite occurs chiefly with nationality names:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { The German } \\ \text { A German }\end{array}\right\}$ is a good musician

## $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { The Germans } \\ \text { Germans }\end{array}\right\}$ are good musicians.

At least the following three forms can be used generically with a count noun:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { The tiger } \\ \text { A tiger }\end{array}\right\}$ is a dangerous animal

Tigers are dangerous animals
But with non-count nouns, only the zero article is possible:
Music can be soothing

## Note

There is considerable (though by no means complete) interdependence between the dynamic/stative dichotomy in the verb phrase and the specific/generie dichotomy in the noun phrase, as appears in the following examples:
generic reference/simple aspect
specific reference $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { simple aspect } \\ \text { progressive aspect }\end{array}\right.$

The tiger lives in the jungle
The tiger at this circus performs twice a day
The tiger is sleeping in the cage
specific reference $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { simple aspect } \\ \text { progressive aspect }\end{array}\right.$

The Englishmen (who live here) drink beer every day
The Englishmen are just now drinking beer in the garden

### 4.17

## Systems of article usage

We can thus set up two different systems of article use depending on the type of reference:

DEFINITE

| the tiger | the ink |
| :---: | :---: |
| the tigers |  |


| the tiger <br> a tiger <br> tigers | ink |
| :--- | :--- |

With definite specific reference, the definite article is used for all noun classes:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Where is the pen } \\ \text { Where are the pens } \\ \text { Where is the ink }\end{array}\right\}$ I bought?
With indefinite specific reference, singular count nouns take the indefenite article $a(n)$, while non-count and plural count nouns take zero article or unstressed some (any in non-assertive contexts, 4.93):

I want a pen/some pens/some ink
I don't want a pen/any pens/any ink

## Generic reference

### 4.18

Nationality words and adjectives as head
There are two kinds of adjectives that can act as noun-phrase head with generic reference:
(a) PLURAL PERSONAL (for example: the French $=$ the French nation; the rich $=$ those who are rich)
(b) SINGULAR NON-PERSONAL ABSTRACT (for example: the evil $=$ that which is evil)

There is a lexical variation in a number of nationality words, as between an Englishman/several Englishmen/the English, depending on type of reference.

Where nationality words have no double form (like English, Englishman), the + plural can be both generic and specific:

The Greeks are musical [generic]
The Greeks that I know are musical [specific]

### 4.19

## Non-count and plural count nouns

When they have generic reference, both concrete and abstract non-count nouns, and usually also plural count nouns, are used with the zero article:

He likes $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { wine, wood, cream cheese, ... } \\ \text { music, chess, literature, history, skiing, ... } \\ \text { lakes, games, long walks, ... }\end{array}\right.$
Postmodification by an of-phrase usually requires the definite article with a head noun, which thus has limited generic (partitive) reference:

He likes $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { the wine(s) } \\ \text { the music } \\ \text { the countryside } \\ \text { the lakes }\end{array}\right\}$ of France
Similarly, the wines of this shop is an instance of limited generic reference, in the sense that it does not refer to any particular wines at any one time. Postmodification with other prepositions is less dependent on a preceding definite article:

| Mrs Nelson adores | Venetian glass the glass of Venice *glass of Venice the glass from Venice glass from Venice |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NON-COUNT | Canadian paper | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { the paper of Canada } \\ \text { paper from Canada } \end{array}\right.$ |
| NOUNS | Chinese history <br> American literature | the history of China the literature of America the comedy of the Res- |
|  | R | toration |
| PLURAL COUNT | Japanese cameras | cameras from Japan |
| NOUNS | Oriental women | the women of the Orient |

The zero article is used with plural nouns that are not unambiguously generic:

Appearances can be deceptive
Things aren't what they used to be

Just as non-count nouns can be used as count (4.4 Note), so count nouns can be used as non-count in a generic sense:

This bread tastes of onion; has it been alongside onions?

## Specific reference

### 4.20

## Indefinite and definite

Just as we have seen in 4.16 a correspondence between aspect and reference in respect of generic and specific, so we have seen in 3.23 a correspondence between the simple and perfective in respect of what must be regarded as the basic article contrast:

An intruder has stolen $a$ vase;
the intruder stole the vase from a locked cupboard;
the cupboard was smashed open.
As we see in this (unusually explicit) example, the definite article presupposes an earlier mention of the item so determined. But in actual usage the relation between presupposition and the definite article may be much less overt. For example, a conversation may begin:

The house on the corner is for sale
and the postmodification passes for some such unspoken preamble as
There is, as you know, $a$ house on the corner
Compare also What is the climate like? - that is, the climate of the area being discussed. Even more covert are the presuppositions which permit the definite article in examples like the following:

John asked his wife to put on the kettle while he looked in the paper to see what was on the radio

No prior mention of a kettle, a paper, a radio is needed, since these things are part of the cultural situation.

On a broader plane, we talk of the sun, the moon, the stars, the sky as aspects of experience common to mankind as a whole. These seem to require no earlier indefinite reference because each term is so specific as to be in fact unique for practical human purposes. This gives them something approaching the status of those proper names which are based on common count nouns: the Bible, the United States, for example.

## Note

[a] The indefinite article used with a proper name means 'a certain', 'one giving his name as':

A Mr Johnson wants to speak to you
[b] The definite article given heavy stress is used (especially informally) to indicate superlative quality:

Chelsea is THE place for young people
Are you THE Mr. Johnson ( $=$ the famous)?

## Common nouns with zero article

There are a number of count nouns that take the zero article in abstract or rather specialized use, chiefly in certain idiomatic expressions (with verbs like be and go and with prepositions):
go by car
be in bed
go to school (an institution)
sit in/look at, ... the car make/sit on, ... the bed go into/take a look at, ... the school (a building)

The following list gives a number of common expressions with zero article; for comparison, usage with the definite article is also illustrated.

SEASONS: spring, summer, autumn ( BrE ), fall (AmE), winter Eg In winter, we go skiing. After the winter is over, the swallows will return.
'INSTITUTIONS' (often with at, in, to, etc)
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { be in } \\ \text { go to }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { bed } \\ \text { church } \\ \text { prison } \\ \text { hospital (esp BrE) } \\ \text { class (esp AmE) }\end{array}\right. & \begin{array}{l}\text { lie down on the bed } \\ \text { admire the church } \\ \text { walk round the prison } \\ \text { redecorate the hospital }\end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { be at } \\ \text { go to }\end{array}\end{array}\right\} \begin{cases}\text { school } \\ \text { college } & \text { drive past the school } \\ \text { sea } \\ \text { university } & \begin{array}{l}\text { look out towards the sea } \\ \text { be at/go to/study at the } \\ \text { university (esp AmE) }\end{array}\end{cases}$
be at/go home
be in/leave town
approach the town

MEANS OF TRANSPORT (with by)
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { travel } \\ \text { leave } \\ \text { come }\end{array}\right\}$ by $\begin{cases}\text { bicycle } & \begin{array}{l}\text { sit on the bicycle } \\ \text { bus on the bus } \\ \text { car }\end{array} \\ \text { beat } & \begin{array}{l}\text { sleep in the car } \\ \text { soat in the boat } \\ \text { train } \\ \text { plane }\end{array} \\ \text { take the/a train } \\ \text { be on the plane }\end{cases}$

TIMES OF THE DAY AND NIGHT (particularly with at, by, after, before)
at dawn/daybreak, when day breaks during the day
at sunrise/sunset admire the sunrise/sunset
at/around noon/midnight in the afternoon
at dusk/twilight
at/by night
(by) day and night
before morning came $\{$ (rather
evening came on $\quad$ formal
after night fell
see nothing in the dusk wake up in the night in the daytime in/during the morning in the evening in the night

MEALS

dinner will be served soon
the dinner was well cooked

## ILLNESSES

appendicitis the plague
anaemia
(the) flu
diabetes
(the) measles
influenza
(the) mumps

## PARALLEL STRUCTURES

arm in arm he took her by the arm
hand in hand
a paper in his hand
day by day
teaspoonful by teaspoonful
he's neither man nor boy
husband and wife
man to man
face to face
from dawn to dusk
from beginning to end
from right to left
from west to north

## Note

Compare also familiar or peremptory vocatives: That's right, girl! Come here, man! Vocatives take neither definite nor indefinite article in English.

### 4.22

## Article usage with common nouns in intensive relation

Unlike many other languages, English requires the definite or indefinite article with the count noun complement in an intensive relation.

With indefinite reference, the indefinite article is used:
(i) intensive complementation John became a businessman
(ii) complex transitive complementation (active verb)
(iii) complex transitive complementation (passive verb)

Mary considered John a genius

John was taken for a linguist

The complement of turn and go, however, has zero article:
John started out a music student before he turned linguist
Definite reference requires the definite article:
(i) John became
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { (ii) Mary considered John } \\ \text { (iii) John was taken for }\end{array}\right\}$ the genius of the family
However, the zero article may be used with the noun complement after copulas and 'naming verbs', such as appoint, declare, elect, when the noun designates a unique office or task:
(i) John is (the) captain of the team
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { (ii) They elected him } \\ \text { (iii) He was elected }\end{array}\right\}$ (the) President of the United States

## Unique reference

## Proper nouns

### 4.23

Proper nouns are names of specific people (Shakespeare), places (Milwaukee), countries (Australia), months (September), days (Thursday), holidays (New Year's Day), magazines (Vogue), and so forth. Names have 'unique' reference, and do not share such characteristics of common nouns as article contrast. But when the names have restrictive modification to give a partitive meaning to the name, proper nouns take the (cataphoric) definite article.

UNIQUE MEANING
during August
in England
in Denmark
Chicago
Shakespeare

PARTITIVE MEANING
during the August of that year in the England of Queen Elizabeth in the Denmark of today the Chicago I like ( $=$ 'the aspect of Chicago')
the young Shakespeare

Proper names can be converted into common nouns:
Shakespeare (the author) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { a Shakespeare ('an author like S.') } \\ \text { Shakespeares ('authors like S.' or } \\ \text { 'copies of the works of S.') }\end{array}\right.$

## Note

Proper nouns are written with initial capital letters. So also, frequently, are a number of common nouns with unique reference, which are therefore close to proper nouns, eg: fate, fortfne, heaven, hell, nature, paradise.

### 4.24

The following list exemplifies the main classes of proper nouns:
Personal names (with or without titles)
Calendar items:
(a) Festivals
(b) Months and days of the week

Geographical names:
(a) Continents
(b) Countries, counties, states, etc
(c) Cities, towns, etc
(d) Lakes
(e) Mountains

Name + common noun.

### 4.25

## Personal names

Personal names with or without appositive titles:

Dr Watson
President Lincoln
Mr and Mrs Johnson

Lady Bracknell
Colonel Jackson
Judge Darling (mainly AmE)

Note the following exceptions:
the Emperor (Napoleon)
(the) Czar (Peter I)
The Duke (of Wellington)
The article may also precede some other titles, including Lord and Lady in formal use. Family relations with unique reference behave like proper nouns:

Father (Daddy, Dad, familiar) is here Mother (Mummy, Mum, familiar) is out
Uncle will come on Saturday
Compare:
The father was the tallest in the family

Calendar items
(a) Names of festivals:

New Year's Day Independence Day Boxing Day Memorial Day
(b) Names of the months and the days of the week: January, February, ... Monday, Tuesday, ...

## Note

Many such items can readily be used as count nouns:
I hate Mondays
There was an April in my childhood I well renember

### 4.27

## Geographical names

(a) Names of continents:
(North) America (Medieval) Europe (Central) Australia (East) Africa

Note Antarctica but the Antarctic, like the Arctic.
(b) Names of countries, counties, states, etc (normally no article with premodifying adjective):
(modern) Brazil (industrial) Staffordshire (west) Scotland (northern) Arkansas

Note Argentina but the Argentine, the Ruhr, the Saar, the Sahara, the Ukraine, the Crimea, (the) Lebanon, the Midwest; the Everglades (and other plural names, see 4.30).
(c) Cities and towns (normally no article with premodifying word): (downtown) Boston (ancient) Rome (suburban) London Note The Hague; the Bronx; the City, the West End, the East End (of London).
(d) Lakes:

Lake Windermere Silver Lake
(e) Mountains:

Mount Everest Vesuvius
Note the Mount of Olives.

## Name + common noun

Name + common noun denoting buildings, streets, bridges, etc. There is a regular accentuation pattern as in Hampstead HEATH, except that names ending in Street have the converse: LAMB Street.

| Madison Avenue | Westminster Bridge | Kennedy Airport |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Park Lane | Westminster Abbey | Oxford Street |
| Portland Place | Greenwich Village |  |

Note the Albert Hall, the Mansion House; the Haymarket, the Strand, the Mall (street names in London); the Merrit Parkway, the Pennsylvania Turnpike; (the) London Road as a proper name but only the London road to denote 'the road leading to London'.

Note
Names of universities where the first part is a place-name can usually have two forms: the University of London (which is the official name) and London University. Universities named after a person have only the latter form: eg: Yale University, Brown University.

### 4.29

## Proper nouns with definite article

The difference between an ordinary common noun and a common noun turned name is that the unique reference of the name has been institutionalized, as is made overt in writing by the use of initial capitals. The following structural classification illustrates the use of such proper nouns which retain the phrasal definite article:

## WITHOUT MODIFICATION

The Guardian
WITH PREMODIFICATION
the Suez Canal
The Washington Post
WITH POSTMODIFICATION
the House of Commons
the Institute of Pediatrics
the Bay of Biscay

The Times
the British Broadcasting Corporation (the BBC)
the Cambridge College of Arts and Technology
the District of Columbia

## ELLIPTED ELEMENTS

The original structure of a proper noun is sometimes unclear when one element has been dropped and the elliptic form has become institutionalized as the full name:
the Tate (Gallery) the Mermaid (Theatre)

- the Atlantic (Ocean) the (River) Thames


## Note

When the ellipted item is a plural or a collective implying plurality, the truncated name is pluralized:
the Canary Islands $\sim$ the Canaries
the Pennine Range (or Chain) $\sim$ the Pennines

### 4.30

The following classes of proper nouns are used with the definite article:
(a) Plural names
the Netherlands
the Midlands
the Hebrides, the Shetlands, the Bahamas
the Himalayas, the Alps, the Rockies, the Pyrenees
So also, more generally, the names of woods, families, etc: the Wilsons ( $=$ the Wilson family).
(b) Geographical names

Rivers: the Avon, the Danube, the Euphrates
Seas: the Pacific (Ocean), the Baltic, the Mediterranean Canals: the Panama (Canal), the Erie Canal
(c) Public institutions, facilities, etc

Hotels and restaurants: the Grand (Hotel), the Savoy, the Hilton Theatres, cinemas, clubs, etc: the Globe, the Athenaeum Museums, libraries, etc: the Tate, the British Museum, the Huntingdon

Note Drury Lane, Covent Garden.
(d) Newspapers: the Economist, the New York Times, the Observer

After genitives and possessives the article is dropped: today's New York Times.

Note that magazines and periodicals normally have the zero article: Language, Life, Time, Punch, New Scientist.

## Number

## Invariable nouns

### 4.31

The English number system comprises SINGULAR, which denotes 'one', and PLURAL, which denotes 'more than one'. The singular category includes common non-count nouns and proper nouns. Count nouns are VARIABLE, occurring with either singular or plural number (boy $\sim$ boys), or have INVARIABLE plural (cattle). Fig. 4:1 provides a summary, with relevant section references.


Fig 4:1 Number classes

## Note

In addition to singular and plural number, we may distinguish dual number in the case of both, either, and neither since they can only be used with reference to two.
4.32

Invariable nouns ending in '-s'
Note the following classes which take a singular verb, except where otherwise mentioned:
(a) news: The news is bad today
(b) SOME DISEASES: measles, German measles, mumps, rickets, shingles. Some speakers also accept a plural verb.
(c) SUBJECT NAMES IN -ICS (usually with singular verb): clas;ics, linguistics, mathematics, phonetics, etc
(d) SOME GAMES: billiards, bowls (esp BrE ), darts, dominoes, draughts ( BrE ), checkers (AmE), fives, ninepins
(e) SOME PROPER NOUNS: Algiers, Athens, Brussels, Flanders, Marseilles, Naples, Wales; the United Nations and the United States have a singular verb when considered as units.

## Plural invariable nouns

4.33

SUMMATION PLURALS
Tools and articles of dress consisting of two equal parts which are joined constitute summation plurals. Countability can be imposed by means of a pair of: a pair of scissors, three pairs of trousers.

| bellows | tongs | pants |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| binoculars | tweezers | pyjamas (BrE), |
| pincers | glasses | pajamas (AmE) |
| pliers | spectacles | shorts |
| scales | braces (BrE) | suspenders |
| scissors | flannels | tights |
| shears | knickers | trousers |

## Note

[a] Many of the summation plurals can take the indefinite article, especially with premodification: a garden shears, a curling-tongs, etc; obvious treatment as count nouns is not infrequent: several tweezers.
[b] Plural nouns commonly lose the inflection in premodification: a suspender belt.

### 4.34

OTHER 'PLURALIA TANTUM' IN -S
Among other 'pluralia tantum' (ie nouns that only occur in the plural), the following nouns end in -s. In many cases, however, there are forms without $-s$, sometimes with difference of meaning.
the Middle Ages
amends (make every/all possible amends)
annals
the Antipodes
archives
arms ('weapons', an arms depot) arrears
ashes (but tobacco ash)
auspices
banns (of marriage)
bowels
brain(s) ('intellect', he's got good brains, beside a good brain)
clothes (cf cloths, /s/, plural of cloth)
the Commons (the House of Commons)
contents (but the silver content of the coin)
customs (customs duty)
dregs (coffee dregs)
earnings
entrails
fireworks (but he let off a firework)
funds ('money'; but a fund, 'a source of money')
goods (a goods train)
greens
guts ('bowels'; but cat-gut)
heads (heads or tails?)
holidays (summer holidays, BrE , but he's on holiday, he's taking a holiday in Spain)
letters (a man of letters)
lodgings
looks (he has good looks)
the Lords (the House of Lords)
manners
means (a man of means)
oats
odds (in betting)
outskirts
pains (take pains)
particulars (note the particulars)
premises ('building')
quarters, headquarters (but the Latin quarter)
regards (but win his regard)
remains
riches
savings (a savings bank)
spirits ('mood'; but he has a kindly spirit)
spirits ('alcohol'; but alcohol is a spirit)
stairs (a flight of stairs)
suds
surroundings
thanks
troops (but a troop of scouts)
tropics (but the Tropic of Cancer) valuables
wages (but he earns a good wage) wits (she has her wits about her; but he has a keen wit)

## Note

$C f$ also pence in 'a few pence', 'tenpence', beside the regular penny $\sim$ pennies.

### 4.35

UNMARKED PLURALS
cattle
clergy (but also singular)
folk (but also informal folks)
gentry
people (but regular when $=$ 'nation')
police
vermin
youth (but regular when = 'young man')

## Variable nouns

### 4.36

## Regular plurals

Variable nouns have two forms, singular and plural, the singular being the form listed in dictionaries. The vast majority of nouns are variable in this way and normally the plural ( $s$ suffix) is fully predictable both in sound and spelling by the same rules as for the $-s$ inflection of verbs. Spelling creates numerous exceptions, however.
(a) Treatment of $-y$ :

Beside the regular spy $\sim$ spies, there are nouns in $-y$ to which $s$ is added:
with proper nouns: the Kennedys, the two Germanys after a vowel (except the $u$ of -quy): days, boys, journeys in a few other words such as stand-bys.
(b) Nouns of unusual form sometimes pluralize in 's:
letter names: dot your i's numerals: in the 1890's (or, increasingly, 1890s) abbreviations: two MP's (or, increasingly, MPs)
(c) Nouns in oo have plural in -os, with some exceptions having either optional or obligatory oes:
Plurals in -os and -oes:
archipelago, banjo, buffalo, cargo, commando, flamingo, halo, motto, tornado, volcano
Plurals only in -oes:
echo, embargo, hero, Negro, potato, tomato, torpedo, veto

### 4.37

## Compounds

Compounds form the plural in different ways, but (c) below is the most usual.
(a) PLURAL IN FIRST ELEMENT
attorney general attorneys general, but more usually as (c)
notary public notaries public
passer-by
mother-in-law
grant-in-aid
man-of-war
coat of mail
mouthful
spoonful
passers-by
mothers-in-law, but also as (c) informally grants-in-aid
men-of-war
coats of mail
$\underset{\text { spoonsful }}{\text { mouthsful }}\}$ but also as (c)
(b) PLURAL IN BOTH FIRST AND LAST ELEMENT
gentleman farmer gentlemen farmers manservant woman doctor menservants women doctors
(c) PLURAL IN LAST ELEMENT (ie normal)
assistant director assistant directors
So also: boy friend, fountain pen, woman-hater, breakdown, grown-up, sit-in, stand-by, take-off, forget-me-not, etc

## Irregular plurals

### 4.38

Irregular plurals are by definition unpredictable and have to be learned as individual items. In many cases where foreign words are involved, it is of course helpful to know about pluralization in the relevant languages particularly Latin and Greek. Thus, on the pattern of
analysis $\rightarrow$ analyses
we can infer the correct plurals:

$$
\text { axis } \rightarrow \text { axes } \quad \text { basis } \rightarrow \text { bases } \quad \text { crisis } \rightarrow \text { crises, etc }
$$

But we cannot rely on etymological criteria: plurals like areas and villas, for example, do not conform to the Latin pattern (areae, villae).

### 4.39

voicing + - S Plural
Some nouns which in the singular end in the voiceless fricatives spelled -th and -f have voiced fricatives in the plural, followed by $/ \mathrm{z} /$. In one case the voiceless fricative is $/ \mathrm{s} /$ and the plural has $/ \mathrm{zz} /$ : house $\sim$ houses.
(a) Nouns in -th

There in no change in spelling.
With a consonant before the $-t h$, the plural is regular: berth, birth, length, etc.
With a vowel before the $-t h$, the plural is again often regular, as with cloth, death, faith, moth, but in a few cases the plural has voicing (mouth, path), and in several cases there are both regular and voiced plurals: bath, oath, sheath, truth, wreath, youth.
(b) Nouns in $-f(e)$

Plurals with voicing are spelled -ves.
Regular plural only: belief, chief, cliff, proof, roof, safe.
Voiced plural only: calf, elf, half, knife, leaf, life, loaf, self, sheaf, shelf, thief, wife, wolf.
Both regular and voiced plurals: dwarf, handkerchief, hoof, scarf, wharf.
Note
The painting term still life has a regular plural: still lifes.

### 4.40

## mutation

Mutation involes a change of vowel in the following seven nouns:

| foot $\sim$ feet | man ~ m | wo:nan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ooth $\sim$ teeth | louse ~ lice | /u/ $/ \mathbf{l}$ / |
| goose $\sim$ geese | mouse ~ mice |  |

With woman/women, the pronunciation differs in the first syllable only, while postman/postmen. Englishman/-men, etc have no difference in pronunciation at all between singular and plural.

### 4.41

THE -EN PLURAL
This occurs in three nouns:

| brother | brethren | brethren (with mutation) $=$ 'fellow members of <br> a religious society'; otherwise regular brothers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| child | children |  |
| (with vowel change $/ \mathrm{a} / / \rightarrow / \mathrm{I} /$ ) |  |  |

ZEROPLURAL
4.42

Some nouns have the same spoken and written form in both singular and plural. Note the difference here between, on the one hand, invariable nouns, which are either singular (This music is too loud) or plural (All the cattle are grazing in the field), and, on the other, zero plural nouns, which can be both singular and plural (This sheep looks small; All those sheep are mine).

### 4.43

Animal names
Animal names often have zero plurals. They tend to be used partly by people who are especially concerned with animals, partly when the animals are referred to as game. Where there are two plurals, the zero plural is the more common in contexts of hunting, etc, eg: We caught only a few fish, whereas the regular plural is used to denote different individuals or species: the fishes of the Mediterranean.

### 4.44

The degree of variability with animal names is shown by the following lists:

Regular plural: bird, cow, eagle, hen, rabbit, etc
Usually regular: elk, crab, duck (zero only with the wild bird)
Both plurals: antelope, reindeer, fish, flounder, herring
Usually zero: pike, trout, carp, deer, moose
Only zero: grouse, sheep, plaice, salmon

### 4.45

## Quantitative nouns

The numeral nouns hundred, thousand, and usually million have zero plurals except when unpremodified; so too dozen, brace, head (of cattle), yoke (rare), gross, stone (BrE weight).

He always wanted to have hundreds/thousands of books and he has recently bought four hundred/thousand

Other quantitative and partitive nouns can be treated similarly, though the zero plurals are commoner in informal or technical usage:

Dozens of glasses; tons of coal
He is six foot/feet (tall)
He bought eight ton(s) of coal

## Note

Plural measure expressions are normally singularized when they premodify: a five-pound note, a ten-second pause.

### 4.46

Nouns in -(e)s
A few nouns in -(e)s can be treated as singular or plural:
He gave one series/two series of lectures
So too species. With certain other nouns such as barracks, gallows, headquarters, means, (steel) works, usage varies; they are sometimes treated as variable nouns with zero plurals, sometimes as 'pluralia tantum'.

## FOREIGN PLURALS

### 4.47

Foreign plurals often occur along with regular plurals. They are commoner in technical usage, whereas the -s plural is more natural in everyday language; thus formulas (general) ~ formulae (in mathematics), antennas (general and in electronics) ~ antennae (in biology).

Our aim here will be to survey systematically the main types of foreign plurals that are used in present-day English and to consider the extent to which a particular plural form is obligatory or optional. Most (but by no means all) words having a particular foreign plural originated in the language mentioned in the heading.

### 4.48

Nouns in -us (Latin)
The foreign plural is $-i$, as in stimulus $\sim$ stimuli.
Only regular plural (-uses): bonus, campus, chorus, circus, virus, etc Both plurals: cactus, focus, fungus, nucleus, radius, terminus, syllabus Only foreign plural: alumnus, bacillus, locus, stimulus

## Note

The usual plurals of corpus and genus are corpora, genera.

Nouns in $-a$ (Latin)
The foreign plural is -ae, as in alumna $\sim$ alumnae.
Only regular plural (-as): area, arena, dilemma, diploma, drama, etc
Both plurals: antenna, formula, nebula, vertebra
Only foreign plural: alga, alumna, larva

### 4.50

Nouns in -um (Latin)
The foreign plural is $-a$, as in curriculum $\sim$ curricula
Only regular plural: album, chrysanthemum, museum, etc Usually regular: forum, stadium, ultimatum
Both plurals: aquarium, medium, memorandum, symposium
Usually foreign plural: curriculum
Only foreign plural: addendum, bacterium, corrigendum, desideratum, crratum, ovum, stratum

## Note

Media with reference to press and radio and strata with reference to society are sometimes used informally as singular. In the case of data, reclassification as a singular non-count noun is widespread, and the technical singular datum is rather rare.

### 4.51

Nouns in -ex, -ix (Latin)
The foreign plural is -ices, as in index $\sim$ indices.
Both regular and foreign plurals: apex, index, vortex, appendix, matrix
Only foreign plural: codex

### 4.52

Nouns in -is (Greek)
The foreign plural is -es, as in basis $\sim$ bases
Regular plural (-ises): metropolis
Foreign plural: analysis, axis, basis, crisis, diagnosis, ellipsis, hypothesis, oasis, parenthesis, synopsis, thesis

### 4.53

Nouns in -on (Greek)
The foreign plural is $-a$, as in criterion $\sim$ criteria.
Only regular plurals: demon, electron, neutron, proton
Chiefly regular: ganglion

Both plurals: automaton
Only foreign plural: criterion, phenomenon

## Note

Informally, criteria and phenomena are sometimes used as singulars.

### 4.54

French nouns
A few nouns in $-e(a) u$ retain the French $-x$ as the spelling of the plural, beside the commoner $-s$, but the plurals are almost always pronounced as regular, $|\mathrm{z}|$, irrespective of spelling, eg: adieu, bureau, tableau, plateau.

### 4.55

Some French nouns in -s or $-\dot{x}$ are pronounced with a final vowel in the singular and with a regular $|\mathrm{z}|$ in the plural, with no spelling change: chamois, chassis, corps, faux pas, patois.

### 4.56

Nouns in -o (Italian)
The foreign plural is $-i$ as in tempo $\sim$ tempi.
Only regular plural: soprano
Usually regular plural: virtuoso, libretto, solo, tempo
Note
Graffiti is usually a 'pluralia tantum', confetti, spaghetti non-count singular.
4.57

Hebrew nouns
The foreign plural is $-i m$, as in kibbutz $\sim$ kibbutzim.
Usually regular: cherub, seraph
Only foreign plural: kibbutz

## Gender

4.58

English makes very few gender distinctions. Where they are made, the connection between the biological category 'sex' and the grammatical category 'gender' is very close, insofar as natural sex distinctions determine English gender distinctions.

It is further typical of English that special suffixes are not generally used to mark gender distinctions. Nor are gender distinctions made in the article. Some pronouns are gender-sensitive (the personal he, she, it, and the relative who, which), but others are not (they,
some, these, etc). The patterns of pronoun substitutions for singular nouns give us a set of ten gender classes as illustrated in Fig 4:2.


Fig 4:2 Gender classes

### 4.59

## [A/B] Personal masculine/feminine nouns

These nouns are of two types. Type (i) has no overt marking that suggests morphological correspondence between masculine and feminine, whereas in Type (ii) the two gender forms have a derivational relationship.
(i)

| morphologically <br> unmarked for <br> gender | bachelor <br> brother <br> father <br> gentleman | spinster <br> sister <br> mother <br> lady | king <br> man <br> monk <br> uncle | queen <br> woman <br> nun <br> aunt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (ii) |  |  | host | hostess |
| morphologi- | bridegroom |  |  |  |
| cally | bride | duke <br> marked for | emperor | empress |
| gender | god | goddess | waiter | stewardess |
|  | hero | heroine | widower <br> usher | widoss |
|  |  |  | usherette |  |

Some masculine/feminine pairs denoting kinship have common (dual) generic terms, for example, parent for father/mother, and child for son/daughter as well as for boy/girl. Some optional feminine forms (poetess, authoress, etc) are now rare, being replaced by the dual gender forms (poet, author, etc).

### 4.60

[C] Personal dual gender
This is a large class including, for example, the following:

| artist | fool | musician | servant |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| chairman | foreigner | neighbour | speaker |
| cook | friend | novelist | student |
| criminal | guest | parent | teacher |
| doctor | inhabitant | person | writer |
| enemy | librarian | professor |  |

For clarity, it is sometimes necessary to use a 'gender marker':

| boy friend | girl friend |
| :--- | :--- |
| man student | woman student |

The dual class is on the increase, but the expectation that a given activity is largely male or female dictates the frequent use of sex markers: thus a nurse, but a male nurse; an engineer but a woman engineer.

## Note

Where such nouns are used generically, neither gender is relevant though a masculine reference pronoun may be used:

If any student calls, tell him I'll be back soon
When they are used with specific reference, they must of course be either masculine or feminine and the context may clearly imply the gender in a given case:

I met a (handsome) student (and he ...)
I met a (beautiful) student (and she ...)

### 4.61

## [D] Common gender

Common gender nouns are intermediate between personal and nonpersonal. The wide selection of pronouns (who, he/she/it) should not be understood to mean that all these are possible for all nouns in all contexts. A mother is not likely to refer to her baby as it, but it would be quite possible for somebody who is not emotionally concerned with the child or is ignorant of or indifferent to its sex.

### 4.62

## [E] Collective nouns

These differ from other nouns in taking as pronoun substitutes either singular (it) or plural (they) without change of number in the noun
(the army ~ it/they; cf: the armies ~ they). Consequently, the verb may be in the plural after a singular noun (though less commonly in AmE than in BrE ):

The committee $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { has } \\ \text { have }\end{array}\right]$ met and $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { it has } \\ \text { they have }\end{array}\right]$ rejected the proposal The difference reflects a difference in attitude: the singular stresses the non-personal collectivity of the group and the plural the personal individuality within the group.

We may distinguish three subclasses of collective nouns:
(a) SPECIFIC: army, clan, class, club, committee, crew, crowd, family, flock, gang, government, group, herd, jury, majority, minority
(b) GENERIC: the aristocracy, the bourgeoisie, the clergy, the elite, the gentry, the intelligentsia, the laity, the proletariat, the public
(c) UNIQUE: (the) Congress, Parliament, the United Nations, the United States, the Vatican

### 4.63

## [F/G] Higher animals

Gender in higher animals is chiefly observed by people with a special concern (eg with pets).

| buck | doe | gander | goose |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bull | cow | lion | lioness |
| cock | hen | stallion | mare |
| dog | bitch | tiger | tigress |

A further class might be set up, 'common higher animals', patterning with which - it, (?who) - he/she, to account for horse, cat, tiger, etc, when no sex distinction is made or known. In such cases, he is more usual than she.

### 4.64

## [H] Higher organisms

Names of countries have different gender depending on their use. (i) As geographical units they are treated as [J], inanimate: 'Looking at the map we see France here. It is one of the largest countries of Europe.' (ii) As political/economic units the names of countries are often feminine, [B] or [G]: 'France has been able to increase her exports by 10 per cent over the last six months.' 'England is proud of her poets.' (iii) In sports, the teams representing countries can be referred to as personal collective nouns, [E]: 'France have improved their chance of winning the cup.'

The gender class $[\mathrm{H}]$ is set up to embrace these characteristics, and in it we may place ships and other entities towards which an affectionate attitude is expressed by a personal substitute:

What a lovely ship. What is she called?
The proud owner of a sports car may refer to it as she (or perhaps as he if the owner is female).

### 4.65

## [I/J] Lower animals and inanimate nouns

Lower animals do not differ from inanimate nouns in terms of our present linguistic criteria; ie both snake and box have which and it as pronouns. Sex differences can, however, be indicated by. a range of gender markers for any animate noun when they ${ }^{\text {a }}$ are felt to be relevant: eg: she-goat, he-goat, male frog, hen-pheasant.

## Case

### 4.66

## Common/genitive case

As distinct from personal pronouns, English nouns have a two-case system: the unmarked COMMON CASE (boy) and the marked GENITIVE CASE (boy's). Since the functions of the common case can be seen only in the syntactic relations of the noun phrase (subject, object, etc), it is the functions of the genitive that need separate scrutiny.

## The forms of the genitive inflection

4.67

The -s genitive of regular nouns is realized in speech only in the singular, where it takes one of the forms $/ \mathrm{z} /,|\mathrm{z}|, \mid \mathrm{s} /$, following the rules for $s$ inflection. In writing, the inflection of regular nouns is realized in the singular by -'s and in the plural by putting an apostrophe after the plural $s$.

As a result, the spoken form /spaiz/ may be related to the noun spy as follows:

The spies were arrested
The spy's companion was a woman
The spies' companions were women in each case
(It could of course also be the $s$ form of the verb as in 'He spies on behalf of an industrial firm'.). By contrast, an irregular noun like man preserves a number distinction independently of genitive singular and genitive plural distinctions: man, men, man's, men's.

## Note

In postmodified noun phrases, there can be a difference between the genitive and plural because of the different location of the inflection:

The palace was the King of Denmark's
They praised the Kings of Denmark

In addition to its use with regular plurals, the 'zero' genitive occurs
(a) with 'Greek names of more than one syllable, as in Euripides' /-diz/ plays;
(b) with many other names ending in $\mid z /$ where, in speech, zero is a variant of the regular /iz/ genitive. There is vacillation both in the pronunciation and spelling of these names, but most commonly the pronunciation is the $/ \mathrm{zz} /$ form and the spelling an apostrophe only. Thus Burns' (or, less commonly, Burns's), is pronounced, irrespective of the spelling, /ziz/ (or $/ \mathrm{z} /$ );
(c) with fixed expressions of the form for ... sake as in for goodness' sake /s/, for conscience' sake /s/.
4.69

## Two genitives

In many instances there is a functional similarity (indeed, semantic identity) between a noun in the genitive case and the same noun as head of a prepositional phrase with of. We refer to the $-S$ GENITIVE for the inflection and to the $O F$-GENITIVE for the prepositional form. For example:

What is the ship's name?
What is the name of the ship?
Although as we shall see ( 4.71 f ) there are usually compelling reasons for preferring one or other construction in a given case, and numerous environments in which only one construction is grammatically acceptable, the degree of similarity and overlap has led grammarians to regard the two constructions as variant forms of the genitive.

### 4.70

## Genitive meanings

The meanings of the genitive can best be shown by sentential or phrasal analogues such as we present below. For comparison, a corresponding use of the of-genitive is given where this is possible.

GENITIVES
analogues
(a) possessive genitive my son's wife Mrs Johnson's passport cf the gravity of the earth
my son has a wife
Mrs Johnson has a passport
the earth has gravity
(b) subjective genitive the boy's application his parents' consent $c f$ the rise of the sun
the boy applied his parents consented the sun rose
(c) objective genitive the family's support
(...) supports the family
the boy's release
(...) released the boy
cf a statement of the facts
(...) stated the facts
(d) genitive of origin the girl's story the general's letter cf the wines of France
the girl told a story the general wrote a letter France produced the wines
(e) descriptive genitive
a women's college
a college for women
a summer's day
a summer day/a day in the summer
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { a doctor's degree } \\ c f \text { the degree of doctor }\end{array}\right\}$
a doctoral degree/a doctorate
(f) genitive of measure and partitive genitive

the height of the tower part of the problem
the absence lasted ten days
the tower is (of) a certain height the problem is divisible into parts
(g) appositive genitive the city of York
the pleasure of meeting you

York is a city
meeting you is a pleasure

## Note

Except for temporal measure, the $-s$ genitive is now only rarely found with meanings ( $f$ ) and ( $g$ ), but cf: the earth's circumference, journey's end, Dublin's fair city.

### 4.71

## The choice of genitives

The semantic classification in 4.70 is in part arbitrary. For example, we could claim that cow's milk is not a genitive of origin but a descriptive genitive ('the kind of milk obtained from a cow') or even a subjective genitive ('the cow provided the milk'). For this reason, meanings and sentential analogues can provide only inconclusive help in choosing between $-s$ and of-genitive use.

The choice can be more securely related to the gender classes represented by the noun which is to be genitive. Generally speaking, the $-s$ genitive is favoured by the classes that are highest on the gender scale (see Fig. 4:2), ie animate nouns, in particular persons and animals with personal gender characteristics. Although we can say either the youngest children's toys or the toys of the youngest children, the two forms of the genitive are not normally in free variation. We cannot say, for example, *the door's knob or *the hat of John.

Relating this fact to 4.70 , we may infer that the possessive use is especially associated with the $-s$ genitive and that this is because we think of 'possession' chiefly in terms of our own species. It is possible to see the partitive genitive at the opposite pole on comparable grounds: the disallowance of the $-s$ genitive matches the irrelevance of the gender of a noun which is merely being measured or dissected.

A further factor influencing the choice of genitive is information focus, the $-s$ genitive enabling us to give end-focus to one noun, the of-genitive to another. Compare the following:
(a) The explosion damaged the ship's funnel
(b) Having looked at all the funnels, he considered that the most handsome was the funnel of the Orion

This principle is congruent again with the preference for the of-genitive with partitives and appositives where an $-s$ genitive would result in undesirable or absurd final prominence: *the problem's part.

## Note

The relevance of gender is shown also in the fact that the indefinite pronouns with personal reference admit the -s genitive while those with non-personal reference do not: someone's shadow, *something's shadow.

### 4.72

Choice of 'ss' genitive
The following four animate noun classes normally take the $-s$ genitive:
(a) PERSONAL NAMES: Segovia's pupil

George Washington's statue
(b) PERSONAL NOUNS: the boy's new shirt my sister-in-law's pencil
(c) COLLECTIVE NOUNS: the government's conviction
the nation's social security
(d) HIGHER ANIMALS: the horse's tail
the lion's hunger
The inflected genitive is also used with certain kinds of inanimate nouns:
(e) GEOGRAPHICAL and INSTITUTIONAL NAMES:

Europe's future
Maryland's Democratic Senator
the school's history
London's water supply
(1) TEMPORAL NOUNS
a moment's thought
the theatre season's first big event
a week's holiday today's business
(g) NOUNS OF SPECIAL INTEREST TO HUMAN ACTIVITY the brain's total solid weight the game's history the mind's general develop- science's influence ment

## Choice of the 'of'genitive

The of-genitive is chiefly used with nouns that belong to the bottom part of the gender scale, that is, especially with inanimate nouns: the title of the book, the interior of the room. In these two examples, an -s genitive would be fully acceptable, but in many instances this is not so: the hub of the wheel, the windows of the houses. Related no doubt to the point made about information focus, however, the corresponding personal pronouns would normally have the inflected genitive: its hub, their windows.

In measure, partitive, and appositive expressions, the of-genitive is the usual form except for temporal measure (a month's rest) and in idioms such as his money's worth, at arm's length.

Again, where the of-genitive would normally be used, instances are found with the inflected form in newspaper headlines, perhaps for reasons of space economy.

## FIRE AT UCLA: INSTITUTE'S ROOF DAMAGED

where the subsequent news item might begin: 'The roof of a science institute on the campus was damaged last night as fire swept through ...'

Note
On the other hand, beside the regular -s genitive in John's life, the child's life, the idiom for the life of me/him requires both the of-genitive and a pronoun.

### 4.74

## The group genitive

In some postmodified noun phrases it is possible to use an $-s$ genitive by affixing the inflection to the final part of the postmodification rather than to the head noun itself. Thus:
the teacher's room
the teacher of music's room


This 'group genitive' is regularly used with such postmodifications as in someone else's house, the heir apparent's name, as well as prepositional phrases. Other examples involve coordinations: an hour and a half's discussion, a week or so's sunshine. The group genitive is not normally acceptable following a clause, though in colloquial use one sometimes hears examples like:

Old man what-do-you-call-him's house has been painted
?A man Iknow's son has been injured in a railway accident.

In normal use, especially in writing, such $-s$ genitives would be replaced by of-genitives:

The son of a man I know has been injured in a railway accident

## The genitive with ellipsis

### 4.75

The noun modified by the $-s$ genitive may be omitted if the context makes its identity clear:

My car is faster than John's (ie: than John's car)
His memory is like an elephant's
John's is a nice car, too
With the of-genitive in comparable environments, a pronoun is normally necessary:

The population of New York is greater than that of Chicago

### 4.76

Ellipsis is especially noteworthy in expressions relating to premises or establishments:

I shall be at Bill's
Here Bill's would normally mean 'where Bill lives', even though the hearer might not know whether the appropriate head would be house, apartment, flat, digs ( BrE ); 'lives' is important, however, and hotel room (where Bill could only be 'staying') would be excluded. By contrast

I shall be at the dentist's
would refer to the dentist's professional establishment and the same applies to proper names where these refer to commercial firms. It would not be absurd to write:

I shall be at Harrod's/Foyle's/Macy's
This usage is normal also in relation to small 'one-man' businesses: I buy my meat at Johnson's.

With large businesses, however, their complexity and in some sense 'plurality' cause interpretation of the $-s$ ending as the plural inflection, and the genitive meaning - if it survives - is expressed in writing by moving the apostrophe (at Macys). On the other hand, conflict between plurality and the idea of a business as a collective unity results in vacillation in concord:

Harrods is/are very good for clothes

### 4.77

## Double genitive

An of-genitive can be combined with an $-s$ genitive in a construction called the 'double genitive'. The noun with the $-s$ genitive inflection must be both definite and personal:
but not:
*A sonata of a violinist's *A funnel of the ship's
There are conditions which also affect the noun preceding the of-phrase. This cannot be a proper noun; thus while we have:

Mrs Brown's Mary
we cannot have:
*Mary of Mrs Brown *Mary of Mrs Brown's
Further, this noun must have indefinite reference: that is, it must be seen as one of an unspecified number of items attributed to the postmodifier:

A friend of the doctor's has arrived
*The daughter of Mrs Brown's has arrived
A daughter of Mrs Brown's has arrived
Any daughter of Mrs Brown's is welcome
*The War Requiem of Britten's
The double genitive thus involves a partitive as one of its components: 'one of the doctor's friends' (he has more than one) and hence not '*one of Britten's War Requiem'. Yet we are able, in apparent defiance of this statement, to use demonstratives as follows:

That wife of mine This War Requiem of Britten's
In these instances, which always presuppose familiarity, the demonstratives are not being used in a directly defining role; rather, one might think of them as having an ellipted generic which allows us to see wife and War Requiem appositively as members of a class of objects: 'This instance of Britten's works, namely, War Requiem'.

## Note

So too when 'A daughter of Mrs Brown's' is already established in the linguistic context, we could refer to 'The/That daughter of Mrs Brown's (that I mentioned)'.

## Pronouns

### 4.78

Pronouns constitute a heterogeneous class of items (see Fig. 4:3) with numerous subclasses. Despite their variety, there are several features that pronouns (or major subclasses of pronouns) have in common, which distinguish them from nouns:
(1) They do not admit determiners;
(2) They often have an objective case;
(3) They often have person distinction;
(4) They often have overt gender contrast;
(5) Singular and plural forms are often not morphologically related.

We can broadly distinguish between items with specific reference and those with more indefinite reference.


Fig. 4:3 Pronouns
Note
Many of the items dealt with here have an alternative (this, which) or exclusive (my, her) determiner function. The interrelations make it convenient, however, to bring them together.

### 4.79

## Case

Like nouns, most pronouns in English have only two cases: COMMON (somebody) and GENITIVE (somebody's). But six pronouns have an objective case, thus presenting a three-case system, where common case is replaced by SUBJECTIVE and OBJECTIVE. There is identity between genitive and objective her, and partial overlap between subjective who and objective who. The genitives of personal pronouns are, in accordance with grammatical tradition and a primary meaning, called 'possessive pronouns'.

| subjective | $I$ | we he she they who |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| objective | me | us | him | her | them | who $(m)$ |
| genitive | $m y$ | our | his her their whose |  |  |  |

There is no inflected or $-s$ genitive with the demonstratives or with the indefinites except those in -one, -body.

### 4.80

## Person

Personal, possessive, and reflexive pronouns (Table 4:1) have distinctions of person:
1st person refers to the speaker ( $I$ ), or to the speaker and one or more others (we);
2nd person refers to the person(s) addressed (you);
3rd person refers to one or more other persons or things (he/she/it, they).

Table 4:1
PERSONAL, REFLEXIVE, POSSESSIVE PRONOUNS

|  |  |  | PERSONAL PRONOUNS |  | REFLEXIVE PRONOUNS | POSSESSIVE PRONOUNS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | subj case | obj case |  | determi- <br> ner <br> function | nominal function |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { 1st } \\ \text { pers } \end{array}$ |  | sing | I | me | myself | $m y$ | mine |
|  |  | pl | we | us | ourselves | our | ours |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2nd } \\ & \text { pers } \end{aligned}$ |  | sing | you |  | yourself | your | yours |
|  |  | pl |  |  | yourselves |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3rd } \\ & \text { pers } \end{aligned}$ | sing | masc | he | him | himself | his |  |
|  |  | fem | she | her | herself | her | hers |
|  |  | non- <br> personal | it |  | itself | its |  |
|  |  | pl | they | them | themselves | their | theirs |

## Note

Both 2nd person you and 3rd person they have an indefinite usage.

### 4.81

## Gender

In 3rd person singular, the personal, reflexive, and possessive pronouns distinguish in gender between masculine (he/him/himself/his), feminine (she/her/herself/hers), and non-personal (it/itself/its). Relative and interrogative pronouns and determiners distinguish between personal and non-personal gender.

### 4.82

## Number

The 2nd person uses a common form for singular and plural in the personal and possessive series but has a separate plural in the reflexive (yourself, yourselves). We, the 1st person plural pronoun, does not denote 'more than I' (cf: the boy ~ the boys) but 'I plus one or more others'. There is thus an interrelation between number and person. We may exclude the person(s) addressed:

Are we [John and I] late, Mary? (ie 3rd + 1st)
('Yes, you are')
or it may be inclusive:
Are we [you and 1] late, Mary? (ie 2nd + 1st)
('Yes, we are')
Are we [you, John, and I] late, Mary? (ie 2nd + 3rd + 1st)

## Note

In several dialects, and fairly generally in familiar AmE, there are devices for indicating plural you: you all (Sthn AmE), you guys, etc.

### 4.83

## Personal pronouns

The relation of personal to reflexive and possessive pronouns is shown in Table 4:5. Personal pronouns function as replacements for co-referential noun phrases in neighbouring (usually preceding) clauses:

John waited a while but eventually he went home
John told Mary that she should wait for him
When John arrived, he went straight to the bank
When a subordinate clause precedes the main as in this last example, the pronoun may anticipate its determining co-referent:

When he arrived, John went straight to the bank
The personal pronouns have two sets of case-forms. The subjective forms are used as subjects of finite verbs and often as subject complement:
$H e$ hoped the passenger would be Mary and indeed it was she
The objective forms are used as objects, and as prepositional complements. Especially in informal usage, they also occur as subject complements and as the subject (chiefly 1st person) of sentences whose predicates have been ellipted:

I saw her with them; at least, I thought it was her
A: Who broke the vase? B: Me.

## Reflexive pronouns

4.84

Reflexive pronouns replace a co-referential noun phrase, normally within the same finite verb clause:

John has hurt himself
Mary intended to remind herself
The rabbit tore itself free

Mary told John that she would look after $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { herself } \\ \text { him } \\ \text { *himself }\end{array}\right.$
When a mixture of persons is involved, the reflexive conforms to a 1st person or, if there is no 1 st person, to a 2 nd person:

You, John and I mustn't deceive ourselves
You and John mustn't deceive yourselves
The indefinite one has its own reflexive as in 'One mustn't fool oneself', but other indefinites use himself or themselves:

No one must fool himself

### 4.85

In prepositional phrases expressing spatial relationship, usually between concretes, the personal pronouns are used despite co-reference with the subject:

He looked about him
Have you any money on you?
She had her fiancé beside her
They placed their papers in front of them
But reflexive pronouns are often preferred when the reference is metaphorical and emotive; in the following example, the reflexive is obligatory:

She was beside herself with rage
There are however non-metaphorical examples in which there is considerable vacillation:

Holding a yellow bathrobe around her(self), she went to the door
In variation with personal pronouns, reflexives often occur after as, like, but, except, and in coordinated phrases:

For somebody like $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { me } \\ \text { myself }\end{array}\right\}$ this is a big surprise
My brother and $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{I} \\ \text { myself }\end{array}\right\}$ went sailing yesterday
In a related but emphatic usage, reflexives occur in apposition, with positional mobility:

I've never been there myself
I myself have never been there
I have never myself been there

### 4.86

Reciprocal pronouns
We can bring together two sentences such as
John likes Mary Mary likes John
with a reciprocal structure somewhat similar to a reflexive:
John and Mary like $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { each other } \\ \text { one another }\end{array}\right.$
In this example, with two antecedents, each other would be commoner, but where more than two are involved, one another is often preferred:

The four children are fond of one another
He put all the books beside one another
The reciprocal pronouns can be freely used in the genitive:
The students borrowed each other's notes

### 4.87

## Possessive pronouns

The possessive pronouns combine genitive functions (as described for nouns with the $-s$ genitive) with pronominal functions. In the latter respect, the co-referential item they replace may be in the same clause (as with reflexives) or a neighbouring one (as with the personal pronouns):

John has cut his finger; apparently there was a broken glass on his desk
The possessives belong to two series: the attributives ( $m y, y o u r$, etc, which are syntactically determiners) and the nominals (mine, yours, etc, which are used like the genitive with ellipsis). Compare

Unlike many other languages, English uses possessives with reference to parts of the body and personal belongings, as well as in several other expressions:

He stood at the door with his hat in his hand
Mary has broken her leg
Don't lose your balance!
They have changed their minds again!
The definite article is, however, usual in prepositional phrases related to the object, or, in passive constructions, the subject:

She took me by the hand
The man must have been hit on the head

### 4.88

## Relative pronouns

The functions and interrelations of the relative pronouns are best handled in connection with relative clauses and nominal relative clauses. Here we need only tabulate an inventory of the items, none of which shows number distinction.
(a) The wh- series reflects the gender (personal/non-personal) of the antecedent:
personal: who, whom, whose
non-personal: which, whose
There is an inflected genitive (used as a relative determiner: 'the man whose daughter') for both who and which, but there is a preference for the of-genitive (of which) with non-personal antecedents. The personal objective whom is often replaced by who but never when preceded by a preposition. For nominal relative clauses, there is the personal whoever and the non-personal pronoun and determiner which(ever); in addition there is a nominal relative pronoun and determiner what (ever). 'What(ever) (money) I have you can borrow'.
(b) That is a general purpose relative pronoun, used irrespective of gender or case except that the genitive must involve postposed of: 'the knife that I broke the blade of' (informal).
(c) Zero is used identically to that except that it is unacceptable where the relative pronoun is subject in its clause:

The pen I want is missing
*The pen writes best is missing

### 4.89

## Interrogative pronouns

The interrogatives are identical in form and in case relations with the relative pronouns, but in addition to the basic difference between interrogative and relative there are functional differences in detail.
(a) Interrogative determiners
personal: whose
personal or non-personal: which, what
(b) Interrogative pronouns
personal: who, whom, whose
non-personal: what
personal or non-personal: which
Whether as pronouns or determiners, which and what have a constant relationship to each other with respect to definiteness; what has indefinite reference and which has definite reference:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Which } \\ \text { What }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { girls } \\ \text { books }\end{array}\right\}$ do you like best?
Which here implies that the choice is made from a limited number of known girls or books, whereas what implies a choice from an indefinite number of girls or books, not previously specified. Moreover, the answer to a which-question would probably be more specific than the answer to a what-question. Like many other determiners (eg: both and all), which has an alternative of-phrase construction:

Which (of the) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { girls } \\ \text { books }\end{array}\right\}$ do you like best?

## Demonstrative pronouns

The demonstratives have number contrast and can function both as determiners and pronouns. The general meanings of the two sets can be stated as 'near' and 'distant' reference:

|  | singular | plural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'near' reference: | this | these |
| 'distant' reference: | that | those |

In this respect, they match the pairs here/there, now/then, and, as with these, the relative immediacy and relative remoteness operates both literally and metaphorically:

I like these (pictures, which are near me) better than those (pictures, over there on the far side)
I like this (idea that you've just mentioned) better than that (other one that you wrote to me about last year)
I will tell you this secret [forward or cataphoric reference] because you kept that other one [back or anaphoric reference] so faithfully
By further metaphorical extension, we have this/these used to connote interest and familiarity in informal style ('Then I•saw, away in the distance, this lovely girl, and ...'). There can be a corresponding emotive rejection implied in that/those ('Here is that awful Jones and those children of his').

As subject, pronouns may have personal or non-personal reference:
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { This/That girl } \\ \text { This/That }\end{array}\right\}$ is Mary $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { This/That pen } \\ \text { This/That }\end{array}\right\}$ is mine
In other than subject function, pronoun reference is non-personal:
He is going to marry $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { this girl } \\ { }^{*} \text { this }\end{array} \quad I\right.$ bought $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { this picture } \\ \text { this }\end{array}\right.$
As relative antecedent, that/those can appear in formal use but there is no contrast with this/these, and only those can have personal reference:

He admired
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { that which was expensive (rare) } \\ \text { *that who danced well } \\ \text { those which were expensive } \\ \text { those who danced well }\end{array}\right.$

### 4.91

## Universal pronouns and determiners

The universal pronouns and determiners comprise each, all, every, and the every compounds. Two have -s genitives: everyone's, everybody's. Despite their singular form, the compounds have collective reference,
and along with every they entail reference to a number of three or (usually) more. Each entails reference to two or more, and has individual reference. Thus:

There were two boys who called and I gave an apple to $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { each } \\ \text { *everybody }\end{array}\right.$
There were seven boys who called and I gave an apple to $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { each } \\ \text { everybody }\end{array}\right.$
There is, however, a meaning difference between each and everybody. Each refers to individuals already specified, whereas everybody does not:

I walked into the room and gave an apple to $\left\{\begin{array}{l}* \text { each } \\ \text { everybody }\end{array}\right.$
Every one, each (one), and all have of-constructions; and except all, these pronouns can have a singular or plural pronoun for co-reference:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Every one } \\ \text { Each } \\ \text { Each one }\end{array}\right\}$ of the students should have $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { their } \\ \text { his }\end{array}\right\}$ own books
Every can also be used with plural expressions such as every two weeks, every few months, and there is a universal place compound everywhere as in Everywhere looks beautiful in the spring.

## Note

It all can also be used in reference to non-personal divisible count nouns:
I have started the book but I haven't read it all

### 4.92

## Partitive pronouns

Parallel to the universal pronouns, we have three sets of partitive pronouns with associated determiners: see Table 4:2. Their use can be illustrated as follows:

He saw something/some material
Did he see anything/any material?
He saw nothing/no material
As well as its use with plurals and non-count nouns, the determiner some can be used with singular count nouns when it is stressed (and frequently followed by or other):

There was 'some 'BOOOK (or other) published on the subject last year

## Note

[a] In familiar style, the stressed some means 'extraordinary'.
That's 'some PEN you have there!
[b] We should note the partitive place compounds as in He went somewhere, Did he go anywhere?, He .went nowhere.

Table 4:2
UNIVERSAL AND PARTITIVE PRONOUNS AND DETERMINERS

|  |  |  |  |  | UNT | NON-COUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Personal | Non-Personal | NON-COUNT |
|  |  | singular | pronoun | everyone everybody each | everything each (place: everywhere) | it (...) all |
|  |  |  | determiner | every each |  | all |
|  |  | plural | pronoun | (they (...)) all/both (them) all/both |  |  |
|  |  |  | predeterminer | all/both |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { 䔍 } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | singular | pronoun | someone somebody | something (place: somewhere) | some |
|  |  |  | determiner | a(n) |  |  |
|  |  | plural | pronoun and determiner | some |  |  |
|  |  | singular | pronoun | anyone anybody | anything (place: anywhere) | any |
|  |  |  | determiner | either any |  |  |
|  |  | plural | pronoun and determiner | any |  |  |
|  |  | singular | pronoun | no one nobody | nothing (place: nowhere) | none |
|  |  |  |  | none |  |  |
|  |  |  | pronoun and determiner | neither |  |  |
|  |  | plural | pronoun | none |  |  |
|  |  | determiner |  | no |  |  |

## Non-assertive usage

The contexts which require the any series or 'non-assertive' forms chiefly involve
(a) the negatives not, never, no, neither, nor;
(b) the 'incomplete negatives' hardly, little, few, least, seldom, etc;
(c) the 'implied negatives' before; fail, prevent; reluctant, hard, difficult, etc.; and comparisons with too;
(d) questions and conditions.

Although the main markers of non-assertion are negative, interrogative, and conditional clauses, it is the basic meaning of the whole sentence which ultimately determines the choice of the some or the any series. For example, in the sentence

He contributed more than anyone to the organization of the Tourist Bureau
the use of the non-assertive anyone is related to the fact that the basic meaning is negative, as appears in the paraphrase

Nobody contributed more to the organization of the Tourist Bureau than he

Conversely, some is often used in negative, interrogative, or conditional sentences, when the basic meaning is assertive:

Did $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { somebody } \\ \text { anybody }\end{array}\right\}$ telephone last night?
The difference between these last two can be explained in terms of different presuppositions: somebody suggests that the speaker expected a telephone call, whereas anybody does not. In making an invitation or an offer, it is for the same reason polite to presuppose an acceptance:

Would you like some wine?

## Note

The following examples further illustrate the use of the some series in superficially non-assertive contexts:

If someone were to drop a match here, the house would be on fire in two minutes
But what if somebody decides to break the rules?
Will somebody please open the door?
Why don't you do something else?
Conversely, the any series is used with stress in superficially assertive sentences with the special meaning of 'no matter who, no matter what':

He will eat anything
Anyone interested in addressing the meeting should let us know
Any offer would be better than this
You must marry SǑMEone - and you mustn't marry just ANYone

## Either, neither, and the negatives

Among the partitive pronouns, the relationship between either, neither, and none is similar to that between each, every, and none among the universal pronouns. Both as pronouns and as determiners, either and neither have in fact a strictly dual reference. Compare: $\left.\begin{array}{l}\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { None (of the }\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { many } \\ \text { thirty }\end{array}\right\} \\ \text { Neither (of the [two] }\end{array}\right\} \text { students) } \\ \text { Neither (student) }\end{array}\right\}$ failed the exam
Either $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { (of the [two] students) } \\ \text { (student) }\end{array}\right\}$ may fail the exam

### 4.95

## Quantifiers

The general quantifiers used pronominally are (a) the 'multal' many and much, (b) the 'paucal' few and little, and (c) several and enough. Their use in respect to count and non-count reference matches the position outlined in connection with their determiner function.

## Numerals

### 4.96

## The uses of one

(a) NUMERICAL ONE when used with animate and inanimate singular count nouns is a stressed variant of the indefinite article $a(n)$. It is in contrast with the dual two and both and the plural numerals three, four, etc; several, and indefinite some. It has similar contrasts when used pronominally:

I need $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { a nail } \\ \text { one }\end{array} \sim\right.$ I need $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { some nails } \\ \text { some }\end{array}\right.$
$\left.\begin{array}{r}\text { (The) one } \\ \text { A }\end{array}\right\}$ boy/pen $\sim$ One of the boys/pens
(The) one is also in contrast with the other in the correlative construction:

One went this way, the other that way
Note that there is a somewhat formal or old-fashioned use of one meaning ' $a$ certain' before personal proper names:

I remember one Charlie Brown at school
(b) REPLACIVE $O N E$ is used as an anaphoric substitute for a singular or plural count noun. It has the singular form one and the plural ones. Replacive one can take determiners and modifiers (though not usually possessives or plural demonstratives):

A: I am looking for a particular book on syntax.
B: Is this the one you mean? ( $=$ Is this it?)
A: Yes, I'd like a drink, but just a small one.
B: I thought you preferred large ones.
It is modified by the $-s$ genitive in preference to the of-genitive, in sharp contrast to the demonstratives which can take only the of-genitive; compare

I prefer John's car to $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { his employer's one } \\ \text { that of his employer }\end{array}\right.$
(c) INDEFINITE ONE means 'people in general', implying inclusion of the speaker. This use of one is chiefly formal and is often replaced by the more informal you:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { One would } \\ \text { You'd }\end{array}\right\}$ think they would run a later bus than that!
Indefinite one has the genitive one's and the reflexive oneself. In AmE, repetition of co-referential one is characteristically formal, he or (informally) you being preferred instead:

One can't be too careful, can $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { one? } \\ \text { you? }\end{array}\right.$

## Note

The corresponding indefinite which implies exclusion of the speaker is they: 'They say ( $=$ it is said that) they ( = some relevant unspecified people) are going to dig up our street next month.'

### 4.97

## Cardinals and ordinals

Both types can function pronominally or as premodifiers, except that nought occurs chiefly as the name of the numeral, being replaced by the determiner no or the pronoun none in general use. With hundred, thousand, million, the indefinite article often replaces one. Pronominally, the ordinals are preceded by an article (Today is the fourth of July) and resemble superlatives with ellipted heads.

## ADJECTIVES AND ADVERBS

## Characteristics of the adjective

## 5.1

We cannot tell whether a word is an adjective by looking at it in isolation: the form does not necessarily indicate its syntactic function. Some suffixes are indeed found only with adjectives, eg: -ous, but many common adjectives have no identifying shape, eg: good, hot, little, young, fat. Nor can we identify a word as an adjective merely by considering what inflections or affixes it will allow. It is true that many adjectives inflect for the comparative and superlative, eg: great, greater, greatest. But many do not allow inflected forms, eg: disastrous, *disastrouser, ${ }^{*}$ disastrousest. Moreover, a few adverbs can be similarly inflected, eg: (He worked) hard; harder, hardest. It is also true that many adjectives provide the base from which adverbs are derived by means of an -ly suffix, eg: adjective happy, adverb happily. Nevertheless, some do not allow this derivational process; for example, there is no adverb *oldly derived from the adjective old. And there are a few adjectives that are themselves derived from an adjective base in this way, eg: kindly, an item functioning also as an adverb.

## 5.2

Most adjectives can be both attributive and predicative, but some are either attributive only or predicative only.

Two other features usually apply to adjectives:
(1) Most can be premodified by the intensifier very, eg: The children are very happy.
(2) Most can take comparative and superlative forms. The comparison may be by means of inflections, eg: The children are happier now, They are the happiest people I know, or by the addition of the premodifiers more and most (periphrastic comparison) eg: These students are more intelligent, They are the most beautiful paintings I have ever seen.

An ADJECTIVE PHRASE is a phrase with an adjective as head, as in (He was) so very happy, or as sole realization, as in (He was) happy. Adjectives function syntactically only in adjective phrases, but since it is the adjective that generally determines the function of the adjective phrase, we have often found it convenient to use adjectives alone to illustrate the functions of adjective phrases and we have often referred to adjectives as a shorter way of referring to adjective phrases.

## Syntactic functions of adjectives

## 5.3

## Attributive and predicative

The major syntactic functions of adjectives are attributive and predicative.
Adjectives are attributive when they premodify nouns, ie: appear between the determiner and the head of the noun phrase:
the beautiful painting
his main argument
Predicative adjectives can be
(a) subject complement:

Your daughter is prettiy
(b) object complement:

He made his wife happy
They can be complement to a subject which is a finite clause:
Whether he will resign is uncertain
or a non-finite clause:
Driving a bus isn't easy
Similarly, adjectives can be object complement to clauses.
I consider $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { what he did } \\ \text { playing so hard }\end{array}\right\}$ foolish
The adjective functioning as object complement often expresses the result of the process denoted by the verb:

He pulled his belt tight (As a result, his belt was then tight)
He pushed the window open (As a result, the window was then open)

## Postpositive

## 5.4

Adjectives can sometimes be postpositive, ie they can sometimes follow the item they modify. A postposed adjective (together with any complementation it may have) can usually be regarded as a reduced relative clause.

Indefinite pronouns ending in -body, -one, -thing, -where can be modified only postpositively:

I want to try on something larger (ie 'which is larger')
Postposition is obligatory for a few adjectives, which have a different sense when they occur attributively or predicatively. The most common are probably elect ('soon to take office') and proper ('as strictly defined'), as in
the president elect the City of London proper

In several compounds (mostly legal or quasi-legal) the adjective is postposed, the most common being: attorney general, body politic, court martial, heir apparent, notary public (AmE), postmaster general.

Postposition (in preference to attributive position) is usual for a few $a$-adjectives and for absent, present, and (esp BrE) concerned, involved, which normally do not occur attributively in the relevant sense:

The house ablaze is next door to mine
The people involved were not found
Some postposed adjectives, especially those ending in -able or -ible, retain the basic meaning they have in attributive position but convey the implication that what they are denoting has only a temporary application. Thus, the stars visible refers to stars that are visible at a time specified or implied, while the visible stars refers to a category of stars that can (at appropriate times) be seen.

## 5.5

If an adjective is alone or premodified merely by an intensifier, postposition is normally not allowed:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { The (rather) timid soldiers } \\ \text { *The soldiers (rather) timid }\end{array}\right\}$ approached their officer
However, if the noun phrase is generic and indefinite, coordinated adjectives or adjectives with a clause element added can be postposed, though such constructions are not very frequent:

Soldiers timid or cowardly don't fight well
A man usually honest will sometimes cheat
More commonly, we find
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Timid or cowardly soldiers } \\ \text { Soldiers who are timid or cowardly }\end{array}\right\}$ don't fight well
A man who is usually honest will sometimes cheat
It is unacceptable to prepose the whole of an adjective phrase in which there is complementation of the adjective:
*The easiest to teach boys were in my class
Postposition is normally possible:
The boys easiest to teach were in my class
They have a house much larger than yours
Students brave enough to attempt the course deserve to pass
though it is more usual to prepose the adjective (and its premodifiers, if any) and postpose the complementation:

The easiest boys to teach were in my class
They have a much larger house than yours

But if the adjective is premodified by enough, too, or so, the premodified adjective normally cannot be separated from its complementation:
*Brave enough students to attempt the course deserve to pass
*A brave enough student to attempt the course deserves to pass
*Too/So easy boys to teach were in my class
*A too/so easy boy to teach was in my class

## Note

[a] An adjective modified by enough, too, or so can be separated from its complementation if the modified adjective is positioned before the indefinite article of the noun phrase:

He is (not) brave enough a student to attempt the course
He thought him too difficult a boy to teach
But with enough and too, this construction seems to be possible only if the adjective phrase is part of the subject complement or object complement, and with enough it is more common if the adjective is premodified by not. With so, the construction is also possible if the adjective phrase is part of the subject or object:

So easy a boy to teach deserves to pass
I have never met so difficult a man to please
[b] Aplenty (AmE) and galore (both informal) are postposed obligatorily, eg: There were presents galore.

## Head of a noun phrase

## 5.6

Adjectives can often function as heads of noun phrases. As such, they do not inflect for number or for the genitive case and must take a definite determiner. Most commonly, such adjectives have personal reference:

The extremely old need a great deal of attention
We will nurse your sick and feed your hungry
The young in spirit enjoy life
The rich will help only the humble poor
The wise look to the wiser for advice
The old who resist change can expect violence
These adjectives have generic and plural reference. It is often possible to add a general word for human beings such as people and retain the generic reference (in which case the definite determiner is normally omitted) but the use of the adjective as head of the noun phrase is probably more common. The adjective can itself be modified, usually, by restrictive modification.

## Note

Although adjectives functioning as noun-phrase heads generally require a definite determiner, they can be without a determiner if they are conjoined:

He is acceptable to both old and young

## 5.7

Some adjectives denoting nationalities can be noun-phrase heads:
You British and you French ought to be allies
The industrious.Dutch are admired by their neighbours

The adjectives in question are virtually restricted to words ending in -(i)sh (eg: British, Spanish), -ch (Dutch, French) and -ese (eg: Chinese, Japanese), and the adjective Swiss. As with the previous type, these noun phrases have generic reference and take plural concord, but they cannot be modified by adverbs. They can be modified by adjectives, which are normally non-restrictive, ie: the industrious Dutch is interpreted as 'the Dutch, who are industrious, ...'.

## Note

[a] Postmodifying prepositional phrases and relative clauses can be either restrictive or nonrestrictive:

The Irish (who live) in America retain sentimental links with Ireland The Polish, who are wery rebellious, resisted strongly
[b] These adjectives are sometimes used not to refer to the nation as a whole but to some part of it ; for example, troops:

The British have control of the bridge

## 5.8

Some adjectives can function as noun-phrase heads when they have abstract reference. These take singular concord. A few are modifiable by adverbs. They include, in particular, superlatives:

The latest (ie 'the latest news, thing') is that he is going to run for election
The very best (ie 'the very best part, thing') is yet to come
He ventured into the unknown
He went from the sublime to the extremely ridiculous

## Note

There are a number of set phrases in which such an adjective is complement of a preposition, eg: (He left) for good, (He enjoyed it) to the full, in short.

## Verbless adjective clause

## 5.9

An adjective (alone or as head of an adjective phrase) can function as a verbless clause. The clause is mobile, though it usually precedes or follows the subject of the superordinate clause:
(By then) nervous, the man opened the letter
The man, (by then) nervous, opened the letter
The man opened the letter, (by then) nervous
The implied subject is usually the subject of the sentence. Thus, while we have

The man restrained the child, who was noisy
we do not have as its equivalent
*The man restrained the child, noisy

However, if the clause contains additional clause constituents, its implied subject can be other than the subject of the sentence:

She glanced with disgust at the cat, quiet (now) in her daughter's lap
Other examples of verbless adjective clauses:
Long and untidy, his hair played in the breeze
Anxious for a quick decision, the chairman called for a vote
The implied subject of the adjective clause can be the whole of the superordinate clause. For example,

Strange, it was she who initiated divorce proceedings
is semantically equivalent to: That it was she who initiated divorce proceedings is strange.

An adverb may sometimes replace, with little difference in meaning, an adjective functioning as a verbless clause:

The adjective refers to the subject without explicit reference to the action, and unless otherwise stated, the characterization is only temporary in its application. But if an explicit time indicator is introduced, the application of the adjective is extended in time. For example, when we insert always, the man's nervousness becomes a permanent characteristic, and is not specifically connected with the action:

Always nervous, the man opened the letter

## Note

When the implied subject is the whole clause, a corresponding adverb can replace the adjective with little or no difference in meaning, as with strangely for strange:

Strangely, it was she who initiated divorce proceedings
The adjective, unlike the adverb, allows a that- or how-clause to follow:
Strange $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { that it turned out that way } \\ \text { how she still likes him }\end{array}\right.$
In such cases, It's is ellipted and the adjective is not separated from the clause by a comma.

### 5.10

CONTINGENTADJECTIVECLAUSE
A contingent adjective clause expresses the circumstance or condition under which what is said in the superordinate clause applies. A subordinator is often present but can be omitted.

Enthusiastic, they make good students ( $=$ When enthusiastic,...)
Whether right or wrong, he always comes off worst in an argument because of his inability to speak coherently
When ripe, these apples are sweet

The implied subject of the contingent adjective clause is normally the subject of the superordinate clause, but it can also be the object:

We can drink it hot
You must eat it when fresh
The adjective then usually comes finally and could be regarded as a complement.

The implied subject can be the whole of the superordinate clause:
If (it is) possible, the dog should be washed every day

### 5.11

## Exclamatory adjective sentence

An adjective as head of an adjective phrase or as its sole realization can be an exclamation:

How good of yoủ! How wonderful! Excellent!

## Syntactic subclassification of adjectives

### 5.12

Adjectives can be subclassified according to whether they can function as:
(1) both attributive and predicative, eg: a hungry man $\sim$ the man is hungry; these are the majority and constitute the central adjectives
(2) attributive only, eg: an utter fool $\sim$ *the fool is utter
(3) predicative only, eg: *a loath woman $\sim$ the woman is loath to admit it
The restrictions of adjectives to attributive or predicative use are not always absolute, and sometimes vary with individual speakers.

## Attributive only

5.13

In general, adjectives that are restricted to attributive position or that occur predominantly in attributive position do not characterize the referent of the noun directly. For example, an old friend ('one who has been a friend for a long period of time') does not necessarily imply that the person is old, so that we cannot relate my old friend to my friend is old. Old refers to the friendship and does not characterize the person. In that use, old is attributive only. On the other hand, in that old man, old is a central adjective (the opposite of young) and we can relate that old man to that man is old.

Adjectives that characterize the referent of the noun directly are termed INHERENT, those that do not are termed NON-INHERENT.

Some non-inherent adjectives occur also predicatively. For example, both a new student and a new friend are non-inherent, though the former can be used predicatively:

That student is new
*My friend is new

## Note

A few words with strongly emotive value are restricted to attributive position, eg: you poor man, my dear lady, that wretched woman.

### 5.14

INTENSIFYING ADJECTIVES
Some adjectives have a heightening or lowering effect on the nioun they modify. Two semantic subclasses of intensifying adjectives can be distinguished for our present purpose: emphasizers and amplifiers. Emphasizers have a general heightening effect: amplifiers scale upwards from an assumed norm, denoting the upper extreme of the scale or a high point on the scale. Emphasizers are attributive only. Examples include:

```
a certain ('sure') winner pure ('sheer') fabrication an outright lie
```

Amplifiers are central adjectives when they are inherent:
a complete victory $\sim$ the victory was complete their extreme condemnation $\sim$ their condemnation was extreme his great folly $\sim$ his folly was great

But when they are non-inherent, they are attributive only:
a complete fool $\sim{ }^{*}$ the fool is complete
a perfect idiot $\sim{ }^{*}$ the idiot is perfect
Other examples of amplifiers that are attributive only:

| a close friend | utter folly | a strong opponent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| his entire salary | the very end | a great supporter |

Several intensifiers have homonyms that are central adjectives, eg:
Those are real flowers ' $\sim$ Those flowers are real ('not artificial')

## Note

[a] Certain intensifying adjectives are always attributive only, in particular mere, sheer, utter.
[b] Many adjectives can be used as intensifiers, usually with restrictions on the nouns they modify, eg: a great/big fool ('very foolish'), a great/big baby ('very babyish'), a great friend, but not *a big friend ('very friendly'). These are also restricted to attributive position.

### 5.15

LIMITER ADJECTIVES
Limiter adjectives particularize the reference of the noun.
Examples include:
the main reason the precise reason
the only occasion the same student
Some of these have homonyms. For example, certain in a certain person is a limiter ('a particular person'), while in a certain winner it is an intensifier ('a sure winner'). In John is certain that he will win, it is semantically related to the intensifier, but it is equivalent to sure in the sense of 'confident' and is limited to predicative position.

Note
Notice the use of very as a limiter adjective in You are the very man I want.

### 5.16

RELATED TO ADVERBIALS
Other adjectives that are attributive only can be related to adverbials. These non-inherent adjectives include:
my former friend $\sim$ formerly my friend
an occasional visitor $\sim$ occasionally a visitor
Some require implications additional to the adverbial:
the late president $\sim$ till lately the president (now dead)
If the adjectives premodify agentive nouns, the latter suggest as well a relationship to the verb base:
a hard worker $\sim$ a worker who works hard
a big eater $\sim$ someone who eats a great deal
There are also instances where the noun normally lacks a corresponding verb but where the adjective (not always attributive) refers to the process part of the noun's meaning:
an excellent pianist $\sim$ a pianist who plays the piano excellently
The implied process can be associated with an inanimate object:
a fast car $\sim$ a car that one drives fast
a fast road $\sim$ a road on which one can drive fast
Some of these adjectives have a temporal meaning. We might include with them acting ('for the time being') as in the acting chairman.

### 5.17 <br> DENOMINAL ADJECTIVES

Some adjectives derived from nouns are attributive only, eg:
criminal law $\sim$ law concerning crime
an atomic scientist $\sim$ a scientist specializing in atomic science a medical school $\sim$ a school for students of medicine

## Note

The same item may also be a central adjective. For example, a criminal law can be a law which seems criminal, in which case criminal is a central adjective. With particular noun phrase heads, an attributive noun may be an altemative to the denominal adjective, eg: criminal detection/crime detection, or may be used exclusively, eg. law school, not *legal school; of the converse in medical school, not *medicine school.

### 5.18

## Predicative only

Adjectives that are restricted or virtually restricted to predicative position are most like verbs and adverbs. They tend to refer to a (possibly tempo-
rary) condition rather than to characterize. Perhaps the most common are those referring to health or lack of health: faint, ill (esp BrE ), well, unwell. However, some people use ill and (to a lesser extent) unwell as attributives too.

A larger group comprises adjectives that can or must take complementation, eg: afraid (that, of, about), conscious (that, of), fond (of), loath (to).

Many closely resemble verbs semantically:
He is afraid to do it $\sim \mathrm{He}$ fears to do it
They are fond of her $\sim$ They like her
Some have homonyms that can occur both predicatively and attributively, eg: the conscious patient $\sim$ the patient is conscious.

## Note

Sick (esp AmE) is the exception among these 'health' adjectives in that its attributive use is very common.

The sick woman $\sim$ The woman is sick

## Semantic sub classification of adjectives

### 5.19

## [A] Stative/Dynamic

Adjectives are characteristically stative, but many can be seen as dynamic. In particular, most adjectives that are susceptible to subjective measurement are capable of being dynamic. Stative and dynamic adjectives differ in a number of ways. For example, a stative adjective such as tall cannot be used with the progressive aspect or with the imperative: ${ }^{*} H e$ 's being tall, *Be tall. In contrast, ye can use careful as a dynamic adjective: He's being careful, Be careful.

Adjectives that can be used dynamically include: awkward, brave, calm, careless, cruel, extravagant, foolish, fumny, good, greedy, impudent, irritable, jealous, naughty, noisy, rude, timid.

## [B] Gradable/non-gradable

Most adjectives are gradable, that is to say, can be modified by adverbs which convey the degree of intensity of the adjective. Gradability includes comparison:

| tall | taller | tallest |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| beautiful | more beautiful | most beautiful |

and other forms of intensification:
very young so plain extremely useful
All dynamic adjectives are gradable. Most stative adjectives (tall, old) are gradable; some are non-gradable, principally 'technical adjectives' like atomic (scientist) and hydrochloric (acid) and adjectives denoting provenance, eg: British.

## [C] Inherent/non-inherent

Most adjectives are inherent, and it is especially uncommon for dynamic adjectives to be other than inherent; an exception is wooden in The actor is being wooden, which is both dynamic and non-inherent.

## Note

Whether or not an adjective is inherent or non-inherent, it may involve relation to an implicit or explicit standard. Big is inherent in a big mouse, the standard being the relative size of mice; contrast a little mouse. Big is non-inherent in a big fool, the standard being degrees of foolishness; contrast a bit of $a$ fool. The relative standard is to be distinguished from gradability as well as from the inherent/non-inherent contrast. For example, perfect and good are non-inherent in a perfect mother and a good mother, the standard being motherhood, but only good is gradable (a very good mother, ${ }^{*} a$ very perfect mother). Similarly, though the inherent big in a big elephant is gradable ( $a$ very big elephant), the inherent adjective in an enormous $N$ is not gradable ( ${ }^{*}$ a very enormous $N$ ).
5.20

## Semantic sets and adjectival order

Semantic sets have been proposed to account for the usual order of adjectives and for their co-occurrence.
(a) intensifying adjectives, eg: a real hero, a perfect idiot
(b) postdeterminers, and limiter adjectives, eg: the fourth student, the only occasion
(c) general adjectives susceptible to subjective measure, eg: careful, naughty, lovely
(d) general adjectives susceptible to objective measure, including those denoting size or shape, eg: wealthy, large, square
(e) adjectives denoting age, eg: young, old, new
(f) adjectives denoting colour, eg: red, black
(g) denominal adjectives denoting material, eg: a woollen scarf, a metallic substance, and denoting resemblance to a material, eg: metallic voice, silken hair, cat-like stealth
(h) denominal adjectives denoting provenance or style, eg: a British ship, a Parisian dress

## Characteristics of the adverb

### 5.21

The most common characteristic of the adverb is morphological: the majority of adverbs have the derivational suffix $-l y$.

There are two types of syntactic function that characterize adverbs, but an adverb need have only one of these:
(1) adverbial
(2) modifier of adjective and adverb

### 5.22

Adverb as adverbial
An adverb may function as adverbial, a constituent distinct from subject, verb, object, and complement.

Three classes of adverbials are established and discussed in Chapter 8: adjuncts, disjuncts, conjuncts.

ADJUNCTS are integrated within the structure of the clause to at least some extent. Eg:

They are waiting outside
I can now understand it
He spoke to me about it briefly
DISJUNCTS and CONJUNCTS, on the other hand, are not integrated within the clause. Semantically, DISJUNCTS express an evaluation of what is being said either with respect to the form of the communication or to its content. Eg:

Frankly, I am tired
Fortunately, no one complained
They are probably at home
Semantically, CONJUNCTS have a connective function. They indicate the connection between what is being said and what was said before. Eg:

We have complained several times about the noise, and yet he does nothing about it
I have not looked into his qualifications. He seems very intelligent, though
If they open all the windows, then I'm leaving

## Adverb as modifier

5.23

## Modifier of adjective

An adverb may premodify an adjective:
That was a VER $\dot{Y}$ funny film
It is EXTREMELY good of you
She has a REALLY beautiful face
One adverb - enough - postmodifies adjectives, as in high enough.
Most commonly, the modifying adverb is an intensifier. The most frequently used intensifier is very. Other intensifiers include so/pretty/rather/unusually/quite/unbelievably (tall). Many are restricted to a small set of lexical items, eg: deeply (anxious), highly (intelligent), strikingly (handsome), sharply (critical). Many intensifiers can modify adjectives, adverbs, and verbs alike.

Adverbs as premodifiers of adjectives may also be 'viewpoint', as in politically expedient ('expedient from a political point of view'), technically possible, theoretically sound.

## Note

[a] Viewpoint adjúncts that appear after the noun phrase are related to the premodifying adjective within the phrase:

A good paper EDITORIALLY can also be a good paper COMMERCIALLY more usually,

# An EDITORIALLY good paper can also be a COMMERCIALLY good paper 

[b] All, as an informal synonym of completely, premodifies certain adjectives, mostly having an unfavourable sense: He is all upset, Your brother is all wrong.

### 5.24

Modifier of adverb
An adverb may premodify another adverb, and function as intensifier:
They are smoking VERY heavily
They didn't sing THAT loudly (informal)
I have seen SO very many letters like that one
As with adjectives, the only postmodifier is enough, as in cleverly enough.
A few intensifying adverbs, particularly right and well, premodify particles in phrasal verbs:

He turned RIGHT round
They left him WELL behind

### 5.25

Modifier of prepositional phrase
The few adverbs that premodify particles in phrasal verbs also premodify prepositions or (perhaps rather) prepositional phrases:

The nail went RIGHT through the wall
His parents are DEAD against the trip
5.26

Modifier of determiner, predeterminer, postdeterminer
Intensifying adverbs can premodify indefinite pronouns, predeterminers, and cardinal numerals:

NEARLY everybody came to our party
OVER two hundred deaths were reported
I paid MORE THAN ten pounds for it
The indefinite article can be intensified when it is equivalent to the unstressed cardinal one:

They will stay $A B O U T$ a week
With ordinals and superlatives, a definite determiner is obligatory:
She gave me ALMOST the largest piece of cake

## Modifier of noun phrase

5.27

A few intensifiers may premodify noun phrases: quite, rather (esp BrE ), and the predeterminers such and exclamatory what. The noun phrase is
normally indefinite, and the intensifiers precede any determiners. Rather requires the head to be a singular count noun and gradable:

He told SUCH $\left\{\begin{array}{l}a(f u n n y) \text { story } \\ (\text { funny) stories }\end{array}\right.$
I have never heard SUCH wickedness
It was RATHER a mess
He was QUITE some player
WHAT a (big) fool he is!
So and interrogative and exclamatory how also precede the indefinite article, but they require the noun phrase to contain a gradable adjective and the head of the noun phrase to be a singular countable noun. In this use, they cause the adjective to move in front of the article:

I didn't realize that he was SO big a fool HOW tall a man is he? HOW tall a man he is!

## Note

[a] In superficially similar noun phrases, rather may be intensifying the adjective, in which case it may precede or follow the determiner:

- It is rather a table It is rather a big table It is a rather big table
[b] Kind of and sort of (both informal) usually follow the determiner:
He gave a SORT OF laugh
but may sometimes precede it: That was sort of a joke.
Other of phrases precede a determiner:
I had A BIT OF a shock
[c] In informal or familiar style, wh-interrogatives can be intensified by ever and by certain set phrases, eg:

Where ever did I leave my keys?
Who on earth opened my letter?
What in heaven's name are you doing? (familiar)
Who the hell are you? (familiar)
Those intensified by ever are to be distinguished from wh-subordinators, which are written as one word with ever:

Wherever I park my car, I get fined

### 5.28

Some adverbs signifying place or time postmodify noun phrases:
PLACE: the way ahead, the neighbour upstairs, the sentence below TIME: the meeting yesterday, the day before

## Note

Indefinite pronouns, wh-pronouns, and wh-adverbs are postmodified by else: someone else('s), all else, who else, what else. Else also postmodifies compounds with where: somewhere, anywhere, everywhere nowhere.

In some of the phrases in 5.28 the adverb can also be used as a premodifier: his home journey, the above photo, the upstairs neighbour.
A. few other adverbs are also used as premodifiers: the away games, the then president, in after years. Then and above are probably the most common.

### 5.30

## Adverb as complement of preposition

Some place and time adverbs function as complement of a preposition. Of the place adverbs, here and there take the most prepositions: along, around, down, from, in, near, on, out (of), over, round, through, under, up. Home can be the complement of the prepositions at, from, near, toward(s). Others are restricted to the preposition from:
above, abroad, below, downstairs, indoors, inside, outdoors, outside, upstairs, within, without

Time adverbs most commonly functioning as complement of prepositions are shown in the diagram.


## Comparison and intensification

### 5.31

There are three degrees of comparison:
ABSOLUTE: young/easily
COMPARATIVE: younger/more easily
SUPERLATIVE: youngest/most easily
The comparative is used for a comparison between two, and the superlative where more than two are involved. The superlative is sometimes used for a comparison between two, 'He is the youngest (of the two brothers)', but this is considered loose and informal by many.

Comparison is expressed by
(1) the inflected forms in -er and -est,
(2) their periphrastic equivalents in more and most,
(3) the forms for equational, lesser and least degrees of comparison, notably as, less, least.

Too in the sense 'more than enough' might also be mentioned here, eg: It's too long ('longer than it should be').

## Note

[a] More and most have other uses in which they are not equivalent to the comparison inflections, as the paraphrases of the following sentences show:

He is more than happy about it $(=\mathrm{He}$ is happy about it to a degree that is not adequately expressed by the word happy)
He is more good than bad $(=\mathrm{It}$ is more accurate to say that he is good than that he is bad)

In BrE , the sentence She is most beautiful can mean only that she is extremely beautiful and not that she is more beautiful than all others. This absolute sense of most is common in AmE too.
[b] Too is also commonly used (esp in AmE) as a synonym of very in negative sentences, as in I don't feel too good.

### 5.32

## Basis of comparison

We can make the basis of comparison explicit. The most common ways of doing so include correlative constructions introduced by than (correlative to more, less) and by as (correlative to as), and prepositional phrases with of:
John is more/less stupid than Bob (is) ..... [1]
John behaves more/less politely than Bob (does) ..... [2]
John is as stupid as Bob (is) ..... [3]
John behaves as politely as Bob (does) ..... [4]
John is the stupider of the (two) boys ..... [5]
Of the (two) boys, John behaves the more politely ..... [6]
John is the most stupid of the (three) boys ..... [7]
Of the (three) boys, John behaves the most politely ..... [8]

The basis of comparison can also be shown by the noun which the adjective premodifies:

John is the more stupid boy (formal; more commonly 'John is more stupid than the other boy')
John is the most stupid boy

## Note

[a] The prepositional phrases in [5-8] can be either intial or final. Final position is more frequent, especially when the comparison involves an adjective rather than an adverb.
[b] A basis of comparison may be implicit in the use of the absolute form, and in such cases the basis of comparison can also be made explicit-
He is stupid for a child of his age

### 5.33

## Gradability

Amplifiers and comparatives can modify gradable adjectives and adverbs. The range for emphasizers and those downtoners not expressing degree (eg: virtually) is much wider, as we can see from their co-occurrence with a non-gradable adjective such as non-scientific:

Your opinion is definitely/virtually/*more/*very non-scientific cf Your opinion is very unscientific

There are also restrictions on the use of particular intensifiers, and these can sometimes be stated in semantic terms:
most $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { happy ('subjective') } \\ \text { *tall ('objective') }\end{array}\right.$
utterly $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { wrong ('negative') } \\ \text { "right ('positive') }\end{array}\right.$
Amplifiers and comparatives are available for adjectives that refer to a quality that is thought of as having values on a scale, and for adverbs that refer to a manner or to a time that is thought of in terms of a scale. Thus, in John is English the adjective English does not allow amplifiers or comparatives if it refers to John's nationality, but admits them if it refers to his behaviour:

John is $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { very English } \\ \text { more English than the English }\end{array}\right.$
We can also achieve an intensifying effect by repeating attributive adjectives or degree intensifiers:
an old, old man ('a very old man')
very, very good ('extremely good')

## Note

There are exceptions to the co-occurrence of a particular intensifier with a semantic class of adjectives. For example, though utterly tends to co-occur with 'negative' adjectives. utterly reliable and utterly delightful are common. People vary in the exceptions they allow

### 5.34

## Unmarked term in 'How' questions and measure phrases

How is used as a pro-form for degree intensifiers of the adjective or adverb in questions and exclamations:

How efficient is he? How efficiently does he work?
How beautiful she is! How beautifully she dances!
'Measure' adjectives that cover a scale of measurement and have a term for each end of the scale use the upper extreme as the 'unmarked' term in How questions and with the measurements:

## A: How old is your son? B: He's three months (old)

How old is he? is equivalent to What is his age?, and He's three months old is equivalent to His age is three months.

Adjectives that are used as the unmarked term in How questions and with measurements are listed, with the marked term given in parenthesis:

| deep (shallow) | old (young) <br> high (low) | thick (thin) <br> tall (short) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wide (narrow) |  |  |

Other adjectives are used as the unmarked term for premodification by interrogative How (How heavy is it?) but are not used with measurements (*It is two pounds heavy). They include:

| big (small) | fat (thin) | large (little) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bright (dim) | heavy (light) | strong (weak) |

Some adverbs also use an unmarked term in How questions, eg: .
How much/often/quickly did they complain?

## Note

If we use the marked term, as in How young is John? we are asking a question that presupposes that John is young, whereas the unmarked term in How old is John? does not presuppose that John is old. Notice that neither term is neutral in exclamations.

How young he is! ('He is extremely young')
How old he is! ('He is extremely old')

## Inflection of adjectives for comparison

### 5.35

The inflectional suffixes are -er for the comparative and -est for the superlative: young $\sim$ younger $\sim$ youngest. A small group of highly frequent adjectives have their corresponding comparatives and superlatives formed from different stems:
good $\sim$ better $\sim$ best bad $\sim$ worse $\sim$ worst
far $\sim$ further/farther $\sim$ furthest/farthest
Old is regularly inflected as older, oldest, but in a specialized use, restricted to human beings in family relationships, the irregular forms
elder, eldest are normally substituted, but only attributively or as noun phrase head:

My elder/eldest brother is an artist John is the elder
*My brother is elder than I am
The regular inflections sometimes involve changes in spelling or pronunciation.

## CHANGES IN SPELLING

(1) Final base consonants are doubled when the preceding vowel is stressed and spelled with a single letter:
big. $\sim$ bigger $\sim$ biggest
(2) In bases ending in a consonant $+y$, final $y$ is changed to $i$ : early ~ earlier ~ earliest
(3) Final $-e$ is dropped before the inflections:
brave $\sim$ braver $\sim$ bravest $\quad$ free $\sim$ freer $\sim$ freest

## CHANGES IN PRONUNCIATION

(1) Syllabic $/ 1 /$, as in simple, ceases to be syllabic before inflections.
(2) Whether or not speakers pronounce final $r$, as in poor, the $r$ is of course pronounced before the inflections.

Note
Well ('in good health') and ill ('in bad health', esp BrE ) are inflected like good and bad respectively for the comparative:

He feels better/worse

### 5.36

Monosyllabic adjectives can normally form their comparison by inflection. Many disyllabic adjectives can also do so, though like most monosyllabic adjectives they have the alternative of the periphrastic forms:

My jokes are $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { funnier/funniest } \\ \text { more funny/most funny }\end{array}\right.$
Common disyllabic adjectives that can take inflected forms are those ending in an unstressed vowel, syllabic $/ 1 /$, or $/ \partial(\mathrm{r}) /$ :
(1) $-y$ : funny, noisy, wealthy, friendly
(2) -ow: hollow, narrow, shallow
(3) -le: gentle, feeble, noble
(4) -er, -ure: clever, mature, obscure

Common adjectives outside these four categories that can take inflectional forms include common, handsome, polite, quiet, wicked.

Other adjectives can take only periphrastic forms:

We are $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { *reluctanter } \\ \text { *reluctantest } \\ \text { more reluctant } \\ \text { the most reluctant (of all) }\end{array}\right\}$ to say anything
Note
Adjectives of participle form do not take inflections:
*tiringer, * woundeder
5.37

Most adjectives inflected for comparison seem to be able to take periphrastic forms more easily when they are predicative and are followed by the basis of comparison:

He is more wealthy than I thought
Periphrastic forms are, however, abnormal with a number of monosyllabic adjectives, including those listed in 5.35 as forming their comparison irregularly.

### 5.38

## Inflection of adverbs för comparison

For a small number of adverbs, the inflected forms used for comparison are the same as those for adjectives. As with adjectives, there is a small group with comparatives and superlatives formed from different stems:
well $\sim$ better $\sim$ best $\quad$ badly $\sim$ worse $\sim$ worst
little $\sim$ less $\sim$ least $\quad$ much $\sim$ more $\sim$ most
far $\sim$ further $/$ farther $\sim$ furthest $/$ farthest

Adverbs that are identical in form with adjectives take inflections, following the same spelling and phonetic rules as for adjectives, eg: early, late, hard, slow, fast, quick, long. Soon, which has no corresponding adjective, is frequently used in the comparative (sooner), but is not common in the superlative (soonest).

### 5.39

## Modification of comparatives and superlatives

The comparatives of both adjectives and adverbs can themselves be premodified by amplifying intensifiers - certain noun phrases (most of them informal) and adverbs. In the following examples we parenthesize intensifiers of these intensifiers:
\(\left.\left.$$
\begin{array}{r}\left.\begin{array}{r}\text { (that) } \\
\text { (so) (very) }\end{array}\right\} \text { much } \\
\text { (all) the } \\
\text { far } \\
\begin{array}{r}\text { a good bit } / \text { lo } \\
\text { lot }\end{array} \\
\text { a good deal/a great deal } \\
\text { lots }\end{array}
$$\right\} \begin{array}{l}better <br>
sooner <br>

more\end{array}\right\}\)| careful |
| :--- |
| less |

Similarly, many downtoners may premodify the comparatives:
rather

| somewhat |
| :--- |
| a little |
| a (little) bit | \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}better <br>

sooner <br>
more <br>

less\end{array}\right\}\)| careful |
| :--- |
| carefully |

The inflectional superlative may be premodified by very: the very best. If very premodifies the superlative, a determiner is obligatory, as in She put on her very best dress. Comparatives and superlatives can also be postmodified by intensifying phrases, the most common of which is by far, eg: He is funnier|funniest by far.

## Correspondence between adjective and adverb

### 5.40

Adverbs are regularly, though not invariably, derived from adjectives by suffixation. In addition, a correspondence often exists between constructions containing adjectives and those containing the corresponding adverbs. The simplest illustration is with adverbs equivalent to prepositional phrases containing a noun phrase with the corresponding adjective:

He liked Mary considerably
He liked Mary to a considerable extent
He spoke to John sharply
He spoke to John in a sharp manner
Politically, it is a bad decision
From the political point of view, it is a bad decision
Sometimes, either the adjective or the adverb forms may appear, with little or no semantic difference. But normally, the adjective and its corresponding adverb appear in different environments:
her incredible beauty: her beauty is incredible $\sim$ she is incredibly beautiful

### 5.41

There are many cases where a construction with the adverb form seems basic to an understanding of the corresponding construction with the adjective form.
(1) There are regular correspondences between sentences with an adverb and noun phrases with an adjective:

He loved her deeply $\sim$ his deep love for her
He writes legibly $\sim$ his legible writing
(2) The adjective-noun sequence may imply a process or a time relationship, with a corresponding noun phrase containing an adverb. For example, in the second of the following two interpretations of $a$ beautiful dancer, the adjective refers to the process part of the agentive noun:
(a) a dancer who is beautiful
(b) a person who dances beautifully
(3) Most intensifying adjectives can be seen as related to adverbs: a real idiot $\sim$ he is really an idiot
(4) Many limiter adjectives can be seen as related to adverbs: the main reason $\sim$ it was mainly the reason

## The adjective and other word-classes

## Adjective and adverb

### 5.42

Certain words beginning with $a$ - have a predominantly predicative use. With respect to their ability to be used predicatively with both be and another intensive verb such as seem, we can contrast an $a$-adjective such as asleep with an $a$-adverb such as abroad:

The patient was $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { asleep } \\ \text { abroad }\end{array}\right.$ The patient seemed $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { asleep } \\ \text { *abroad }\end{array}\right.$
$A$-adjectives are unacceptable as part of the predication after verbs of motion. $A$-adverbs, however, are acceptable and denote direction after such verbs. Notice the contrast between the $a$-adverbs in He went aboard/abroad/around/away and the $a$-adjectives in ${ }^{*} \mathrm{He}$ went afraid/alert/ asleep/awake.

Common $a$-adjectives are: ablaze, afloat, afraid, aghast, alert, alike, alive, alone, aloof, ashamed, asleep, averse, awake, aware.

## Note

[a] Alert and aloof are freely used attributively. Some of the other a-adjectives occasionally
function attributively, though normally only when they are modified: the half-asleep
children, a somewhat afraid soldier, a really alive student ('lively'), a very ashamed
girl.
[b] Some a-adjectives freely take comparison and premodification by very, eg: afraid, alert,
alike, aloof, ashamed, averse. Others do so marginally, eg: asleep and awake. Alive to
in the sense 'aware of' can be premodified by very and compared. Some of the
a-adjectives, like many verbs, can also be premodified by very much (particularly
afraid, alike, ashamed, aware), and aware can be premodified by (very) well too.

### 5.43

Certain items that function as adjectives are also used to define in some way the process denoted by the verb; this is a typical use of adverbs, eg: loud and clear in He spoke loud and clear. If in its adverbial use the item is not restricted to a position after the verb or (if present) the object, it undoubtedly belongs to both the adjective and adverb classes. For example, long and still, which commonly function as adjectives, are adverbs in pre-verb position in the following sentences:

Such animals have long had to defend themselves
They still can't make up their minds whether to go or not
Furthermore, the item clearly represents two different words if there is a semantic difference in the two uses, as with long and still.

In some cases, the adjective form and a corresponding -ly adverb can be used interchangeably, with little or no semantic difference, except that many people find the adjective form objectionable:

He spoke loud and clear/loudly and clearly
Drive slow (esp AmE)/slowly
She buys her clothes cheap/cheaply
In other cases, there is no corresponding adverb form of the same lexical item, so that only the adjective form is available:

We returned early/fast/late today
Only a limited number of adjectives have adverbial uses: *We returned rapid today.

The principal syntactic difference between the use of the adjective and adverb forms is that the adjective form, if admissible at all, is restricted to a position after the verb or (if present) the object:

He slowly drove the car into the garage
He drove the car slowly into the garage
*He slow drove the car into the garage
(?) He drove the car slow into the garage

## Adjective and noun

### 5.44

Some items can be both adjectives and nouns. For example, criminal is an adjective in that it can be used both attributively ( $a$ criminal attack) and predicatively (The attack seemed criminal to us).

But criminal also has all the characteristics of a noun; for example, in having number contrast and the capacity to be subject of a clause, as in The criminals pleaded guilty to all charges.

Criminal is therefore both an adjective and a noun, and the relationship between the adjective criminal and the noun criminal is that of conversion. Examples of other converted nouns:

He is investigating the ancients' conception of the universe
The king greeted his nobles

### 5.45

Nouns commonly function as premodifiers of other nouns:
the city council
a stone wall
a love poem
August weather

In this function, the attributive nouns resemble adjectives. However, the basically nominal character of these premodifiers is shown by their correspondence to prepositional phrases with the noun as complement:
the council of the city a wall (made) of stone
a poem about love
weather (usual) in August
Such a correspondence is not available for attributive adjectives:
the urban council
a thick wall
a long poem
hot weather
though we can sometimes use a postmodifying prepositional phrase with a related noun as complement, eg: a long poem $\sim$ a poem of considerable length.

Some nouns can even function both attributively and predicatively. Moreover, these nouns are like adjectives in that they do not take number variation. The nouns denote material from which things are made or refer to style:
that concrete floor $\sim$ that floor is concrete ( $=$ is of concrete) Worcester porcelain $\sim$ this porcelain is Worcester

Some nouns can appear in predicative noun phrases after seem. In this, they resemble adjectives:

He seems a fool
His friend seems very much an Englishman
Your remark seems nonsense to me
These are indeed very close semantically to adjectives (foolish, English, nonsensical). The closeness is of course greatest for non-count nouns such as nonsense and fun, since, like adjectives, they do not have number variation and can appear without an overt determiner. But, unlike adjectives functioning as heads of noun phrases, these non-count nouns take the zero article when they function (say) as direct object:

I like nonsense
He experienced bliss

## Adjective and participle

5.46

There are many adjectives that have the same form as participles (cf 13.26 ff):

His views were very surprising The man seemed very offended These adjectives can also be attributive: his surprising views, the offended man, the downhearted children.

The -ed participle of intransitive verbs can also be used attributively: the departed guest ('the guest who has departed'), a grown boy ('a boy who has grown (up)'). Only with some of these is the predicative use allowed:
*The guest is departed
Her son is grown (dubious in BrE , but full-grown or grown-up is fully acceptable)

As with downearted, there may be no corresponding verb, and sometimes a corresponding verb has a different meaning. We can therefore have ambiguous sentences where the ambiguity depends on whether we have a participle or an adjective:

They were (very) relieved (to find her at home) - adjective
They were relieved (by the next group of sentries) - participle

### 5.47

Often the difference between the adjective and the participle is not clear-cut, and lies in the verbal force retained by the latter. The verbal force is explicit for the -ing form when a direct object is present. Hence, in His views were alarming his audience, the -ing form is a participle. Similarly, the verbal force is explicit for the -ed form when a by agentive phrase with a personal agent is present, indicating the correspondence to the active form of the sentence, as in The man was offended by the policeman.

For both participle forms, modification by the intensifier very is an explicit indication that the forms have adjective status:

His views were very alarming The man was very offended
We might therefore expect that the presence of very together with an explicit indicator of verbal force would produce an unacceptable sentence. This is certainly so for the -ing participle form:
*His views were very alarming his audience
However, with the -ed participle form, there appears to be divided usage, with increasing acceptance of the co-occurrence of very with a by agentive phrase containing a personal agent:
? The man was very offended by the policeman
And there is certainly no problem of co-occurrence if the agent is non-personal:

I'm very disturbed by your attitude

## Note

[a] A participle is sometimes made fully adfectival by being compounded with another element:

| He is looking (at a painting) | He is (very) good-looking |
| :--- | :--- |
| It is breaking (his heart) | It is (very) heart-breaking |

$[b]$ It is not only participles allowing the intensifier wery that can be attributive the winning team, his published work.
[c] A few adjectives are differentiated from participles by takıng the -en suffix where participles with the same base have the ed suffix (shaved) or are without a suffix (drunk, shrunk): shaven, drunken, shrunken. For a few others, there is no difference between adjective and participle in spelling, but there is in pronunciation. Whereas the vowel of the participle suffix -ed is not pronounced, the suffix is treated in the adjective as a separate syllable pronounced / $\mathrm{id} /$ : blessed, crooked, dogged. learned, ragged. The adjective aged is disyllabic when it is a synonym of elderly ( $m y$ aged father), but is monosyllabic in such a sentence as My father is aged seventy

## The adverb and other word-classes

### 5.48

## Conjunct and conjunction

A few conjuncts, eg: so, yet, resemble coordinators both in being connectives and in having certain syntactic features. In particular, unlike clauses introduced by subordinators, those introduced by conjuncts cannot be moved in front of the preceding clause. Thus, the order of the following two clauses (with the conjunct so in the second clause) is fixed:

We paid him a very large sum. So he left quite happy.
If we change the order of the clauses, the relationship is changed and so must now refer to some preceding clause. However, the conjuncts differ from coordinators in that they can be preceded by a coordinator:

We paid him a very large sum, and so he left quite happy.

### 5.49

## Reaction signal and initiator

Certain other items must be positioned initially. They are important because of their high frequency in spoken English and some are restricted to the spoken language:
(1) reaction signals, eg: no, yes, $h m$
(2) initiators, eg: well, oh, ah

Reaction signals normally serve only as response utterances. Initiators can serve both as response utterances and as initiators of conversations.

## PREPOSITIONS AND PREPOSITIONAL PHRASES

## 6.1

In the most general terms, a preposition expresses a relation between two entities, one being that represented by the prepositional complement. Of the various types of relational meaning, those of PLACE and TIME are the most prominent and easy to identify. Other relationships such as INSTRUMENT and CAUSE may also be recognized, although it is difficult to describe prepositional meanings systematically in terms of such labels. Some prepositional uses may be best elucidated by seeing a preposition as related to a clause; eg: The man with the red beard ~ The man who has the red beard; my knowledge of Hindi ~ I know Hindi.

## 6.2

## The prepositional phrase

A prepositional phrase consists of a preposition followed by a prepositional complement, which is characteristically a noun phrase or a wh-clause or V-ing clause:

| PREPOSITION | PREPOSITIONAL COMPLEMENT <br> at |
| :---: | :--- |
| the bus-stop |  |
| from | what he said |
| by | signing a peace treaty |

That-clauses and infinitive clauses, although they frequently have a nominal function in other respects, do not occur as prepositional complements. Alternations between the presence and absence of a preposition are observed in cases like:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { He was surprised at }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { her remark } \\ \text { her saying this } \\ \text { what she said }\end{array}\right. \\ \text { He was surprised that she said this. }\end{array}\right.$

## 6.3

## Postposed prepositions

Normally a preposition must be followed by its complement; but there are some circumstances in which this does not happen, either because the complement has to take first position in the clause, or because it is absent:

WH-QUESTIONS: Which house did you leave it at? At which house is he staying? (formal)
RELATIVE CLAUSES: The old house which I was telling you about is empty (about which I was telling you: formal)

WH-CLAUSES: What I'm convinced of is that the world's population will grow to an unforeseen extent
EXCLAMATIONS: What a mess he's got into!
PASSIVES: She was sought after by all the leading impresarios of the day
INFINITIVE CLAUSES: He's impossible to work with
A prejudice against such postposed prepositions remains in formal English, which offers (for relative clauses and for direct or indirect questions) the alternative of an initial preposition:

It was a situation from which no escape was possible
This construction is often felt, however, to be stilted and awkward in informal English, especially in speech, and indeed in some cases the postposed preposition has no preposed alternative.

## Note

In formal style, notwithstanding is sometimes postposed:
His intelligence notwithstanding, he was not successful in the examination
In addition there are several idiomatic usages such as all the world over all the year round, search the house through (cf: search through it).

## 6.4

## Simple and complex prepositions

Most of the common English prepositions, such as at, in, and for, are SIMPLE, ie consist of one word. Other prepositions, consisting of more than one word, are called COMPLEX. Most of these are in one of the following categories:
[A] ADVERB or PREP + PREP: along with, as for, away from, out of, up to, etc
[B] VERB/ADJECTIVE/CONJUNCTION/etc + PREP: owing to, due to, because of, etc
[C] PREP + NOUN + PREP: by means of, in comparison with, in front of, etc

In [C], which is by far the most numerous category, the noun in some complex prepositions is preceded by a definite or indefinite article:
in the light of; as $a$ result of

## 6.5

## Prepositions and prepositional adverbs

A prepositional adverb is a particle which behaves like a preposition with ellipted complement:

A car drove past the door (past is a preposition).
A car drove past (past is a prepositional adverb; ie: past something or stmeone identified in the context)
In the examples below, the adverb is respectively (a) an adjunct, (b) a postmodifier:
(a) Despite the fine weather, we stayed in all day (place adjunct) He hasn't been here since (time adjunct)
(b) The day before, I had spoken to him in the street (postmodifier)

## 6.6

## Syntactic functions of prepositional phrases

Prepositional phrases may function as:
(a) Adjunct:

The people were singing on the bus
(b) Disjunct:

To my surprise, the doctor phoned
(c) Conjunct:

On the other hand, he made no attempt to help us
(d) Postmodifier in a noun phrase:

The people on the bus were singing
(e) Complementation of a verb:

We depend on you
(f) Complementation of an adjective:
'I am sorry for his parents

## Note

[a] Prepositional phrase may occasionally have a nominal function, eg as subject of a clause:
Between six and seven will suit me
$[b]$ In (e) and $(f)$ the preposition is closely related to and is determined by the preceding verb or adjective.

| DIMENSION- <br> TYPE 0 <br> (point) | POSITIVE |  | NEGATIVE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | direction | position | direction | position |
|  | $\rightarrow X$ | at $\bullet \times$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} (\text { away }) \\ \text { from } \end{array} \\ & \times \longrightarrow \end{aligned}$ | away <br> from |
| DIMENSION- <br> TYPE 1/2 <br> (line or surface) | $\begin{aligned} & o n(t o) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | on | off | off |
| DIMENSION. <br> TYPE 2/3 <br> (area or volume) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in }(t o) \\ & \square \end{aligned}$ | in |  | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { out } \\ \text { of } \end{array} \\ \square \end{gathered}$ |

Fig 6:1 Place and dimension

## Prepositional Meanings: Place

## 6.7

## Dimension

When we use a preposition to indicate place, we do so in relation to the dimensional properties, whether subjectively or objectively conceived, of the location concerned. Consider the following examples:

My car is at the cottage
There is a new roof on the cottage
There are two beds in the cottage
The use of at makes cottage a dimensionless location, a mere point in relation to which the car's position can be indicated. With on, the cottage becomes a two-dimensional area, covered by a roof, though on is also capable of use with a one-dimensional object, as in 'Put your signature on this line'. With in, the cottage becomes the threedimensional object which in reality it is, though in is capable of being used with objects which are essentially two-dimensional, as in 'The cow is in the field', where field is conceived of as an enclosed space (contrast 'We walked on the beach'). Fig 6:1 sets out the dimensional orientation of the chief prepositions of place.

## Note

Some of the prepositions in Fig 6:1 can be replaced by other prepositions with the same meaning: upon is a formal equivalent of on; inside and within can substitute for in, and outside for out of.

## 6.8

Positive position and direction: 'at', 'to', etc
Between the notions of simple position (or static location) and direction (movement with respect to a destination) a cause-and-effect relationship obtains:

## DIRECTION

Tom went to the door
Tom fell on(to) the floor
Tom dived in (to) the water

## POSITION

as a result: Tom was at the door as a result: Tom was on the floor as a result: Tom was in the water

A prepositional phrase of 'position' can accompany any verb, although the meaning of 'direction' generally (but by no means always) requires a dynamic verb of 'motional' meaning, such as go, move, fly, etc.

The contrast between on ( $=$ 'surface') and in ( $=$ 'area') has various implications according to context, as these examples show:-
$\begin{cases}\text { on the window: } & \begin{array}{l}\text { The frost made patterns on the window } \\ \text { (window = glass surface) }\end{array} \\ \text { in the window/mirror: } & \begin{array}{l}\text { A face appeared in the window/mirror (window, } \\ \text { nirror }=\text { framed area) }\end{array}\end{cases}$
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { on the island: } \\ \text { in the island }\end{array}\right.$
Robinson Crusoe was marooned on an uninhabited island (the island is small)
He was born in (the island of) Cuba (the island is large or a political entity with boundaries)

The opposition between at (dimension-type 0 ) and in (dimension-type $2 / 3$ ) can also cause difficulty. In is used for continents, countries, provinces, and sizeable territories of any kind; but for towns, villages, etc, either at or in is appropriate, according to point of view: at/in Stratford-upon-Avon. A very large city, such as New York, London, or Tokyo, is generally treated as an area: He works in London, but lives in the country. But one could treat it as a point on the map if global distances were in mind: Our plane refuelled at London on its way from New York to Moscow.

With buildings, also, both at and in can be used. The difference here is that at refers to a building in its institutional or functional aspect, whereas in refers to it as a three-dimensional structure:

He's $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { at school (BrE) } \\ \text { in school (AmE) }\end{array}\right\}$ (= 'He attends/is attending school')
He's in school ( = (in BrE ) 'He's actually inside the building - not, eg on the playing fields')

So too, at/in Oxford.

## Note

[a] In many cases (especially in colloquial English), on and in may be used for both position and destination: He dived in the water; He fell on the floor.
[b] In addition to the prepositions mentioned, against, about, and around are commonly used as prepositions of simple position or destination: against in the sense 'touching the side surface of (He's leaning against the wall); about and around in the sense of 'in the vicinity of (He's been snooping about/around the place all day).
[c] Two additional meanings of on as a preposition of position are 'attached to':
the apples on the tree
and on top of
Humpty Dumpty sat on the wall

## 6.9

Negative position and direction: 'away from', 'off', etc
There is a parallel cause and effect relation with the negative prepositions away from, off, off of (informal AmE), out of:

## DIRECTION

Tom went away from the door

## POSITION

Tom was away from the door (= Tom was not at the door)

The negative character of these prepositions is shown by the parenthesized paraphrase. Cf: off $=$ 'not on', out of $=$ 'not in'.
6.10

Relative position: 'by', 'over', 'under', etc
Apart from simple position, prepositions may express the RELATIVE POSITION of two objects or groups of objects:

He was standing by his brother ( $=$ 'at the side of')
I left the keys with my wallet ( $=$ 'in the same place as')
Above, over, on top of, under, underneath, beneath, below express relative position vertically whereas before, in front of, behind, after represent it horizontally. The diagram depicts the relations expressed by ' A is above X ', ' D is behind X ', etc.
behind $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{C}$ in front of


## B

below
The antonyms above and below, over and under, in front of and behind are not positive and negative, but converse opposites:

The picture is above the mantelpiece $=$ The mantelpiece is below the picture
The bus is in front of the car = The car is behind the bus
Over and under as place prepositions are roughly synonymous with above and below respectively. The main differences are that over and under tend to indicate a direct vertical relationship and/or spatial proximity, while above and below may indicate simply 'on a higher/lower level than':

The castle stands on a hill above (rather than over) the valley
The doctor and the policeman were leaning over (rather than above) the body when we arrived

Underneath and beneath are less common substitutes for under; beneath is formal in style. Underneath, like on top of, generally indicates a contiguous relation.

Note
Other prepositions of relative position are beside, near (to), between, amid(st) (formal), amor:. amongst (esp BrE ).

### 6.11

Relative destination: 'by', 'over', 'under', etc
As well as relative position, the prepositions listed in 6.10 (but not, generally, above and below) can express RELATIVE DESTINATION:

The bush was the only conceivable hiding-place, so I dashed behind it When it started to rain, we all went underneath the trees

This use is distinct from that denoting passage behind, under, etc (6.12).

### 6.12

Passage: 'by', ‘over', 'under', etc
With verbs of motion, prepositions may express the idea of PASSAGE (ie movement towards and then away from a place) as well as destination. With the prepositions listed in 6.10, this occurs in sentences like:

He jumped over a ditch
Someone ran behind the goal-posts
In sentences like the last, or like The ball rolled underneath the table, there is an ambiguity: we can supply either the meaning of 'passage' ( $=$ 'the ball passed under the table on the way to some other destination') or the meaning of 'destination' ( $=$ 'the ball rolled under the table and stayed there').

## Note

A triple ambiguity may in fact arise with the above sentences, or more clearly with A mouse scuttled behind the curtain, which may be interpreted not only in the senses of 'passage' and 'destination', but also in a positional sense, implying that the mouse stayed (scuttling back and forth) behind the curtain all the time.

### 6.13

Passage: 'across', 'through', 'past'
The sense of 'passage' is the primary locative meaning attached to across (dimension-type $1 / 2$ ), through (dimension-type $2 / 3$ ) and past (the 'passage' equivalent of by which may also, however, be substituted for past in a 'passage' sense). Note the parallel between across and on, through and in in the diagram:

## DIMENSION.

TYPE $1 / 2$
 on the grass
across the grass

## DIMENSION-

TYPE 2/3

in the grass

through the grass
The upper pair treat the grass as a surface, and therefore suggest short grass; the lower pair, by treating the grass as a volume, suggest that it has height as well as length and breadth - that is, that the grass is long. There is a meaning of over corresponding to across in this sense: The ball rolled over/across the lawn.

### 6.14

Direction: 'up’, 'down’, 'along', etc
Up, down, along, across (in a slightly different sense from that of 6.13), and (a)round, with verbs of motion, make up a group of prepositions
expressing movement with reference to an axis or directional path. $U p$ and down contrast in terms of vertical direction, while along ( $=$ 'from one end towards the other') contrasts with across ( $=$ 'from one side to another') in terms of a horizontal axis.


But $u p$ and down are also used idiomatically in reference to a horizontal axis:

I walked up and down the platform
$U p$ and down here express the notion of 'along', and need not have any vertical implications.

With (a)round, the directional path is an angle or a curve:
We ran up the hill
We ran (a)round the corner
Toward(s) is in a category of its own, having the meaning 'in the direction of'.

### 6.15

Orientation: 'beyond', 'over', 'past', etc
Most prepositions listed in 6.10 and 6.14 can be used in a static sense of orientation. This brings in a third factor apart from the two things being spatially related: viz a 'point of orientation', at which (in reality or imagination) the speaker is standing. Beyond ( $=$ 'on the far side of') is a preposition whose primary meaning is one of orientation; furthermore, over ( BrE ), past, across, and through can combine the meaning of 'beyond' with more specific information of dimension-type, etc, on the lines described in 6.13:

He lives across the moors (ie 'from here')
The village past the bus-stop/through the wood, etc
Up, down, along, across, and (a)round (see 6.14) are used orientationally with reference to an axis in

The shop down the road (ie towards the bottom end of ...)
His office is up/down the stairs (ie at (or towards) the top/bottom of ...)
There's a hotel across/along the road (ie on the other side/towards the other end of ...)
He lives (a)round the corner

## Resultative meaning

All prepositions which have motional meaning can also have a static resultative meaning indicating the state of having reached the destination:

I managed to get $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { over the fence } \\ \text { across the river }\end{array}\right\}$ (ie so that I was then on the other side)
So too with the verb be:
The horses are over the fence (ie are now beyond)
Resultative meaning is not always distinguishable out of context from other static meanings; its presence is often signalled, however, by certain adverbs: already, just, at last, (not) yet, etc.

### 6.17

Pervasive meaning: 'all over', 'throughout', etc
Over (dimension-type 1/2) and through (dimension-type $2 / 3$ ), especially when preceded by all, have pervasive meaning (either static or motional):

That child was running all over the flower borders
Chaos reigned all through the house
Throughout, substitutable for all through, is the only preposition whose primary meaning is 'pervasive'. Occasionally the 'axis' type prepositions of 6.14 are also used in a pervasive sense:

There were crowds (all) along the route
They put flowers (all) around the statue

### 6.18

Seven senses of 'over'
Let us now see how one preposition (over) may be used in most of the senses discussed:

## POSITION: <br> DESTINATION: <br> PASSAGE: <br> ORIENTATION:

RESULTATIVE:
PERVASIVE (STATIC):
PERVASIVE (MOTION):

A lamp hung over the door They threw a blanket over her
They climbed over the wall
They live over (= 'on the far side of') the road
At last we were over the crest of the hill Leaves lay thick (all) over the ground They splashed water (all) over me

### 6.19

## Verbs containing prepositional meaning

When a verb contains within it the meaning of a following preposition, it is often possible to omit the preposition; the verb then becomes transitive, and the prepositional complement becomes a direct object. For example, climb (up), jump (over), flee (from), pass (by): 'He climbed (up) the hill'.

## Metaphorical or abstract use of place prepositions

Many place prepositions have abstract meanings which are clearly related, through metaphorical connection, to their locative uses. Very often prepositions so used keep the groupings (in terms of similarity or contrast of meaning) that they have when used in literal reference to place. This is often true, for example, of temporal usage (6.21).

One may perceive a stage-by-stage extension of metaphorical usage in such a series as:
(i) in shallow water (purely literal)
(ii) in deep water (also metaphorical = 'in trouble')
(iii) in difficulties (the preposition is used metaphorically)
(iv) in a spot ( $=$ 'in a difficult situation'; both the preposition and the noun are metaphorical, since literally spot would require at).
Examples in relation to the literal meanings are:
IN/OUT OF; AMID (rare)/AMIDST (formal) position $\rightarrow$ state, condition:
in/out of danger; amidst many troubles
enclosure $\rightarrow$ abstract inclusion: in stories/plays; in a group/party; in/out of the race

## ABOVE/BELOW/BENEATH

vertical direction $\rightarrow$ abstract scale: such behaviour is beneath (not below) him; he's above me in salary

## UNDER

vertical direction $\rightarrow$ subjection, subordination: under suspicion/orders/compulsion

## UP/DOWN

movement on vertical axis $\rightarrow$ movement on list or scale: up/down the scale; up/down the social ladder

## FROM/TO

starting point/destination $\rightarrow$ originator/recipient: a letter/present from Browning to his wife

## BEYOND/PAST/OVER

resultative meaning; physical $\rightarrow$ abstract: beyond/past endurance; we're over the worst

## BETWEEN/AMONG, AMONGST (esp BrE)

relative position $\rightarrow$ abstract relation between participants:
a fight between two boys; they agree among(st) themselves

[^0]The horse is in foal ( $=$ The foal is in the horse['s womb])
The office is out of envelopes ( $=$ There are no envelopes in the office)
Cf also (a ship) in ballast, out of breath.

## Time

### 6.21

Time 'when': 'at', 'on', 'in'
At, on, and in as prepositions of 'time when' are to some extent parallel to the same items as positive prepositions of position, although in the time sphere there are only two 'dimension-types', viz 'point of time' and 'period of time'.

At is used for points of time, chiefly clock-time (at ten o'clock, at 6.30 pm , at noon, etc); also, idiomatically, for holiday periods (at the weekend ( BrE ), at Christmas); and for phrases at night, at the/that time, etc.

On is used with phrases referring to days (on Monday, on the following day, on New Year's Day); otherwise in or, less commonly, during is used to indicate periods of time: in the evening, during the holidays, in August, in the months that followed, in the eighteenth century, etc.

## Note

On Monday morning, on the following evening, etc illustrate an exceptional use of on with a complement referring to a part of a day, rather than a whole day. But with phrases like early morning, late afternoon it is normal to use in: in the late afternoon.

### 6.22

Duration: 'for', etc
Duration is expressed by for; contrast:
We camped there $\left\{\begin{array}{l}f o r \text { the summer (ie all through) } \\ \text { in the summer (ie at some time during the summer) }\end{array}\right.$
So too in idiomatic phrases like for ever and for good ('for ever').
Over, all through, and throughout have a durational meaning parallel to their pervasive meaning in reference to place: We camped there throughout the summer. Over normally accompanies noun phrases denoting special occasions (such as holidays and festivals), and so generally refers to a shorter period of time than all through or throughout.

From ... to is another pair of prepositions whose locative meaning is transferred to duration. The AmE alternative expression (from) ... through avoids the ambiguity as to whether the period mentioned second is included in the total span of time:

We camped there (from) June through September (AmE) (=up to and including September)
We camped there from June to (or till) September ( $=$ up to [?and including] September)

## Note

[a] Except with verbs like stay, during refers to a point or period within duration rather than to duration itself: He spoke during the meeting.
[b] Particularly with negatives and superlatives, for or (esp informally) in expresses exclusive duration: I haven't seen him for/in years.

### 6.23

'Before', 'after', 'since', and 'until/till'
As prepositions, these occur almost exclusively as prepositions of time, and are followed by either (a) a temporal noun phrase (eg: before next week), (b) a subjectless V-ing clause (eg: since leaving school), or (c) a noun phrase with a deverbal noun or some other noun phrase interpreted as equivalent to a clause:
until the fall of Rome ( $=$ 'until Rome fell') before the war ( $=$ 'before the war started or took place')

Until specifies a terminal point with positive and a commencement point with negative predications:

We slept until midnight ( $=$ We stopped sleeping then)
We didn't sleep until midnight ( $=$ We started sleeping then)

### 6.24

'Between', 'by', and 'up to'
Other prepositions of time are between, by, and up to:
I'll phone you between lunch and three o'clock
By the time we'd walked five miles, he was exhausted
Up to last week, I hadn't received a reply
By specifies a commencement point; contrast:
By that time he was exhausted ( $=\mathrm{He}$ was then exhausted)
Until that time he was exhausted ( $=\mathrm{He}$ was then no longer exhausted)
This means that by-phrases do not co-occur with verbs of durative meaning:

He lay there $\left\{\begin{array}{l}* \text { by } \\ \text { until }\end{array}\right\}$ midnight

## Absence of prepositions of time

### 6.25

Prepositions of 'time when' are always absent from adjuncts having the deictic words last, next, this, and that; the quantifying words some and every; and nouns which have 'last', 'next', or 'this' as an element of their meaning: yesterday/today/tomorrow. For example:

I saw him last Thursday
I'll mention it next time I see him
Plums are more plentiful this year
Every summer she returns to her childhood home
The preposition is usually optional with deictic phrases referring to times at more than one remove from the present, such as (on) Monday week ( BrE ), (in) the January before last, (on) the day before yesterday. So too with phrases which identify a time before or after a given time in the past or future: (in) the previous spring, (at) the following weekend, (on) the next day. On the whole, the sentence without the preposition tends to be more informal and more usual.

## Note

Informally, we also have omission of the temporal preposition in sentences such as I'll see you Sunday, where the preposition on is omitted before a day of the week standing on its own. Another informal type of omission is in initial position preceding a plural noun phrase:

Sundays we go into the country

### 6.26

The preposition for is often omitted in phrases of duration:
We stayed there (for) three months
The snowy weather lasted (for) the whole time we were there (For) a lot of the time we just lay on the beach

The omission almost invariably takes place with phrases which begin with all, such as all day, all (the) week:

We stayed there all week (not ${ }^{*}$ for all week)
In other cases, however, the omission is impossible: for example, where the action of the verb is clearly not continuously co-extensive with the period specified:

I lived there three years I lived there for three years
*I taught him three years I taught him for three years
Initial position in the clause also seems to discourage omission:
For two weeks he worked at his lecture almost without eating or sleeping.

## Prepositional phrase chiefly as adjunct

## Cause ~ purpose

6.27

CAUSE, REASON, MOTIVE: bECAUSE OF, etc
At one end of the spectrum of cause $\sim$ purpose, we have prepositions expressing either the material cause or the psychological cause (motive) of a happening:

Because of the drought, the price of bread was high that year On account of his wide experience, he was made chairman I hid the money, for fear of what my parents would say

The survivors were weak from exposure and lack of food
Some people support charities out of duty
Phrases of cause, reason and motive answer the question 'Why...?'

### 6.28

PURPOSE, INTENDED DESTINATION: FOR
He'll do anything for money
Everyone ran for shelter
He died for his country
The notion of 'purpose' can be seen from the possibility of paraphrase by a clause (in order) to ...: for money $=$ 'in order to gain money'.

Phrases of purpose or destination answer the questions 'Why ...?', 'What ... for?', 'Where ... for?', or 'Who ... for?'. They frequently occur as postmodifiers as well as adverbials: the scenery for the play, etc.

### 6.29

recipient, Goal, target: for, to, at
He made a beautiful doll for his daughter
So used for 'intended recipient' (his daughter may or may not have actually received the doll), the for phrase can often be equated with an indirect object: He mude his daughter a beauttful doll. In contrast, a to phrase usually expresses the 'actual recipient':

He gave a beautiful doll to his daughter
(which entails that his daughter actually received the doll). Here again there is a transformational relationship with the indirect object construction:

I $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { gave } \\ \text { lent } \\ \text { sold }\end{array}\right\}$ the book to my friend $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{I}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { gave } \\ \text { lent } \\ \text { sold }\end{array}\right\} m y$ friend the book
$A t$, in combinations such as aim at (where the prepositional phrase is complementary to the verb), expresses INTENDED GOAL or TARGET:

After aiming carefully at the bird, he missed it completely
A vicious mongrel was snapping at his ankles
As the first sentence shows, the intended goal need not be achieved. A contrast in many cases (kick at, charge at, bite at, catch at, shoot at, chew at) may be drawn between this use of at, in which some idea of 'aim' is implied, and the direct object construction, which indicates attainment of the goal or consummation of the action as planned. In other cases, to must be used if the attainment of the goal is to be stressed: He ran at me/He ran to me. Similarly, point at/to, throw at/to.

## Note

There is a comparable difference between at and to when combined with verbs of utterance such as roar, bellow, shout, mutter, growl: He shouted at me suggests that I am being treated merely as a target ( eg of abuse), while He shouted to me implies that the shouter is communicating with me, ie that I am the recipient of the message. At here usually suggests hostility.

The converse of to ( $=$ 'goal') is from ( $=$ 'source'):
Bill lent the book to me $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{I}$ borrowed the book from Bill
From is also used with reference to 'place of origin':
He comes from Austria (=he is Austrian)
This type of prepositional phrase occurs not only as an adjunct, but as a postmodifier: the man from Mars; a friend of mine from London.

## Means ~ agentive

### 6.31

MANNER: WITH, IN_MANNER, LIKE
We were received with the utmost courtesy
The task was done in a workmanlike manner
The army swept through the city like a pestilence
Note that like with intensive verbs, as in Life is like a dream, refers not to manner but to resemblance.

Note
As distinct from like, prepositional as refers to actual role:
He spoke $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { like a lawyer ('after the manner of...') } \\ \text { as a lawyer ('in the capacity of ...') }\end{array}\right.$
6.32

MEANS, INSTRUMENT: BY, WITH, WITHOUT
$B y$ can express the meaning 'by means of':
I usually go to work by bus/train/car
The thief must have entered and left the house by the back door
By working the pumps, we kept the ship afloat for another 40 hours
With, on the other hand, expresses instrumental meaning:
He caught the ball with his left hand
Someone had broken the window with a stone
There is a correspondence between these sentences (which normally require a human subject and a direct object) and sentences containing the verb use: He used his left hand to catch the ball; Someone had used a stone to break the window. There is also an alternative construction in which the noun phrase denoting the instrument becomes the subject: His left hand caught the ball; A stone had broken the window.

For most senses of with, including that of instrument, without expresses
the equivalent negative meaning: I drew it without a ruler (ie 'I did not draw it with a ruler').

Phrases of means and instrument answer the question 'How ...?':

> A: How did he do it? B: By working hard.

## Note

[a] Mode of transport is expressed by on as well as by: on the bus/the train/a ship/a plane. These are not purely locative phrases (location in such cases would be expressed by in rather than on), but rather indicate the condition of being 'in transit'. Thus, I go to work on the bus can be an alternative to $I$ go to work by bus. On is used instead of by in the phrases on foot, on horseback.
[b] Of is used with die in expressions like He died of hunger.

### 6.33

INSTRUMENT, AGENTIVE: $W I T H, B Y$
While the 'instrument' is the inert and normally inanimate cause of an action (the ball that breaks a window), the 'agentive' is its animate (normally human) initiating cause (the boy who threw the ball). In a passive sentence, the agentive or instrument can be expressed by a by-phrase, but only the instrument can be expressed by a with phrase:

The window was broken $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { by a ball/by a boy } \\ \text { with a ball/* }{ }^{*} \text { ith a boy }\end{array}\right.$
The agentive by-phrase also occurs as a postmodifier to signify authorship or the like: a novel by Tolstoy, a picture by Degas, etc.

### 6.34

## STIMULUS: $A T$

The relation between an emotion and its stimulus (normally an abstract stimulus) can often be expressed by at or by the instrumental by:

I was alarmed at/by his behaviour
Both of these can be treated as passive equivalents of His behaviour alarmed $m e$, and the noun phrase following at may be treated as a 'quasi-agent'. Other prepositions introducing stimuli are illustrated in the examples resentful of, disappointed with, sorry about.

## Note

[a] A number of other prepositions may introduce 'quasi-agents' after certain participles:
I'm worried about this ( $\sim$ This worries me)
He's interested in history ( ~ History interests him)
Cf also: His plans were known to everyone (Everyone knew his plans)
[ $b]$ In BrE, with rather than at is used when the 'stimulus' is a person or object rather than an event: I was furious with (not at) John; I was delighted with (not at) the present. But in AmE, I was furious/angry/livid at John is quite usual. With abstract nouns, at is unrestrictedly acceptable: I was furious at John's behaviour.
6.35

## Accompaniment: 'with'

Especially when followed by an animate complement, with has the meaning 'in company with' or 'together with';

I'm so glad you're coming with us
Jock, with several of his friends, was talking till 2 am
In the second sentence, the with phrase serves a function very close to coordination with and: 'Jock and several of his friends were ...'. An example of a phrase of accompaniment as postmodifier is:

Curry with rice is my favourite dish
In this as in most other senses without is the negative of with: They're going without us; You never see him without (ie 'unaccompanied by') his dog.

### 6.36

## Support, opposition: 'for', 'with', 'against'

Are you for or against the plan? (ie Do you support or oppose the plan?)
It is prudent to go with rather than against the tide of public opinion
For conveys the idea of support, with that of solidarity or movement in sympathy; against conveys the contrary idea of opposition. In this use, there is no negative without contrasting with with.
6.37

## Prepositional phrase chiefly as postmodifier

Having: 'of', 'with', 'without'
Beside the following examples:
(a) a man of courage
(b) a man with large ears
the courage of the man the man's large ears
a comparable relation exists paraphrasable with have: 'The man has courage'. 'The man has large ears'. The two columns differ in that (1) makes a man the centre of attention, while (2) makes something about him the centre of attention. They also differ in the definiteness attributed to man, such that column (2) presupposes previous specification. The preposition of in (1 a) is normally used with abstract attributes, while with in (1b) is more general and is especially common with concrete attributes. The correspondence of the of- and $-s$ genitive in column (2) is also to be noted.

The negative of with is again without:
women without children ('childless women')
the house without a porch ('... which has no porch')

The correspondence between phrases with with or without and relative clauses with have applies also to clauses in which have is followed by a quasi-clausal object:
the girl with a boy friend in the navy ( $\sim$... who has a boy friend in the navy)

### 6.38

## Prepositional phrase chiefly as disjunct or conjunct

Concession: 'in spite of', 'despite', 'for + all', 'with + all', 'notwithstanding'
I admire him, in spite of his faults
He lost the fight, for all his boasting
In spite of is a general-purpose preposition of concession; despite is rather more formal and notwithstanding is formal and rather legalistic in style. The combinations for all and with all (all being an obligatory predeterminer with this meaning) are chiefly colloquial.

### 6.39

Reference: 'with regard to', 'with reference to' (formal), 'as to' (BrE), 'as for'
With reference to your letter of April 29th, I confirm ...
As for the burglar, he escaped through the attic window
As to and as for (= 'returning to the question of ...') are less formal than the other complex prepositions in this group. Other prepositions within the same general area of meaning are regarding, in regard to, with respect to, in respect of, and on the matter of. Most can be used in postmodifying phrases as well as in disjuncts: I'd like to know your opinion as to/with regard to the burglar's behaviour.

### 6.40

Exception: 'except for', 'but', etc
All the students except/but John passed the test
Commonly the complement is itself a prepositional phrase:
The weather is good today, except in the south-east
Except, excepting, and but function generally (in the case of but exclusively) in postmodifying phrases. Thus but cannot occur initially as a preposition: *But me, everyone was tired. The prepositional phrase, in such constructions, is often separated from its noun head, and postponed to the end of the clause:

Everyone but me was tired ~Everyone was tired but me
Except for, with the exception of, and apart from are used primarily in disjuncts.

## Note

The resemblance and the contrast between but as a preposition and but as a conjunction are brought out in:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { All the students had a good time but John } \\ \text { Most of the students had a good time }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { but not John } \\ \text { but John did not }\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right.$

### 6.41

## Negative condition: 'but for'

It is to be noted that but for is not used in the sense of exception, but rather that of 'negative condition': But for Gordon, we should have lost the match (ie 'If it hadn't been for Gordon ...', 'If Gordon hadn't played as he did ...', etc).

## Prepositional phrase chiefly as complementation of verb or adjective

### 6.42

Subject matter: 'about', 'on'
He told me about his adventures
He's lecturing on new techniques of management
With the meaning 'on the subject of, concerning', about and on can combine with a considerable range of verbs and adjectives, including:
speak about/on silent about/on
On tends to refer to deliberate, formal linguistic communication (speaking, lecturing, writing, etc), and is therefore inappropriate for verbs like chat or quarrel.

This difference of meaning occurs also with postmodifying phrases:
a book about/on butterflies a story about a princess

## Note

[a] Of is a somewhat rarer and more literary alternative to about in tell ... of, speak of; talk of; inform ... of; etc. Both about and of are possible with think, but with a diffcrence of meaning: He thought about the problem = 'He pondered/considered the problem'; He thought of the problem $=$ ' He brought the problem to his mind'.
[b] A less usual alternative to about and on is concerning, which is formal to the point of being rather stilted: a dispute concerning land rights.
6.43

Ingredient, material: 'with', 'of', 'out of'
After verbs of 'making', with indicates an ingredient, whereas of and out of signify the material or constituency of the whole thing:

You make a cake with eggs (ie 'eggs are one of the ingredients')
He made the frame (out) of wood (ie 'wood was the only material')

The same contrast of meaning is seen with build and construct:
The terminal was built/constructed with reinforced concrete
The terminal was built/constructed (out) of reinforced concrete
With also enters into expressions such as paved with brick, filled with water, loaded with hay.

Of (used with nouns denoting 'material') is found in a postmodifying function as well as in adverbials: a bracelet of solid gold, a table of polished oak (ie 'made/consisting of polished oak'); here it may also be used -metaphorically: a man of steel; a heart of stone.

### 6.44

Respect, standard: 'at', 'for'
A gradable adjective implies some standard or norm: big means something different in This elephant is big, This cat is big, since 'big for an elephant' presupposes a larger scale, and a larger norm, than 'big for a cat'. We can make the norm explicit by a for phrase:

He's not bad for a youngster (ie considering he is a youngster)
That dog is long-legged for a terrier
A further way in which a prepositional phrase may specify the meaning of a gradable adjective is to use at to introduce the respect in which the adjective is appropriate to its noun phrase:

He's bad/hopeless/terrible at games
These two prepositional uses are not restricted to adjectival complementation:

I'm a complete dunce at mathematics
For an Englishman, he speaks foreign languages remarkably well
It's a dreadfully expensive toy for what it is

### 6.45

## Reaction: 'to'

Instead of regarding John's blunder in my surprise at John's blunder as the stimulus of the surprise, we can regard the surprise as the reaction to the blunder. If we make the main clause represent the event acting as a 'stimulus', we can express the REACTION by the preposition to followed by an abstract noun of emotion: To my annoyance, they rejected the offer. To my annoyance in this context is an attitudinal disjunct, comparable with adverbs such as annoyingly, surprisingly.

Alternatively, we can use a to-phrase to identify the PERSON REACTING: To me, their rejection of the offer was a surprise. In this last sense, to is not limited to emotive reactions; it applies equally to intellectual or perceptual responses:

To a mind based in common sense, his ideas are utterly absurd It looked to me like a vast chasm.

## SEVEN

## THE SIMPLE SENTENCE

## Clause patterns

## 7.1

## Simple and complex sentences

It was pointed out in 2.4 that elements such as V (erb) and O (bject) were constituents of sentences and also of clauses within sentences. From now on, we shall speak of clauses and clause structure whenever a statement is true both for sentences and for the clauses of which it is composed:


subordinate clause
superordinate clause

In the present chapter, however, we shall be dealing only with simple sentences: that is, sentences consisting of only one clause.

## 7.2

## Clause types

Concentrating on those elements that are normally obligatory, we can usefully distinguish seven clause types which we may designate in italics with the abbreviations explained in 2.4-10:

| (1) $S V A$ | S | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {intens }}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\text {place }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mary | is | in the house |
| (2) $S V C$ | S | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {intens }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ |
|  | Mary | is | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { kind } \\ \text { a nurse } \end{array}\right.$ |
| (3) SVO | S | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {monotr }}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$ |
|  | Someb | caugh | the ball |



## Note

[a] Most obligatory adjuncts are $A_{\text {place }}$, but there are many cases in which the term 'place' applies only in a broad metaphorical sense:

He is without a job We kept him off cigarettes
while some are not $\mathrm{A}_{\text {place }}$ at all; 'They treated him kindly'
[ $b$ ] Among the relatively minor patterns not accounted for here, we might mention $\mathrm{SVO}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{s}}$ : John made Mary a good husband (ie 'John was a good husband to Mary').

## 7.3

## Complementation

The elements $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}, \mathrm{C}$, and A in the above patterns are obligatory elements of clause structure in the sense that they are required for the complementation of the verb. By this we mean that, given the use of a particular verb in a particular sense, the sentence is incomplete if one of these elements is omitted: *I put the book (Type SVOA) and *He resembled (Type SVO) are unacceptable. In some cases, however, a direct object or object complement in one of these patterns may be considered grammatically optional:

He's eating - cf He's eating an apple (Type SVO)
He made her career - cf He made her career a success (Type SVOC)
He's teaching - cf He's teaching German (Type SVO). He's teaching the boys (German) (Type SVOO)

Our approach, however, will be to regard these as cases of conversion, whereby a verb such as eat is transferred from the transitive to the intransitive category. Thus He's eating is an instance of clause-type SV rather than of SVO (with optional deletion of the object).

## 7.4

## Optional adverbials

The patterns of 7.2 can be expanded by the addition of various optional adverbials. For example (optional adverbials are bracketed):


## 7.5

## Transformational relations

One way of distinguishing the various clause types is by means of 'transformational' relations, or relations of grammatical paraphrase.

Clauses containing a noun phrase as object are distinguished by their ability to be converted into passive clauses, the object noun phrase assuming the function of subject ( $\mathrm{V}_{\text {pass }}=$ passive verb phrase), the subject appearing (if at all) in an optional by-phrase, symbolized here as [A]:

Many critics disliked the play ( $\mathrm{S} V \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ) $\leftrightarrow$ The play was disliked (by many critics) ( $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{V}_{\text {pass }}[\mathrm{A}]$ )
Where the passive draws more attention to the result than to the action or agency, the 'resulting' copula get frequently replaces be, though chiefly in rather informal usage:

The window was broken by my younger son
I know how the window got broken
A more gradually achieved result can be sometimes expressed by become:
With the passage of time, the furniture became covered in dust
The following examples illustrate the passive with other clause types:
Queen Victoria considered him a genius ( $\mathrm{SV} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{He}$ was considered a genius by Queen Victoria ( $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{V}_{\text {pass }} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}[\mathrm{A}]$ )
An intruder must have placed the ladder there ( $\mathrm{S} V \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{loc}}$ ) $\leftrightarrow$ The ladder must have been placed there by an intruder ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{pass}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{loc}}$ [A])
My father gave me this watch ( $\mathrm{S} V \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$ )
$\leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left.\mathrm{I} \text { was given this watch by my father ( } \mathrm{S} \mathrm{V}_{\text {pass }} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}[\mathrm{A}]\right) \\ \left.\text { This watch was given me by my father ( } \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{V}_{\text {pass }} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{i}}[\mathrm{A}]\right)\end{array}\right.$
As Type SVOO clauses have two objects, they often have two passive forms, as shown above - one in which the direct object becomes subject, and another (more common) in which the indirect object becomes subject.

There is sometimes equivalence between Types $S V, S V C$, and $S V A$ as is shown by occasional equivalences of the following kind:

S V $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{S}$ V $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$
The baby is sleeping $\leftrightarrow$ The baby is asleep
Two loaves will suffice $\leftrightarrow$ Two loaves will be sufficient
S V $\leftrightarrow$ S V A
He hurried $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{He}$ went fast

S V C $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{S} \mathrm{V}$ A
He is jobless $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{He}$ is without a job
On the whole, English prefers to avoid the plain $S V$ pattern where alternatives are available.

## 7.6

## Intensive relationship

An SVOC clause is often equivalent to a clause with an infinitive or that-clause:

I imagined her beautiful $\leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { I imagined her to be beautiful } \\ \text { I imagined that she was beautiful }\end{array}\right.$
This equivalence shows that the O and the C of an $S V O C$ clause are in the same relation to one another as the S and C of an $S V C$ clause. The relation is expressed, wherever it is expressed at all, by an intensive verb. The intensive relationship is important in other aspects of grammar apart from clause patterns. It underlies, for example, relations of apposition.

Further, we may extend the concept of intensive relationship to the relation of subject to adverbial and object to adverbial in $S V A$ and SVOA patterns respectively.

SVOO clauses can be transformed into SVOA clauses by the substitution of a prepositional phrase for the indirect object, with a change of order:

She sent Jim a card $\leftrightarrow$ She sent a card to Jim
She left Jim a card $\leftrightarrow$ She left a card for Jim
To and for, in their recipient senses, are the prepositions chiefly involved, but others, such as with and of, are occasionally found:

I'll play you a game of chess $\leftrightarrow$ I'll play a game of chess with/against you She asked Jim a favour $\leftrightarrow$ She asked a favour of Jim

## 7.7

Multiple class membership of verbs
It must be borne in mind that one verb can belong, in various senses, to a number of different classes, and hence enter into a number of different clause types. The verb get is a particularly versatile one, being excluded only from Type $S V$ (and not even from this universally):

SVC: He's getting angry
$S V A$ : He got through the window
SVO: He'll get a surprise
SVOC: He got his shoes and socks wet
SVOA: He got himself into trouble
SVOO: He got her a splendid present

Through the multiple class membership of verbs, ambiguities can arise: I found her an entertaining partner, like She called him a steward, could be interpreted either as SVOC or as SVOO.

## 7.8

## Clause elements syntactically defined

## A SUBJECT

(a) is normally a noun phrase or a clause with nominal function;
(b) occurs before the verb phrase in declarative clauses, and immediately after the operator in questions;
(c) has number and person concord, where applicable, with the verb phrase.

An OBJECT (direct or indirect)
(a) like a subject, is a noun phrase or clause with nominal function;
(b) normally follows the subject and the verb phrase;
(c) by the passive transformation, assumes the status of subject.

An INDIRECT OBJECT, where both objects are present, precedes the DIRECT OBJECT (except in rare instances like BrE Give it me), and is semantically equivalent to a prepositional phrase (7.6).

A COMPLEMENT (subject or object)
(a) is a noun phrase, an adjective phrase, or a clause with nominal function, having a co-referential relation with the subject (or object);
(b) follows the subject, verb phrase, and (if one is present) object;
(c) does not become subject through the passive transformation.

## An ADVERBIAL

(a) is an adverb phrase, adverbial clause, noun phrase, or prepositional phrase;
(b) is generally mobile, ie is capable of occurring in more than one position in the clause;
(c) is generally optional, ie may be added to or removed from a sentence without affecting its acceptability, but of the obligatory adverbial of the SVA and SVOA patterns.

## Clause elements semantically considered

## 7.9

Agentive, affected, recipient, attribute
The most typical semantic role of a subject is AGENTIVE; that is, the animate being instigating or causing the happening denoted by the verb:

John opened the letter

The most typical function of the direct object is that of the AFFECTED participant; ie a participant (animate or inanimate) which does not cause the happening denoted by the verb, but is directly involved in some other way:

Many MPs criticized the Prime Minister
The most typical function of the indirect object is that of RECIPIENT; ie an animate participant being passively implicated by the happening or state:

I've found you a place
The role of the subject complement is that of attribute of the subject, whether a current or existing attribute (with stative verbs) or one resulting from the event described by the verb (with dynamic verbs).

CURRENT ATTRIBUTE: He's my brother; He seems unhappy
RESULTING ATTRIBUTE: He became restless; He turned traitor
The role of the object complement is that of attribute of the object, again either a current or resulting attribute:

CURRENT ATTRIBUTE: I ate the meat cold; I prefer coffee black RESULTING ATTRIBUTE: They elected him President; He painted the wall blue

## Note

Although I've found a place for the magnolia tree and I've found a place for Mrs Jones appear to be grammatically equivalent, only the second can be transformed into a clause with indirect object:

I've found Mrs Jones a place
*I've found the magnolia tree a place
This is because a tree is inanimate and cannot adopt a recipient role. With the verb give, however, there can be exceptions:

I've given the bathroom a thorough cleaning

### 7.10

## Agentive and instrumental subject

Apart from its agentive function, the subject frequently has an instrumental role; that is, it expresses the unwitting (generally inanimate) material cause of an event:

The avalanche destroyed several houses
With intransitive verbs, the subject also frequently has the AFFECTED role that is elsewhere typical of the object:

Jack fell down•
The pencil was lying on the table
We may also extend this latter function to subjects of intensive verbs:
The pencil was on the table
It is now possible to see a regular relation, in terms of clause function, between adjectives or intransitive verbs and the corresponding transitive verbs expressing CAUSATIVE meaning:
$S_{\text {afrected }} \mathrm{V}$
The door opened
The flowers have died
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {affected }}$ V C
The road became narrower
I got angry
$S_{\text {agentive }} V$
My dog was walking
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {agent/instr }} \mathrm{V} \mathrm{O}_{\text {affected }}$
John/The key opened the door
The frost has killed the flowers
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {agent/instr }} \mathrm{V} \mathrm{O}_{\text {affected }}$
They narrowed the road
His manner angered me
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {agentive }} \mathrm{V} \mathrm{O}_{\text {affected }}$
I was walking my dog

### 7.11

## Recipient subject

The subject may also have a recipient role with verbs such as have, own, possess, benefit (from), as is indicated by the following relation:

Mr Smith has bought/given/sold his son a radio $\rightarrow$ So now his son has/owns/possesses the radio

The perceptual verbs see and hear also require a 'recipient' subject, in contrast to look at and listen to, which are agentive. The other perceptual verbs taste, smell, and feel have both an agentive meaning corresponding to look at and a recipient meaning corresponding to see:

Foolishly, he tasted the soup
*Foolishly, he tasted the pepper in the soup
The adverb foolishly requires the agentive; hence, the second sentence, which can only be understood in a non-agentive manner, does not make sense.

Verbs indicating a mental state may also require a recipient subject:
I thought you were mistaken ( $c f$ It seemed to me ...)
I liked the play (cf The play gave me pleasure)
Normally, recipient subjects go with stative verbs. Some of them (notably have and possess) have no passive form:

They have a beautiful house $\leftrightarrow{ }^{*}$ A beautiful house is had by them

### 7.12

## Locative, temporal and eventive subjects

The subject may have the function of designating place or time:
This path is swarming with ants ( = Ants are swarming all over this path)
The bus holds forty people (= Forty people can sit in the bus) Unlike swarm, the verbs in such sentences do not normally admit the progressive (*The bus is holding ...) or the passive (*Forty people are held ...).

Temporal subjects can usually be replaced by the empty $i t$, the temporal expression becoming adjunct:

Tomorrow is my birthday ( $=\mathrm{It}$ is my birthday tomorrow)
The winter of 1970 was exceptionally mild (=It was exceptionally mild in the winter of 1970)
Eventive subjects (with abstract noun heads designating arrangements and activities) differ from others in permitting intensive complementation with a time adverbial:

The concert is on Thursday (but *The concert hall is on Thursday)

### 7.13

Empty 'it' subject
Finally, a subject may lack semantic content altogether, and consist only of the meaningless 'prop' word $i t$, used especially with climatic predications:

It's raining/snowing, etc It's getting dark It's noisy in here

## Note

The 'prop' subject it as discussed here must be distinguished from the 'anticipatory' it of sentences like It was nice seeing you, where the 'prop' subject is a replacement for a postponed clausal subject ( $=$ Seeing you was nice).

## Locative and effected object

### 7.14

We turn now to roles of the DIRECT OBJECT. Apart from the AFFECTED OBJECT, semantic types of direct object are the LOCATIVE OBJECT and the EFFECTED OBJECT. An example of the 'locative object' is:

The horse jumped the fence ('... jumped over the fence')
There are similar uses of such verbs as turn, leave, reach, surround, penetrate, mount, cross, climb.

An effected object is one that refers to something which exists only by virtue of the activity indicated by the verb:

Baird invented television I'm writing a letter
With agentive subject and an affected object, one may always capture part of the meaning of a clause (eg: $X$ destroyed $Y$ ) by saying ' X did something to Y '; but this does not apply to an effected object - Baird invented television does not imply 'Baird did something to television'.

One may include in this category also the type of object (sometimes called 'cognate') which repeats, partially or wholly, the meaning of the verb, as in sing a song.
Note
A more dubious category of object consists of phrases of extent or measure, as in
He ran a mile It costs ten dollars It weighs almost a ton
As these clauses do not generally permit the passive transformation, there is reason to analyse them as SVA rather than SVO. However, the final element behaves at least
marginally like a direct object, as is shown by question forms with What alongside How much

What does it weigh? How much does it weigh?

### 7.15

A third type of effected object takes the form of a verbal noun preceded by a common verb of general meaning, such as do, make, have, take, give. This construction is often more idiomatic, especially in colloquial English, than an equivalent construction with an intransitive verb:

He did little work that day ('He worked little that day')
He made several attempts to contact me ('He attempted several times to contact me')
The prisoner made no comment
He's having a bath/a holiday ( BrE )/a smoke
He took a rest/a vacation (AmE)/a dislike to her/a dive into the water He gave a jump/a yell, etc

Have and take in these examples have agentive subjects (have being the typical British, and take the typical American form), while give usually has an involuntary force and therefore accompanies an 'affected' subject.

Note
Have can more easily have an affected subject than take (The baby had/*took a bath), but of He took a beating.

### 7.16

## Affected indirect object

There is only one exception to the rule that the indirect object has the role of 'recipient': this is when give (or sometimes related verbs like pay, owe) has an 'effected' object as direct object and an 'affected' object as indirect object:

I paid her a visit ('I visited her')

- I gave the door a couple of kicks ('I kicked the door a couple of times')

These clauses, as the paraphrases make clear, are equivalent to clauses with a direct object as 'affected' object.

### 7.17

## Summary

Although the semantic functions of the elements (particularly S and O ) are quite varied, there are certain clear restrictions, such as that the object cannot be 'agentive' or 'instrument'; that a subject (except in the passive) cannot be 'effected'; that an indirect object can have only two functions those of 'affected' and 'recipient'. The assignment of a function to the subject seems to have the following system of priorities:

If there is an 'agentive', it is $S$; if not, If there is an 'instrument', it is $S$; if not, If there is an 'affected', it is $\mathbf{S}$; if not, If there is a 'locative' or 'temporal' or 'eventive', it may be $S$; if not, The prop word it is S .

Naturally, where the passive transformation applies, it transfers the role of the direct or indirect object to the subject.

## Note

The above treatment of sentence elements does not include discussion of clauses as $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{O}$, and C .

## Concord

### 7.18

## Subject-verb concord

The most important type of concord in English is concord of number between subject and verb. Thus (3) and (4) are ungrammatical:
(1) The window is open
(sing + sing)
(2) The windows are open (plur + plur)
(3) *The window are open (sing + plur)
(4) *The windows is open (plur + sing)

A clause in the position of subject counts as singular for purposes of concord: How they got there doesn't concern me; To treat them as hostages is criminal. The same is true of prepositional phrases, etc, acting as subject: After the exams is the time to relax, etc. Nominal relative clauses on the other hand, since they are equivalent to noun phrases, may have plural as well as singular concord: What were once human dwellings are now nothing but piles of rubble.

## Note

[a] In fact, it is possible to generalize the rule as 'A subject which is not definitely marked for plural requires a singular verb'. This would explain, in addition to clausal and adverbial subjects, the tendency in informal speech for is/was to follow the pseudo-subject there in existential sentences such as There's hundreds of people on the waiting list.
[b] Apparent exceptions to the concord rule arise with singular nouns ending with the -s of the plural inflection (measles, billiards, mathematics, etc), or conversely plural nouns lacking the inflection (cattle, people, clergy, etc):

Measles is sometimes serious Our people are complaining
[c] Plural words and phrases (including coordinate phrases) count as singular if they are used as names, titles, quotations, etc:

Crime and Punishment is perhaps the best-constructed of Dostoyevsky's novels; but The Brothers Karamazov is undoubtedly his masterpiece
'The Cedars' has a huge garden
'Senior Citizens' means, in common parlance, people over sixty
The titles of some works which are collections of stories, etc, however, can be singular or plural: The Canterbury Tales exist/exists in many manuscripts.
7.19

## Notional concord, and proximity

Two factors interfere with concord as presented in 7.18. 'Notional concord' is agreement of verb with subject according to the idea of number rather than the actual presence of the grammatical marker for that idea. Thus the government is treated as plural in The government have broken all their promises ( BrE ), as is shown not only by the plural verb have, but also by the pronoun their.

The principle of 'proximity' denotes agreement of the verb with whatever noun or pronoun closely precedes it, sometimes in preference to agreement with the headword of the subject:

No one except his own supporters agree with him
One in ten take lessons

### 7.20

## Collective nouns

In BrE , collective nouns, notionally plural but grammatically singular, obey notional concord in examples such as the following where AmE usually has the singular:

The public welcome the decision
The audience were enjoying every minute of it
Although singular and plural verbs are more or less interchangeable in these contexts, the choice is based, if on anything, on whether the group is being considered as a single undivided body, or as a collection of individuals. Thus plural is more likely than singular in [2], because consideration is being given to the individual reactions of members of the audience. Contrastingly, singular has to be used in sentences like The audience was enormous.

## Coordinated subject

### 7.21

When a subject consists of two or more noun phrases coordinated by and, a distinction has to be made between appositional and non-appositional coordination. Under NON-APPOSITIONAL COORDINATION we include cases that can be treated as an implied reduction of two clauses. These have a verb in the plural:

Tom and Mary are now ready ( $\leftrightarrow$ Tom is now ready and Mary is now ready)
What I say and what I think are my own affair ( $\leftrightarrow$ What I say is ... and what I think is ...)
A singular verb is used with conjoinings which represent a single entity:
The hammer and sickle was flying from a tall flag pole

Conjoinings expressing a mutual relationship, even though they can only indirectly be treated as reductions of clauses in this way, also take a plural verb:

Your problem and mine are similar ( $\leftrightarrow$ Your problem is similar to mine and mine is similar to yours)
With the less common APPOSITIONAL COORDINATION, however, no such reduction is possible at all, for the coordinated structures refer to the same thing. Hence a singular verb is used:

This temple of ugliness and memorial to Victorian bad taste was erected at the Queen's express wish

The two opening noun phrases here both refer to the same thing. The following example, however, is ambiguous and could have either a singular or plural verb according as the brother and editor are one person or two:

His younger brother and the subsequent editor of his collected papers was/were with him at his death-bed
Some latitude is allowed in the interpretation of abstract nouns since it is not always easy to decide if they represent one quality or two: Your fairness and impartiality has/have been much appreciated

### 7.22

A single noun head with coordinate modifiers may imply two separate sentences, with the result that a plural verb may follow a singular noncount noun subject quite legitimately:

Good and bad taste are inculcated by example ( $\leftrightarrow$ Good taste is ... and bad taste is ...)

A similar collapsing of coordinate subjects into a single structure is observed when the subject is a clause:

What I say and think are no business of yours
( $\leftrightarrow$ What I say is ... and what I think is ...)
where the alternative with is would mean
That which I say and think is no business of yours

### 7.23

Concord involving (either ...) or is illustrated as follows:
Either the Mayor or his deputy is bound to come
Either the strikers or the bosses have misunderstood
?Either your brakes or your eyesight is at fault
Either your eyesight or your brakes are at fault
No problem arises with [1] and [2], but with [3] there is divided usage, neither singular nor plural seeming right. So too: 'He asked whether one lecture or two ?was/?were to be given'. With [4], the principle of proximity intervenes and the plural phrase determines the number of the verb.

## Note

[a] The negative correlatives neither ... nor, although disjunctive in meaning, behave in colloquial speech more like and than like or as regards concord:

Neither he nor his wife have arrived
is more natural in spoken idiom than the form preferred by some:
Neither he nor his wife has arrived
[b] Grammatical concord is usually obeyed for more than:
More than a thousand inhabitants have signed the petition
More than one person has protested against the proposal
Thus although more than one person is notionally plural, a singular verb is preferred because (one) person operates as head of a singular noun phrase.

## Indefinite expressions of amount

### 7.24

Another area of ambivalence is that of indefinite and negative expressions of amount. For example, in

I've ordered the shrubs, but none (of them) have/has yet arrived grammatical concord would suggest that none is singular; but notional concord (we might paraphrase as 'they have not arrived') invites a plural verb. Has is therefore more conventionally 'correct', but have is more idiomatic in speech. These comments may be extended to neither and either as indefinite pronouns:

I sent cards to Mavis and Margery but neither (of them) has/have replied; in fact, I doubt if either (of them) is/are coming.

If a prepositional phrase with a plural complement follows the indefinite construction, a plural verb is favoured not only because of notional concord but because of the proximity rule:
none of them are ... either of the girls are ...

### 7.25

The same proximity principle may lead to plural concord even with the indefinites each, every, everybody, anybody, and nobody, which are otherwise undoubtedly singular:
?Nobody, not even the teachers, were listening
?Every member of that vast crowd of 50,000 people were pleased to see him

Although these sentences might well be uttered in casual speech, or inadvertently written down, most people would probably regard them as ungrammatical, because they flatly contradict grammatical concord.

Other, more acceptable, instances of 'attraction' arise with singular nouns of kind and quantity:

A large number of people have applied for the job
Those kind/sort/type of parties are very enjoyable (informal)

The latter illustrates an idiomatic anomaly: there is lack of number concord between the noun and the determiner those, as well as with the verb. The awkwardness can be avoided by rephrasing as Parties of that kind ...

## Note

The proximity principle, if taken to mean that agreement is determined by whatever immediately precedes the verb, can explain a singular verb in cases of inversion or of an adverbial quasi-subject: Where's the scissors?, Here's John and Mary; There's several bags missing. As what precedes the subject here is not marked for plural, the singular verb follows by attraction. These are colloquial examples; in formal English are would be substituted.

### 7.26

## Concord of person

As well as concord of number, there is concord of person between subject and verb:

## I am your friend (1st PERSON SINGULAR CONCORD) <br> $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { He is ready } \\ \text { He knows you }\end{array}\right\}$ (3rd PERSON SINGULAR CONCORD)

Following the principle of proximity, the last noun phrase of a coordinate subject (where the coordinator is or, either ...or, or neither ... nor) determines the person of the verb:

Neither you, nor I, nor anyone else knows the answer
Either my wife or I am going
Because many people find such sentences unacceptable, they often prefer to use a modal auxiliary, which is invariable for person, eg: Either $m y$ wife or I will be going.

## Note

In cleft sentences, a relative pronoun subject is usually followed by a verb in agreement with its antecedent: It is I who am to blame. But 3rd person concord prevails (in informal English) where the objective case pronoun me is used: It's me who's to blame.

## Other types of concord

### 7.27

SUBJECT-COMPLEMENT CONCORD
Subject-complement concord of number (but not of person) exists between S and C in clauses of type $S V C$; thus:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { The child was an angel } \\ \text { The children were angels }\end{array}\right\}$ but not $\left\{\begin{array}{l}* \text { The child was angels } \\ * \text { The children were an angel }\end{array}\right.$
This type of concord arises naturally from the denotative equivalence in the intensive relationship. There are, however, exceptions:

What we need most is books
They turned traitor (but They became traitors)
Good manners are a rarity these days
There is an equivalent type of concord between object and object complement in SVOC clauses; eg: He thinks these girls the best actors.

## Note

We sometimes find the verb in agreement with the complement: What we need most are books; Good manners is a rarity these days. Such sentences are probably ascribable to the workings of notional concord, the idea of plurality being dominant in the first and that of singularity in the second.

### 7.28

SUBJECT-OBJECT CONCORD
Subject-object concord of number, person, and gender is necessary, as well as subject-complement concord, where the second element is a reflexive pronoun:

He injured himself in the leg
You should give yourself another chance.
The same concord relation holds when the reflexive pronoun occurs in other functions (eg as prepositional complement), or when the reflexive genitive his own, etc is used:

She's making a sweater for herself
They're ruining their own chances
In BrE , collective noun subjects permit plural concord: The navy congratulated themselves on the victory.

### 7.29

PRONOUN CONCORD
Personal pronouns in the 3rd person agree with their antecedents both in number and (with the singular pronouns he, she, and it) in gender:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { John hurt his foot } & \text { John and Beatrice hurt their feet } \\
\text { Beatrice hurt her foot } & \text { The climbers hurt their feet }
\end{array}
$$

By contrast, John hurt her foot would mean that John hurt someone else's foot (the someone else having been previously mentioned).

### 7.30

English has no sex-neutral 3rd person singular pronoun, and so the plural pronoun they is often used informally, in defiance of number concord, as a substitute for the indefinite pronouns everyone, everybody, someone, somebody, anyone, anybody, no one, nobody.

Everyone thinks they have the answer
Has anybody brought their camera?
No one could have blamed themselves for that

The plural pronoun is a convenient means of avoiding the dilemma of whether to use the he or she form. The same dilemma can arise with coordinate subjects and with some indefinite noun phrase subjects, but here, resort to the evasive device of the plural pronoun is perhaps not so acceptable:
?Either he or his wife is going to have to change their attitude ?Not every working woman can solve their problems so easily

The use of they in sentences like [1-3] is frowned upon in formal English, where the tendency is to use he as the 'unmarked' form when the sex of the antecedent is not determined. The formal equivalent of [1] is therefore:

Everyone thinks he has the answer
There is a still more pedantic alternative, the rather cumbersome device of conjoining both masculine and feminine pronouns:

Every student has to make up his or her own mind

## The vocative

### 7.31

A vocative is a nominal element added to a sentence or clause optionally, denoting the one or more people to whom it is addressed, and signalling the fact that it is addressed to them:

JǑHN, I WÀNT you ( $\operatorname{voc} \mathrm{S} V \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$ )
It's a lovely DÀY, Mrs JÓHNSON (S V C $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{s}}$ voc)
YÒU, my FRÍEND, will have to work HÅRDER ( S voc V A)
These three sentences show how a vocative may take an initial, medial, or final position in the sentence; in its optionality and freedom of position, it is more like an adverbial than any other element of clause structure.

Intonationally, the vocative is set off from the rest of the clause, either by constituting a separate tone-unit or by forming the postnuclear part of a tone unit. The most characteristic intonations are shown above: fall-rise for an initial vocative; rise for a medial or final vocative.

### 7.32

In form, a vocative may be
(1) A single name with or without title: John, Mrs Johnson, Dr Smith
(2) The personal pronoun you (markedly impolite); eg: Behave yourself, you. Or an indefinite pronoun; eg: Get me a pen, somebody.
(3) Standard appellatives, usually nouns without pre- or postmodification (not even the possessive pronoun):

FAMILY RELATIONSHIPS: mother, father, uncle; or more familiar forms like mom(my) (AmE), mum(my) ( BrE ), dad(dy), auntie

ENDEARMENTS: (my) darling/dear/honey (AmE)/love
TITLES OF RESPECT: sir, madam, My Lord, Your Excellency, Your Majesty, ladies and gentlemen
MARKERS OF PROFESSION OR STATUS: doctor; Mr/Madam Chairman; Mr President; (Mr) Prime Minister; Father (for priest); Bishop
(4) A nominal clause (very occasionally): Whoever said that, come out here.
(5) Items under (1), (2), or (3) above with the addition of modifiers or appositive elements of various kinds:
(1) My dear Mrs Johnson; young John
(2) You with the red hair; you over there (impolite); informal but not impolite: you boys; you (young) fellows; you guys (AmE)
(3) Old man/fellow (familiar); young man/woman

One obvious function of a vocative in English is to seek the attention of the person addressed, and especially to single him out from others who may be within hearing. A second function, less obvious but certainly no less important, is to express the attitude of the speaker towards the addressee. Vocatives are generally used as a positive mark of attitude, to signal either respectful distance or familiarity (varying from mild friendliness to intimacy).

## Negation

7.33

The negation of a simple sentence is accomplished by inserting not, $n^{\prime} t$ between the operator and the predication:

The attempt has succeeded
We may win the match
He is coming
They understood the problem
~ The attempt has not succeeded
$\sim$ We may not win the match
$\sim \mathrm{He}$ isn't coming
$\sim$ They did not understand the problem

Sentences with lexical be behave exactly as when be is auxiliary: She is a teacher ~She isn't a teacher. Lexical have usually has do as operator (though in BrE it often need not, and informally got is often added):

He has enough money $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { He doesn't have enough money (esp AmE) } \\ \text { He hasn't (got) enough money (esp BrE) }\end{array}\right.$

### 7.34

## Abbreviated negation

In circumstances where it is possible to abbreviate the operator by the use of a contracted form enclitic to the subject (usually only a pronoun), two colloquial and synonymous forms of negation are possible:

He isn't coming
We aren't ready
They haven't caught him
She won't miss us
He wouldn't notice anything
$\sim$ He's not coming
~We're not ready
$\sim$ They've not caught him
$\sim$ She'll not miss us
$\sim \mathrm{He}$ 'd not notice anything

## Note

[a] As there is no contracted form of am not, I'm not coming has no alternative of the kind given in the left-hand column above. Another consequence of this gap is that there is no universally accepted colloquial question form corresponding to the stiltedly formal Am I not correct? The contraction aren't is sometimes substituted: Aren't I correct? In AmE, ain't has considerable currency in both deelarative and interrogative use.
[b] Restrictions on certain negative forms, especially mayn't, mustn't, oughtn't, daren't and needn't, are noted in 7.42.

### 7.35

## Non-assertive forms

There are numerous items that do not naturally occur outside negative, interrogative, and conditional clauses; for example:

We haven't seen any soldiers
*We have seen any soldiers
These items (which may be determiners, pronouns, or adverbs) are the non-assertive forms, and the following examples will illustrate their range:

We've had some (lunch)
I was speaking to someone
I saw him somewhere
She was somehow surprised
They sometimes visit us
He helped to some extent
They've arrived already
John is coming too
They ate too many (cakes)
He's still there
I like her a great deal
He's been a long way
She was away a long time
He saw one or other of them
~ We haven't any (lunch)
~ I wasn't speaking to anyone
~ I didn't see him anywhere
$\sim$ She wasn't in any way surprised
$\sim$ They rarely/never/don't ever visit us
$\sim \mathrm{He}$ didn't help at all
$\sim$ They haven't arrived yet
$\sim$ John isn't coming either
~ They didn't eat very many (cakes)
$\sim \mathrm{He}$ isn't there now/any longer
$\sim$ I don't like her much
$\sim$ He hasn't been far
~ She wasn't away long
$\sim \mathrm{He}$ didn't see either (one, AmE) of them

In several of the negative sentences, the negative particle and the nonassertive form can combine to produce a negative form (ever $\sim$ never) or can be replaced by a negative form (He hadn't anything $\sim H e$ had nothing).

## Note

Non-assertive forms cannot normally be subject in a negative sentence:

$$
\text { John didn't see anyone } \sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { No one was seen by John } \\
\text { *Anyone wasn't seen by John }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Negative intensification

There are various ways of giving emotive intensification to a negative.
For example, by any means and (informally) a bit are common alternatives to at all as non-assertive expressions of extent. Negative determiners and pronouns are given emphasis by at all, whatever: I found nothing at all the matter with him; You have no excuse whatever. Never is repeated for emphasis, or else combined with an intensifying phrase such as in (all) his/her etc life: I'll never, never go there again; I've never in all my life seen such a crowd. The combinations not one and not a (single) are emphatic alternatives to no as determiner with a count noun.

### 7.37

## Alternative negative elements

Instead of the verb, another element my be negated:
An honest man would not lie I didn't see any birds
No honest man would lie I saw no birds
The scope of negation is however frequently different, so that
Many people did not come
does not mean the same as
Not many people came ('Few people came')
When negative adjuncts are made initial there is inversion of subject and operator:

I will never make that mistake again
Never again will I make that mistake (formal)

### 7.38

## More than one non-assertive form

If a clause contains a negative element, it is usually negative from that point onward. This means that the non-assertive forms must normally be used in place of every assertive form that would have occurred in the corresponding positive clause:

I've never travelled anywhere by air yet
I haven't ever been on any of the big liners, either
No one has ever said anything to either of us
Not many of the refugees have anywhere to live yet
The non-assertive forms even occur in positive subordinate clauses following a negative in the main clause:

Nobody has promised that any of you will be released yet
That wouldn't deter anyone who had any courage

Assertive forms, however, are equally likely in such cases; and more generally, assertive forms do occur following a negative, so long as they fall outside the scope of negation.

## Note

[a] Occasionally two negatives occur in the same clause: I can't not obey ('I have to obey'); Not many people have nowhere to live ('Most people have somewhere to live'); No one has nothing to offer to society ('Everyone has something to offer to society').
[ $b$ ] In substandard English, there is an entirely different kind of 'multiple negation', where more than one negative form is used, but the meaning is that of a single negative: No one never said nothing (Standard English, No one ever said anything).

### 7.39

## 'Seldom', 'rarely', etc

There are several words which are negative in meaning, but not in appearance. They include:
seldom and rarely
scarcely, hardly, barely
little and few (in contrast to the positive a little and a few) only

They have the following similarities to the ordinary negative items:
(1) They are followed by non-assertive rather than assertive forms:

I seldom get any sleep
I've spoken to hardly anyone who disagrees with me
Few changes in government have ever taken so many people by surprise
Only two of us had any experience at sailing
(2) When in pre-subject position, some of them can cause subjectoperator inversion:

Rarely does crime pay so well as Mr Jones seems to think Scarcely ever has the British nation suffered so much obloquy Little need I dwell upon the joy of that reunion
The inversion, as before, is literary or rhetorical in tone.
(3) They are followed by positive rather than negative tag-questions:

She scarcely seems to care, does she?
In addition, there are verbs, adjectives, or prepositions with negative meaning that take non-assertive forms:

He denies I ever told him
I forgot to ask for any change
Unaware of any hostility

Without any delay
Against any changes

### 7.40

## Scope of negation

A negative form may be said to govern (or determine the occurrence of) a non-assertive form only if the latter is within the SCOPE of
the negation, ie within the stretch of language over which the negative meaning operates (shown here with a horizontal line). The scope of the negation normally extends from the negative word itself to the end of the clause, or to the beginning of a final adjunct. The subject, and any adjuncts occurring before the predication, normally lie outside it. (The operator is sometimes within, and sometimes outside, the scope.) There is thus a contrast between:

I definitely didn't speak to him ('It's definite that I did not')
I didn't definitely speak to him ('It's not definite that I did')
When an adverbial is final, however, it may or may not lie outside the scope:

I wasn't LǏStening all the TÌME (ie I listened none of the time)
I wasn't listening ǍLL the time (ie I listened some of the time)
If an assertive form is used, it must lie outside the scope:
I didn't listen to some of the speakers (ie I listened to some)
I didn't listen to any of the speakers (ie I listened to none)
As we have seen (7.38), the scope can sometimes extend into a subordinate clause: I didn't know that anyone was coming.

### 7.41

## Focus of negation

We need to identify not only the scope, but the FOCUS of negation. A special or contrastive nuclear stress falling on a particular part of the clause indicates that the contrast of meaning implicit in the negation is located at that spot, and also that by implication the rest of the clause can be understood in a positive sense:

HÀRry didn't attack the Labour GÓVernment (ie 'Someone attacked ..., but it wasn't Harry')
Harry didn't at TÀCK the Labour GÓVernment
(ie 'He did something to the Labour Government but he didn't attack it')
Harry didn't attack the LÀBour GÓVernment
(ie 'He attacked some government, but it wasn't the Labour one')

Scope and focus are interrelated such that the scope must include the focus. From this it follows that one way of signalling the extent of the scope is by the position of the focus. Indeed, since the scope of the negation is often not otherwise clearly signalled, we can indicate it by where we place the information focus. One example of this is when, atypically, the scope of the negation is extended to include a subordinate clause of reason, with a contrastive fall + rise to emphasize this:

I didn't leave HÓME, because I was afraid of my FÅther
(= 'Because I was afraid of my father, I didn't leave home')
I didn't leave home because I was afraid of my FAXther
(= 'I left home, but it wasn't because I was afraid of my father')

Intonation may be crucial also in marking the extension of the scope backwards to include the subject: an occasional phenomenon found in subjects which contain one of the 'universal' items all or every:

All cats don't like WÅter (ie 'All cats dislike water')
ÀLL cats don't like WÃter (ie 'Not all cats like water')

### 7.42

## Negation of modal auxiliaries

The negation of modal auxiliaries requires some attention, in that here the scope of the negation may or may not include the meaning of the auxiliary itself. We therefore distinguish between AUXILIARY NEGATION and MAIN VERB NEGATION:

## AUXILIARY NEGATION:

may not (= 'permission')
You may not go swimming ('You are not allowed ...')
cannot, can't (in all senses)
You can't be serious ('It is not possible that ...')
You can't go swimming ('You are not allowed ...')
She can't ride a bicycle ('She is not able to ...')
need not, needn't
You needn't pay that fine ('You are not obliged to ...')
It needn't always be my fault ('It is not necessary that ...')

## MAIN VERB NEGATION:

may not (= 'possibility')
They may not bother to come if it's wet ('It is possible that they will not bother to come ...')
will not, won't (all senses)
Don't worry, I won't interfere ('I'm willing not to interfere')
He won't do what he's told ('He insists on not doing ...')
They won't have arrived yet ('I predict that they've not arrived yet')
shall not, shan't (all senses)
Don't worry, you shan't lose your reward ('I'm willing to see that you don't lose your reward')
I shan't know you when you return ('I predict that I shall not know ...')
must not, mustn't (= 'obligation')
You mustn't keep us all waiting ('You'll oblige me by not keeping us all waiting')
ought not, oughtn't (both senses)
You oughtn't to keep us waiting ('obligation')
He oughtn't to be long ('necessity')
Certain auxiliaries (can and need) follow the pattern of auxiliary negation, while others (will, shall, must) follow that of main verb negation. May belongs to the former group in its 'permission' sense, but to the latter group in the sense of 'possibility'. Mustn't is not used at all (and must not only rarely) in the 'necessity' sense; instead, we can use can't in the sense of 'impossibility'. Thus the negation of You must be telling lies is You can't be telling lies. A common auxiliary negation of must is needn't, which has the two meanings of nonobligation and non-necessity:

A: Must we pack now? B: No, we needn't till tomorrow.
Because of the diametric opposition of meaning between 'permission' and 'obligation', an odd-seeming equivalence exists between may not ('non-permission') and mustn't ('obligation-not-to'):

You may not go swimming today
You mustn't go swimming today
On the whole, the past tense negative auxiliaries (mightn't, couldn't, wouldn't, shouldn't) follow the same negative pattern as their present tense equivalents.

## Statements, questions, commands, exclamations

7.43

Simple sentences may be divided into four major syntactic classes, whose use correlates with different communicative functions:
(1) STATEMENTS are sentences in which the subject is always present and generally precedes the verb:

John will speak to the boss today
(2) QUESTIONS are sentences marked by one or more of these three criteria:
(a) the placing of the operator immediately in front of the subject:

Will John speak to the boss today?
(b) the initial positioning of an interrogative or wh-element:

Who will you speak to?
(c) rising intonation:

You will speak to the BÓSS?
(3) COMMANDS are sentences which normally have no overt grammatical subject, and whose verb is in the imperative:

Speak to the boss today
(4) EXCLAMATIONS are sentences which have an initial phrase introduced by what or how, without inversion of subject and operator:

What a noise they are making!
We use the following adjectives for these four types: (1) DECLARATIVE, (2) INTERROGATIVE, (3) IMPERATIVE, and (4) EXCLAMATORY.

## Note

There is an important exception to (2a) above. It is only in relatively formal use that negative questions have the subject immediately after the operator Did John not send the letter? Normally the negative particle comes between operator and subject, but almost invariably with contraction Didn't John send it? (?*Did not John send it?). Focusing adjuncts can also appear between operator and subject, and they make it possible for a preceding not to remain uncontracted Did not even a single student come to the lecture? (formal).

## Questions

### 7.44

## 'Yes-no' questions

Yes-no questions are usually formed by placing the operator before the subject and giving the sentence a rising intonation:

The boat has LÈFT ~ Has the boat LÉFT?
If there is no item in the verb phrase that can function as operator, do is introduced as with negation:

He likes Mary ~ Does he like Mary?
Again as with negation, lexical be acts as operator; in BrE this is often true for have also and informally got is added:

John was late $\sim$ Was John late?
He has a car $\sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Does he have a car? (esp AmE) } \\ \text { Has he (got) a car? (esp BrE) }\end{array}\right.$

## Positive orientation

Another typical characteristic of yes-no questions is the use of the non-assertive forms any, ever, etc that we have already seen in operation in negative statements:

Someone called last night
I suppose some of the class will be already here

Did anyone call last night?
Do you suppose any of the class will be here yet?

Like the use of the do auxiliary, non-assertive forms point to common ground between questions and negative statements. This ground is not hard to explain: clearly a question has neutral polarity, in the sense that it leaves open whether the answer is positive or negative. Hence questions, like negatives, belong to the class of 'non-assertions'.

On the other hand, a question may be presented in a form which is biased towards a positive or negative answer. A question has positive orientation, for example, if it uses assertive forms rather than the usual non-assertive forms:

Did someone call last night? ('Is it true that someone called last night?')
Has the boat left already?
Do you live somewhere near Dover?
Would you like some cake?
These questions indicate that the speaker thinks that the answer is yes: he merely asks for confirmation of that assumption.

### 7.46

## Negative orientation

Negative orientation is found in questions which contain a negative form of one kind or another:

Can't you give us any hope of success? ('Is it really true that you can't ...?')
Isn't your car working?
Does no one believe me?
Negative orientation is complicated, however, by an element of surprise or disbelief which adds implications of positive meaning. Thus [2] means 'Is it really true that your car isn't working? I had assumed that it was.'

## Tag questions

### 7.47

The tag question consists of operator plus pronoun, with or without a negative particle; the choice and tense of the operator are determined by the verb phrase in the superordinate clause:

The boat hasn't left, has it?
Joan recognized you, didn't she?
As these examples illustrate, if the superordinate clause is positive, the tag is negative, and vice versa. The nuclear tone of the tag occurs on the operator and is either a rise or a fall. Four main types of tag question emerge from the observance of these rules:

|  | RISING TONE <br> [I] | FALLING TONE [III] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POSITIVE + NEGATIVE | He likes his JÒB, DÓESn't he? | He likes his JÒB, DÒESN't he? |
|  | [II] | [IV] |
| NEGATIVE + POSITIVE | He doesn't like his JÖB, DÓES he? | He doesn't like his JÒB, DÒES he? |

The meanings of these sentences, like their forms, involve a statement and a question; each of them, that is, asserts something, then invites the listener's response to it. Sentence [I], for example, can be rendered 'I assume he likes his job; am I right?'. [II] means the opposite: 'I assume he doesn't like his job; am I right?. Clearly, these sentences have a positive and a negative orientation respectively. A similar contrast exists between [III] and [IV]. But it is important, again, to separate two factors: an ASSUMPTION (expressed by the statement) and an EXPECTATION (expressed by the question). On this principle, we may distinguish the four types as:
[I] Positive assumption + neutral expectation
[II] Negative assumption + neutral expectation
[III] Positive assumption + positive expectation
[IV] Negative assumption + negative expectation
The tag with the falling tone, it will be noted, invites confirmation of the statement, and has the force of an exclamation rather than a genuine question. In this, it is like (though perhaps not so emphatic as) exclamatory yes-no questions with a falling tone: Isn't it gorgeous WEAther!

### 7.48

Among less common types of tag question, one may be mentioned in which both statements and question are positive:

Your car is outSİDE, ÍS it?
You've had an ÀCcident, HÁVE you?
This tag always has a rising nucleus, and the situation is characteristically preceded by oh or so, indicating the speaker's arrival at a conclusion by inference, or by recalling what has already been said. The tone may sometimes be one of sarcastic suspicion:

So THÀT's your little game, ÍS it?

## Declarative questions

The declarative question is an exceptional type of yes-no question identical in form to a statement, except for the final rising question intonation:

You've got the EXPLÓsive?
They've spoken to the AMBÅSsador?
You realize what the RÍSKS are?
Boris will be THÉRE, I suppose?
He didn't finish the RACE?
Notice the occurrence of $I$ suppose, impossible in normal questions. Declarative questions show their assertive character in the inadmissibility of non-assertive forms:

The guests have had $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { nothing } \\ \text { something }\end{array}\right\}$ to eat?
*The guests have had anything to eat?
They are similar in force to type [I] or type [II] tag questions, except for a rather casual tone, which suggests that the speaker takes the answer yes or no as a foregone conclusion.

### 7.50

## 'Yes-no' questions with modal auxiliaries

The formation of yes-no questions with modal auxiliaries is subject to certain limitations and shifts of meaning. The modals of 'permission' (may, esp BrE , and can) and of 'obligation' (must, esp BrE, and have to) involve the speaker's authority in statements and the listener's authority in questions:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { A: }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { May } \\ \text { Can }\end{array}\right\} \text { I leave now? ('Will you permit me ...') } \\ \text { B: Yes, you }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { may } \\ \text { can }\end{array}\right\} . \text { ('I will permit you ...') }\end{array}\right.$
$\left\{\right.$ A: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Must I } \\ \text { Do I have to }\end{array}\right\}$ leave now? ('Are $\cdot$ you telling me ...')
B: Yes, you $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { must } \\ \text { have to }\end{array}\right\}$. ('I am telling you ...')
A similar switch from listener to speaker takes place with shall ('volition') which (especially in BrE ) implicates the speaker's will in statements, but the listener's will in questions:

You shall suffer for this! ('I intend to make you suffer ...!')
Shall I switch off the television? ('Do you want me to ...?')

The direct-question use of shall, however, is virtually restricted to first person subjects. With we, it has both exclusive and inclusive senses:

Shall we carry your suitcases? ('Would you like us to ...?')
Shall we have dinner? ('Would you like us [including you] to ...?)
May ('possibility') is not employed at all in questions; can (or more commonly, in AmE, could) takes its place:

A: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Can } \\ \text { Could }\end{array}\right\}$ they have missed the bus?
B: Yes, they $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { may have. } \\ \text { might have. }\end{array}\right.$
Need (in BrE ) is a non-assertive auxiliary in clauses where the corresponding positive form is must. Hence in questions:

A: Need it happen? (cf AmE/BrE: Does it $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { need to } \\ \text { have to }\end{array}\right\}$ happen?)
B: Yes, it $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { must. } \\ \text { has to. }\end{array}\right.$
If, on the other hand, must had occurred in A's question, it would have had 'positive orientation': 'Is it a fact that it must happen?' Compare Need it ever happen? with Must it always happen?, where the assertive form has to be retained.

## 'Wh'-questions

7.51

Wh-questions are formed with the aid of one of the following interrogative words (or Q -words):
who/whom/whose, what, which
when, where, how, why
As a rule
(1) the Q -element (ie clause element containing the Q -words) generally comes first in the sentence;
(2) the Q -word itself takes first position in the Q -element.

The only exception to the second principle is when the Q -word occurs in a prepositional complement. Here English provides a choice between two constructions, one formal and the other less so. In formal style, the preposition precedes the complement, whereas in colloquial style, the complement comes first and the preposition retains the position it has in a declarative sentence:

On what did you base your prediction? (formal)
What did you base your prediction on?
We may perhaps express this difference more neatly by saying that colloquial English insists that the Q-word comes first, while formal English insists that the Q-element as a whole comes first.

The following are sentences in which the Q -element operates in various clause functions:

Who opened my LÈTter? (Q-element: S)
Which books have you LĖNT him? (Q-element: $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$ )
Whose beautiful anTİQUES are these? (Q-element: $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ )
How wide did they make the BÒOKcase? ( Q -element: $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}$ )
When will you come BÀCK? (Q-element: $\mathrm{A}_{\text {time }}$ )
Where shall I put the GLÀSSes? (Q-element: $\mathrm{A}_{\text {place }}$ )
Why are they always comPLÀINing? (Q-element: $\mathrm{A}_{\text {reason }}$ )
How did you MEND it? (Q-element: $\mathrm{A}_{\text {process }}$ )
How much does he CÀRE? (Q-element: $\mathrm{A}_{\text {intensifying }}$ )
How long have you been WAITing? (Q-element: $\mathrm{A}_{\text {duration }}$ )
How often do you visit New YÒRK? (Q-element: $\mathrm{A}_{\text {frequency }}$ )
As the examples indicate, falling intonation, not rising intonation, is usual for wh-questions.

We see above that normal statement order of elements is upset in wh-questions not only by the initial placing of the Q -element, but by the inversion of subject and operator in all cases except that in which the Q -element is subject, where the rule of initial Q -element takes precedence over the rule of inversion.

Subject-operator inversion is the same in its application to wh-questions as in its application to yes-no questions; if there is no auxiliary in the equivalent statement, do is introduced as operator in the question. Lexical be (and sometimes, in BrE , have) acts as an operator: How are you? Who have we here?

## Note

[a] Adjuncts of instrument, reason, and purpose are normally questioned by the prepositional constructions:

What shall I mend it with? What did you do that for?
Although the latter could be replaced by Why did you do that?, it has no alternative with a preposed preposition: *For what did you do that? In this respect it is like informal questions with be followed by a final preposition: What was it in? (but not ${ }^{*}$ In what was it?).
[ $\bar{b}]$ Abbreviated questions consisting of $Q$-word and final preposition (which in this construction bears nuclear stress), Where to? What for/with? Who with/by?, are as popular in colloquial speech as questions consisting of the Q-word only: Where? Who? Why? There is a common abbreviated negative question Why not?
[c] Although there is no $Q$-word for the verb, the content of the predication can be questioned by what as the object of the generalized agentive verb do:

> A: What are you doing? B: I'm reading.
> A: What have you done with my book? B: I've hidden it.
[d] An indirect object cannot act as Q -element: instead of *Who(m) did you give the present?, the equivalent prepositional complement construction is used: Who( $m$ ) did you give the present to? or To whom did you give the present?

## Alternative queştions

7.53

There are two types of alternative question, the first resembling a yes-no question, and the second a wh-question:

Would you like CHÓcolate, VANÍLla, or STRÅWberry (icecream)?
[1]
Which ice-cream would you LìKE? CHÓcolate, VANÍLla, or STRȦ Wberry?
[2]
The first type differs from a yes-no question only in intonation; instead of the final rising tone, it contains a separate nucleus for each alternative: a rise occurs on each item in the list, except the last, on which there is a fall, indicating that the list is complete. The difference of intonation between alternative and yes-no questions is important, in that ignoring it can lead to misunderstanding - as the contrast between these replies indicates:
alternative: A: Shall we go by BÚS or TRAÌN? B: By BÙS.
yes-no: A: Shall we go by bus or TRAÍN? B: No, let's take the CÀR.

### 7.54

The structure of alternative yes-no questions follows the pattern of clausal coordination; that is, two or more separate questions are collapsed together, wherever convenient, by ellipsis (shown here by parentheses).

Did ÍTaly win the World Cup or (did) BraZÌL (win the World Cup)?

Often the elliptical part of an alternative question is placed within the first question:

Did ÍTaly or BraZìl win the World Cup?
ÅRE you or ÀREn't you coming?
Where there is no repeated structure, no ellipsis is possible, and so the second question appears in its full form:

Is it RẢIning or has it STÒPped?

## Minor types of question

### 7.55

EXCLAMATORY QUESTION
The exclamatory question is a question in form, but is functionally like an exclamation. The most characteristic type is a negative yes-no question with a falling instead of rising tone:

Hasn't she GROWN!
Wasn't it a marvellous CONCERT!
These invite the listener's agreement to something on which the speaker has strongly positive feelings.

A positive yes-no question, also with a falling tone, is another (but very informal) way of expressing a strong positive conviction:
'Am 'I HÙNGry! 'Did 'he look anNÒYED! 'Has 'she GRÒWN! Both operator and subject usually receive emphatic stress.

### 7.56

## RHETORICALQUESTION

The rhetorical question is one which functions as a forceful statement. More precisely, a positive rhetorical question is like a strong negative assertion, while a negative question is like a strong positive one.

## POSITIVE:

- Is that a reason for desPÁIR? ('Surely that is not a reason ...') Can anyone doubt the WíSdom of this action? ('Surely no one can doubt ...')


## NEGATIVE:

Is no one going to deFÉND me? ('Surely someone is going to defend me')

Unlike exclamatory questions, these rhetorical questions usually have the normal rising intonation of a yes-no question.

There is also a rhetorical wh-question, which is equivalent to a statement in which the Q-element is replaced by a negative element:

Who KNÔWS? ('Nobody knows')
What DÎFference does it make? ('It makes no difference')
Again, the intonation is that of an ordinary wh-question, except that a rise-fall tone is likely.

## Commands

### 7.57

## Commands without a subject

We begin with the most common category of command, that which differs from a statement in that
(1) it has no subject,
(2) it has an imperative finite verb (the base form of the verb, without endings for number or tense).
Otherwise the clause patterns of commands show the same range and ordering of elements as statements; for example:
$S V$ : Jump (V)
$S V C$ : Be reasonable (V C)
SVOA: Put it on the table ( $\mathrm{V} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{A}_{\text {place }}$ )
The imperative verb, however, is severely restricted as to tense, aspect, voice, and modality. There is no tense distinction or perfect aspect, and only very rarely does the progressive form occur:

Be preparing the dinner when he comes in

A passive is equally rare, except when the auxiliary is some verb other than be, as in Get washed. These restrictions are connected with the understandable incongruity of combining an imperative with a stative non-agentive verb: *Sound louder! Modal auxiliaries do not occur at all in imperative sentences.

Commands are apt to sound abrupt unless toned down by markers of politeness such as please: Please eat up your dinner; Shut the door, please. Even this only achieves a minimum degree of ceremony; a more tactful form of request can only be arrived at if one changes the command into a question or a statement: Will you shut the door, please? I wonder if you would kindly shut the door; I wonder whether you would mind shutting the door; etc.

## Note

Stative verbs can be interpreted as dynamic, however, in special contexts: Know the answer by tomorrow! (= 'Get to know ...', 'Learn ...').

### 7.58

## Commands with a subject

It is implied in the meaning of a command that the omitted subject of the imperative verb is the 2 nd person pronoun you. This is confirmed by the occurrence of you as subject of a following tag question (Be quiet, will you), and by the occurrence of yourself and of no other reflexive pronoun as object: Behave yourself, not *Behave himself.

There is, however, a type of command in which the subject you is retained, differing from the subject of a finite verb in always carrying stress:

## You be quiet!

You mind your own business, and leave this to me!
These commands are usually admonitory in tone, and frequently express strong irritation. As such, they cannot naturally be combined with markers of politeness, such as please: *Please, you be quiet! They may be used, however, in another way, to single out (by pointing) two or more distinct addressees: You come here, Jack, and you go over there, Mary. A 3rd person subject is also possible:

Somebody open this door
Everybody shut their eyes
Jack and Susan stand over there
It is easy to confuse the subject, in these commands, with a vocative noun phrase. Whereas the subject always precedes the verb, the vocative (as we saw earlier) is an element that can occur in final and medial, as well as initial, positions in the sentence. Another difference is that the vocative, when initially placed, has a separate toneunit (typically fall-rise); the subject merely receives ordinary wordstress:

VOCATIVE: MÅRY, play on MỲ side Play on MÝ side, MÁRY
SUBJECT: 'Mary play on MY̌ side
The distinctness of vocative and imperative subject is confirmed by the possibility of their co-occurence: JÓHN, 'you listen to ME!

## Commands with let

First person imperatives can be formed by preposing the verb let, followed by a subject in (where relevant) the objective case:

Let us all work hard (more usually: Let's ...)
Let me have a look
The same applies to 3 rd person subjects:
Let each man decide for himself
If anyone shrinks from this action, let him speak now

### 7.60

## Negative commands

To negate 2 nd and 3 rd person imperatives, one simply adds an initial Don't, replacing assertive by non-assertive forms where necessary:

Open some windows $\sim$ Don't open any windows
You open the door $\sim$ Don't you open the door
Someone open the door $\sim$ Don't anyone open the door
1st person imperatives, on the other hand, have two possibilities:
Let's open the door $\sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Let's not open the door } \\ \text { Don't let's open the door (informal) }\end{array}\right.$ and the second of these is available for 3rd person imperatives:

Don't let anyone fool himself that he can get away with it

### 7.61

## Persuasive imperatives

A persuasive or insistent imperative is created by the addition of do (with a nuclear tone) before the main verb:

Do have some more sherry Do let's go to the theatre

### 7.62

## Exclamations

In discussing exclamations as a formal category of sentence, we restrict our attention to exclamatory utterances introduced by what (plus noun phrase) or how. In contrast to $w h$-questions, there is generally no subjectoperator inversion:

What an enormous crowd came! (S V)
What a time we've had today! ( $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{S}$ V A)
How delightful her manners are! ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{S}$ V)
How I used to hate geography! (A S V $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$ )
What a long time it lasted! (A S V)
7.63

## Formulae

There are some sentences which, though appearing to belong to one of the major classes, in fact enter into few of the relations of substitutability that are common to members of those classes. For instance, the greeting formula (appropriate to a first meeting) How do you do? cannot be subordinated as an indirect question (*They asked him how he did) or answered in a corresponding statement form ( ${ }^{*}$ I do very well). Two slightly less restricted kinds of wh-question are the question without an auxiliary why (+not) + predication:

Why get so upset? Why not enjoy yourself?
and the how/what about type of question:
What about the house? How about joining us?
These are not formulaic in the previous sense, but are irregular in that they lack some of the elements normally found in a wh-question.

There are also patterns which are defective in terms of regular clause or sentence structure, such as the verbless imperatives:

Off with the lid! Out with it! Down with the bosses!
To this we may add a number of exclamatory types:
If only I'd listened to my parents!
To think I was once a millionaire!
Oh for a drink! Oh to be free! (archaic except when jocular)
You and your statistics! John and his ideas!
Now for some fun!
Apart from such cases, there are sentences which contain fossilized elements no longer productively used in present-day English: for example, the subjunctive combined with inversion in

Far be it from me to (spoil the fun)
Suffice it to say (we lost)
Long live (anarchy)! (archaic except when jocular)
and without inversion in
God save the Queen! (God) Bless you!
A slightly less archaic formula for expressing a wish is may + subject + predication: May the best man win! May you be happy!

Note
In very familiar style we find the question formula How come (you missed the bus)?
Also familiar is the greeting formula How goes it?, without do-periphrasis.

### 7.64

Aphoristic sentences
Among other minor sentence types is the aphoristic sentence structure found in many proverbs:

| The more, the merrier | $[1]$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Least said, soonest mended | $[2]$ |
| Handsome is as handsome does | $[3]$ |
| Easy come, easy go |  |

These all have one structural feature in common: the balancing of two equivalent constructions against each other. While they must all be considered grammatically anomalous, example [1] has a fairly productive pattern which will be dealt with under proportional clauses.

### 7.65

## Block language

In addition to the formulae of colloquial conversation, there is a whole realm of usage where, because of its rudimentary communicative role, language is structured in terms of single words and phrases, rather than in terms of the more highly organized units of clause and sentence.

Language so used may be termed 'block language'. It appears in such functions as labels, titles, headings, notices, and advertisements. Simple block-language messages most often consist of a noun or noun phrase or nominal clause in isolation: no verb is needed, because all else necessary to the understanding of the message is furnished by context. Examples are:

| ENTRANCE | ENGLISH DEPARTMENT | DANGER: FALLING ROCKS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PURE LEMON JUICE | FRESH TODAY | HIGHLY RECOMMENDED |
| A GRAMMAR OF CONTEMPORARY <br> ENGLISH | WHERE TO GO IN LONDON |  |
| HOW TO WIN FRIENDS <br> AND INFLUENCE <br> PEOPLE | THE FIRST LUXURY BOUND COLLECTOR'S <br> EDITION OF AGATHA CHRISTIE'S WORK <br> TO BE AVAILABLE IN THIS COUNTRY |  |

In newspaper headlines, abbreviated clause structures have been developed: FILM-STAR MARRIES EX-PRIEST (S V O ${ }_{\mathrm{d}}$ ) ELECTION A LANDSLIDE FOR SOCIALISTS ( $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) SHARE PRICES NOW HIGHER THAN EVER (S A C ${ }_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) JACKLIN BEATEN BY BONALLACK (S V A)

These differ from orthodox clause structures in having different tense conventions, and in omitting closed-system words of low information value, such as the articles and the finite forms of the verb be.

## Note

Prohibitions on notice boards often assume the special block-language form of a noun phrase introduced by No. For example, No smoking: No entry; No unauthorized entry after dark.

## EIGHT

## ADJUNCTS, DISJUNCTS, CONJUNCTS

## 8.1

## Units realizing adverbial functions

The functions of the adverbial are realized by:
(1) Adverb phrases, ie phrases with adverbs as head or sole realization:

Peter was playing as well as he could
We'll stay there
(2) Noun phrases (less common):

Peter was playing last week
(3) Prepositional phrases:

Peter was playing with great skill
(4) Finite verb clauses:

Peter was playing although he was very tired
(5) Non-finite verb clauses, in which the verb is
(a) infinitive:

Peter was playing to win
(b) -ing participle:

Wishing to encourage him, they praised Tom
(c) -ed participle:

If urged by our friends, we'll stay
(6) Verbless clauses:

Peter was playing, unaware of the danger

## 8.2

## Classes of adverbials: adjuncts, disjuncts, conjuncts

Adverbials may be integrated to some extent into the structure of the clause or they may be peripheral to it. If integrated, they are termed ADJUNCTS. If peripheral, they are termed DISJUNCTS and CONJUNCTS, the distinction between the two being that conjuncts have primarily a connective function.

An adverbial is integrated to some extent in clause structure if it is affected by such clausal processes as negation and interrogation. For example, it is an adjunct if
either (1) it cannot appear initially in a negative declarative clause:
*Quickly they didn't leave for home
or (2) it can be the focus of a question or of clause negation:
Does he write to his parents because he wants to (or does he write to them because he needs money)?
We didn't go to Chicago on Monday, (but we did go there on Tuesday)
In contrast, a disjunct or a conjunct is not affected by either of these clausal processes. For example, the disjunct to my regret can appear initially in a negative declarative clause:

To my regret, they didn't leave for home
and cannot be the focus of a question or of clause negation:
*Does he write to his parents, to my regret, (or does he write to them, to my relief)?
*We didn't go to Chicago, to my regret, (but we did go there, to my relief)

Items can belong to more than one class. For example, naturally is an adjunct in

They aren't walking naturally ('in a natural manner')
and a disjunct in
Naturally, they are walking ('of course')

## 8.3 <br> Definitions of positional terms

We distinguish four positions of adverbials for the declarative form of the clause:

Initial position (ie before the subject)
Medial position:
M1: (a) immediately before the first auxiliary or lexical be, or (b) between two auxiliaries or an auxiliary and lexical be.
M2: (a) immediately before the lexical verb, or (b) in the case of lexical be, before the complement.

Final position: (a) after an intransitive verb, or (b) after any object or complement.

If there are no auxiliaries present, M1 and M2 positions are neutralized:
They sometimes watch television
If the subject is ellipted, initial and medial positions are neutralized: I've been waiting outside his door the whole day and yet haven't seen him

Final position includes any position after the stated clause elements, eg:
I paid immediately for the book
I paid for the book immediately

## Adjuncts

## 8.4

## Syntactic features of adjuncts

Certain syntactic features are general to adjuncts.
(1) They can come within the scope of predication pro-forms or predication ellipsis. For example, in

John greatly admires Bob, and so does Mary
the pro-form in the second clause includes the adjunct of the first clause, so that the sentence means the same as

John greatly admires Bob, and Mary greatly admires Bob
(2) They can be the focus of limiter adverbials such as only:

They only want the car for an HÒUR ('for an hour and not for longer')
(3) They can be the focus of additive adverbials such as also:

They will also meet $\dot{A}$ Fterwards ('afterwards in addition to some other time')
(4) They can be the focus of a cleft sentence:

It was when we were in Paris that I first saw John

## 8.5

## Adverb phrases as adjuncts

Adverb phrases as adjuncts can often
(1) constitute a comparative construction

John writes more clearly than his brother does
(2) have premodifying however to form the opening of a dependent adverbial clause:

However strongly you feel about it, you should be careful what you say
(3) have premodifying how, a pro-form for intensifiers in questions or exclamations:

How often does she wash her hair?
How cautiously he drives!
(4) have premodifying so followed by subject-operator inversion and a correlative clause:

So monotonously did he speak that everyone left

## 8.6

## Subclassification of adjuncts

It is convenient to discuss adjuncts under classes that are essentially semantic. Fig 8:1 gives the classes and their subclasses.


Fig 8:1 Adjuncts

## 8.7

## Viewpoint adjuncts

Viewpoint adjuncts can be roughly paraphrased by 'if we consider what we are saying from a [adjective phrase] point of view' or 'if we consider what we are saying from the point of view of [noun phrase]'.

Adverbs functioning as viewpoint adjuncts are most commonly derived from adjectives by the addition of a -ly suffix:

Geographically, ethnically, and linguistically, these islands are closer to the mainland than to their neighbouring islands

Viewpoint adjuncts derived from nouns by the addition of the suffix -wise (especially AmE) are considered informal:

Program-wise, the new thing on TV last night was the first instalment of a new science series

All -ly viewpoint adjuncts have a corresponding participle clause with speaking, eg: visually $\sim$ visually speaking, and a corresponding prepositional phrase with the frame from $a$ [adjective phrase] point of view, eg: morally $\sim$ from a moral point of view. Other examples of viewpoint adjuncts:

As far as mathematics is concerned, he was a complete failure Looked at politically, it was not an easy problem
Viewpoint adjuncts, whatever their structure, are usually in initial position.

## Focusing adjuncts

## 8.8

Focusing adjuncts indicate that what is being communicated is limited to a part that is focused-LIMITER ADJUNCTS - or that a focused part is an addition-ADDITIVE ADJUNCTS. Most focusing adjuncts are adverbs.

## LIMITERS

(a) EXCLUSIVES restrict what is said to the part focused eg: alone, just, merely, only, purely, simply
(b) PARTICULARIZERS restrict what is said particularly or mainly to the part focused eg: chiefly, especially, mainly, mostly; in particular

## ADDITIVES

also, either, even, neither, nor, too; as well as, in addition
Examples of their use with an indication of the part that is focused:
You can get a B grade JUST for that answer
The workers, IN PARTICULAR, are dissatisfied with the government We bought some beer AS WELL

Focusing adjuncts cannot be modified: *very only, ${ }^{*}$ extremely also. Most of them cannot be coordinated: *just and exactly, *equally and likewise. But we have one cliché coordination:

He is doing it PURELY AND SIMPLY for your benefit

## Position and focus

8.9

Sentences such as
John only phoned Mary today
John also phoned Mary today
are ambiguous, the meaning varying with the intonation we give the sentence. In more formal English varying positions can distinguish most of the meanings, with a nucleus on the focused part in speech:
$\mid$ John ONly $\mid$ phoned MÀry today|=Only JOHN phoned Mary today (Nobody but John phoned Mary today)
$\mid$ John only phoned MÂry today|= John phoned only MÀry today (John phoned Mary today but nobody else)
$\mid J o h n$ only phoned Mary toDÀY|= John phoned Mary $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { only toDÀY } \\ \text { toDÅY only }\end{array}\right.$
(John phoned Mary today but not at any other time)

### 8.10

## Positions of focusing adjuncts

Most limiters can either precede or follow the part on which they are focused, though it is more usual for them to precede. Just, merely, purely, and simply must normally precede:

You can get a B grade JUST/MERELY/PURELY/SIMPLY for that answer

On the other hand, alone must normally follow the part on which it is focused, eg: You can get a B grade for that answer ALONE.
The following additives normally precede a focused part in the predicate but follow a focused subject: again, also, equally, similarly, in addition. On the other hand, too and as well normally follow a focused part, wherever in the clause it may be, while even normally precedes:

I know your family has expressed its support. We TOO/AS WELL will do what we can for you.
Yesterday the Robinsons were here with their new baby. They brought their other children TOO/AS WELL.
My father won't give me the money. He won't EVEN lend it to me.
Neither and nor are restricted to initial position and non-assertive either to final position:

They won't help him, but NEITHER/NOR will they harm him
They won't help him, but they won't harm him EITHER

### 8.11

## Focusing adjuncts in correlative constructions and cleft sentences

With certain limiters - just, simply, and most commonly only and mere$l y$ - there can be subject-operator inversion when they follow an initial not in a correlative construction. Besides the normal

He not only protested: he (also) refused to pay his taxes we can also have

Not only did he protest: he (also) refused to pay his taxes

The focus can be on the subject or predicate or on some part of either of them. The second correlative clause, which often has (but) also, may be implied rather than expressed. Not only (and less commonly not plus one of the other limiters) can appear initially in this construction without subject-operator inversion, with focus on the subject:

NOT ONLY he protested: ...
In a non-correlative construction, not even can also occur initially, but only with normal subject-verb order. The focus is on the subject:

NOT EVEN John protested
If the focus of even is to be on the predication (or part of it), not even must follow the operator:

John may NOT EVEN have been protesting
Focusing adjuncts can appear within the focal clause of a cleft sentence:

It was only/also John who protested
We should distinguish the cleft sentence from the correlative structure which it resembles but from which it differs prosodically:

It was not that John protested; it was merely that he was rude It's not just that he's young; it's surely that he's inexperienced

The adverbials are here functioning within the superordinate clauses in which the that-clauses are complement. Limiters, additives and some disjuncts (eg: possibly, probably) occur in this correlative structure.

## Intensifiers

### 8.12

Intensifiers can be divided into three semantic classes: emphasizers, amplifiers, downtoners. Intensifiers are not limited to indicating an increase in intensity; they indicate a point on the intensity scale which may be high or low. Emphasizers have a general heightening effect; amplifiers scale upwards from an assumed norm; downtoners have a lowering effect, usually scaling downwards from an assumed norm. The three classes are shown with their subclasses:

| INTENSIFIERS | EMPHASIZERS | (eg: definitely) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AMPLIFIERS <br> DOWNTONERS | maximizers (eg: completely) <br> boosters (eg: very much) |
|  |  | ```_ compromisers (eg: kind of)``` |

Most of the common intensifiers are adverbs, but there are also some noun phrases and a few prepositional phrases.

### 8.13

## Emphasizers

Common emphasizers include:
[A] actually, certainly, clearly, definitely, indeed, obviously, plainly, really, surely, for certain, for sure, of course
[B] frankly, honestly, literally, simply; fairly (BrE), just
Examples of the use of emphasizers:
I honestly don't know what he wants
He actually sat next to her
I just can't understand it
They literally tore his arguments to pieces
I simply don't believe it
While emphasizers in Group A seem to be free to co-occur with any verb or predication, those in Group $B$ tend to be restricted. For example, honestly tends to co-occur with verbs expressing attitude or cognition:

They honestly admire her courage
He honestly believes their accusation
Most emphasizers normally precede the item they emphasize (medial positions for verb phrases) but for certain and for sure are exceptional in being postposed.

## Note

[a] Certain emphasizers appear in restricted environments:
(1) always when preceded by can or could in a positive declarative clause:

You can always sleep on the FLOOR ('You can certainly ...')
(2) well when preceded by can, could, may, or might in a positive declarative clause

It may well be true that he beat her
('It may indeed be true ...')
[b] Indeed can be postposed:
I appreciate your help indeed
This is more common after a complement of be which is realized by an adjective (particularly if modified by another intensifier) or a degree noun:

He was very tired indeed ('He was extremely tired')
It was a sacrifice indeed ('It was a great sacrifice')

## Amplifiers

### 8.14

Amplifiers are divided into (a) MAXIMIZERS, which can denote the upper extreme of the scale, and (b) BOOSTERS, which denote a high
point on the scale. Boosters are very much an open class, and new expressions are frequently created to replace older ones whose impact has grown stale.

Most amplifiers can be contrasted in alternative negation with to some extent:

He didn't ignore me con:pletely, but he did ignore me to some extent Some common amplifiers are given below, with examples of their use.

## MAXIMIZERS

absolutely, altogether, completely, entirely, fully, quite, thoroughly, utterly; in all respects; most
eg I can perfectly see why you are anxious about it
We absolutely refuse to listen to your grumbling He quite forgot about her birthday
I entirely agree with you

## BOOSTERS

badly, deeply, greatly, heartily, much, so, violently, well; a great deal, a good deal, a lot, by far; exclamatory how; more
eg They like her very much
I so wanted to see her ('I wanted to see her so much')
I can well understand your problem
M2 (8.3) and final positions are open to most adverbs that are amplifiers; noun phrases and prepositional phrases are restricted to final position. In positive declarative clauses, final position is preferred for maximizers, but $M 2$ position is preferred for boosters, including maximizers when used as boosters, ie when they denote a high point on the scale rather than the upper extreme. Hence, the effect of the maximizer completely in M2 position in He completely denied it is close to that of the booster strongly in He strongly denied it. On the other hand, when completely is final, as in He denied it completely, the intention seems to be closer to He denied all of it

In negative, interrogative and imperative clauses, final position is normal in all cases.

## Note

[a] There is a prescriptive tradition inhibiting the use of very or the comparative with completely and perfectly and with their respective adjective forms.
[b] The adverbs extremely, most, and (when no comparative clause follows) more are restricted to final position.
[c] Some adverbs as boosters occasionally appear in M1 position (8.3) usually when they are themselves intensified or before an emphatic auxiliary:

I very much would prefer to see you tomorrow
I so did want to meet them
I well can understand your problem
But M2 position, eg. I would very much prefer to see you tomorrow, is normal.

### 8.15

CO-OCCURRENCE RESTRICTIONS ON AMPLIFIERS
Amplifiers co-occur only with gradable verbs, whereas emphasizers can co-occur with non-gradable verbs such as drink or judge:

He really drinks beer
He will definitely judge us
When amplifiers co-occur with non-gradable verbs they function as other types of adjunct-

He drinks beer a lot ('often')
He will judge us severely ('in a severe manner')
However, a non-gradable verb can become gradable when the main concern is with the result of a process. For example, if the perfective particle $u p$ is added to drink or the perfective aspect of the verb is used, we can add an amplifier such as completely:

He completely drank up his beer
He has completely drunk his beer
Similarly, while judge is non-gradable, misjudge is gradable, since the latter is concerned with the result of the judging:
*He badly judged the situation
He badly misjudged the situation
Certain amplifiers tend to co-occur predominantly with certain verbs for example:
entirely + agree - completely + forget
badly + need, want
greatly + admire, enjoy
Amplifiers may occut with a semantic class of verbs, for example greatly with verbs having a favourable implication and utterly with verbs having an unfavourable implication. Some, such as deeply, occur with the class of 'emotive' verbs:

They wounded him deeply (emotional wounding)
They wounded him badly (physical wounding)

## Note

[a] If badly is used with judge, it is interpreted as a process adjunct (perhaps expressing a blend of process with result) and must be put in final position:

He judged the situation badly ('in a way that was bad and with bad results') The gradable/non-gradable distinction between judge and misjüdge is found in other morphologically related verbs, eg:

NON-GRADABLE: calculate, estimate, rate, represent, behave, manage
GRADABLE: miscalculate, overestimate, underestimate, overrate, underrate, misrepresent, misbehave, mismanage
[b] Much is largely used as a non-assertive, unless premodified or in the compared forms. With some attitudinal verbs, unpremodified much can be used, but only in $M 2$ position (8.3):

[^1]
## Downtoners

### 8.16

Downtoners have a lowering effect on the force of the verb. They can be divided into four groups:

COMPROMISERS have only a slight lowering effect
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { DIMINISHERS } \\ \text { MINIMIZERS }\end{array}\right\}$ scale downwards considerably

APPROXIMATORS serve to express an approximation to the force of the verb

## COMPROMISERS

kind of/sort of (informal, esp AmE), quite/rather (esp BrE ), more or less
eg I kind of like him (informal, esp AmE)
I quite enjoyed the party, but I've been to better ones (esp BrE )

## DIMINISHERS

partly, slightly, somewhat; in part, to some extent; a little
eg The incident somewhat influenced his actions in later life
We know them slightly

## MINIMIZERS

a bit; negatives: barely, hardly, little, scarcely; non-assertives: in the least, in the slightest, at all
eg I didn't enjoy it in the least
A: Do you like her? B: A bit.

## APPROXIMATORS

almost, nearly, as good as, all but
eg I almost resigned
Noun phrases are quite common as non-assertive minimizers, eg: 'I didn't sleep a wink last night'; 'I don't owe you a thing'.

Most downtoners favour M2 position (8.3) but can also occur finally, eg: 'He more or less agrees with you', 'He agrees with you more or less'. Some are restricted to M2: quite, rather, as good as, all but; eg 'I quite like him'. Others tend to be restricted either to $M 2$ or to MI (b), the position between two auxiliaries: barely, hardly, scarcely, practically, virtually; hence we may have 'He could hardly be described as an expert'. A few are restricted to $M 2$ in a positive clause, but can precede a negative phrase in M1: kind of, sort of, almost, nearly; eg 'I almost didn't meet him' A few others favour final position -a bit, at all-or are restricted to it - enough, a little; eg 'I didn't enjoy it
at all', 'He hasn't worked enough'. A few can appear initially: in part, in some respects, to some extent; eg 'To some extent he prefers working at home'.

### 8.17

Approximators imply a denial of the truth-value of what is denoted by the verb. Hence we can say, with the approximator almost,

I almost resigned, but in fact I didn't resign
The negative minimizers partially deny the truth-value of what is said:
I .can scarcely ignore his views; in fact I can't ignore his views The second clause converts the partial denial in the first clause into a full denial.

Compromisers reduce the force of the verb. If we say
I kind of like him (informal, esp AmE)
we do not deny liking him, but we seem to be deprecating what we are saying, 'I might go as far as to say I like him'.

Diminishers are not usually the focus of negation, but when they are, the effect is to push the scaling towards the top:

They didn't praise him SLĬGHTly ('They praised him a lot')
On the other hand, the effect of negation on those minimizers that accept negation is to deny the truth-value of what is denoted by the verb:

We don't like it a BÌT ('We don't like it')
Four of the minimizers - barely, hardly, little, scarcely - are themselves negative and cannot be negated.

## Note

Certain minimizers appear in restricted environments:
(1) possibly and conceivably when they co-occur with can or could in a non-assertive clause:

They can't possibly/conceivably leave now ('They can't under any circumstances leave now')
(2) never is a negative minimizer in

You will never catch the train tonight ('It is utterly impossible that you will catch the train tonight')
In questions, ever can replace never as minimizer:
Will he ever/never go to bed tonight?

### 8.18

Homonyms of intensifiers: quantifiers, time 'frequency' adjuncts, time 'duration' adjuncts

Many items that are intensifiers are also used to denote a measure of quantity or of time duration or time frequency: all the minimizers; the compromisers enough, sufficiently; the boosters much, a lot, a good
deal, a great deal; the diminishers a little, least, somewhat, to some extent. We can therefore contrast several uses of (say) a lot:

I like them a lot ('to a great extent' - booster intensifier)
I paid him a lot for his work ('a large amount' - quantifier)
I see him a lot ('often' - time frequency adjunct)
I slept a lot last night ('a long time' - time duration adjunct)
Some of the quantifiers must be analysed as direct objects, because they can be made the subject of the passive form of the sentence:

They paid a lot for these pictures $\leftrightarrow A$ lot was paid (by them) for these pictures

## Process adjuncts

### 8.19

Process adjuncts define in some way the process denoted by the verb. They can be divided into at least three semantic subclasses:

## MANNER MEANS INSTRUMENT

Common pro-forms for process adjuncts are in that way, that way (informal), like that.

Process adjuncts co-occur with dynamic verbs, but not with stative verbs:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { He likes them } \\ \text { He owns it }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}* \text { skilfully } \\ * \text { awkwardly }\end{array}\right.$
Process adjuncts favour final position, since they usually receive the information focus. Indeed, no other position is likely if the process adjunct is obligatory for the verb:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { They live frugally } \\ * \text { They frugally live }\end{array}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { They treated his friend badly } \\ \text { *They badly treated his friend }\end{array}\right.\right.$
Since the passive is often used when the need is felt to focus attention on the verb, process adjuncts are commonly placed in $M 2$ position (8.3) rather than finally when the verb is in the passive:

Tear gas was indiscriminately sprayed on the protesters
Process adjuncts realized by units other than adverb phrases often occur initially, that position being preferred if the focus of information is required on another part of the sentence:

By pressing this button you can stop the machine

### 8.20

Manner adjuncts
Examples of the use of manner adjuncts:
They sprayed tear gas indiscriminately on the protesters
She replied to questions with great courtesy
He spoke in a way that reminded me of his father
He always writes in a carefree manner

They walked (in) single file
You should write as I tell you to
Manner adjuncts are realized mostly by adverb phrases and prepositional phrases, but also by noun phrases and clauses.

Noun phrases with way, manner, and style as head tend to have the definite article:

She cooks chicken $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { the way I like } \\ \text { in }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { the } \\ a\end{array}\right\} \text { way I like }\end{array}\right.$
As the above example illustrates, we can regard such noun phrases as having omitted the preposition in.

An adverb manner adjunct can usually be paraphrased by in a ... manner or in a ... way with its adjective base in the vacant position. Where an adverb form exists, it is usually preferred over a corresponding prepositional phrase with manner or way. Hence, 'He always writes carelessly' is more usual than 'He always writes in a careless manner/way'.

Adverbs as heads of manner phrase adjuncts are an open class. The main method of forming manner adverbs is by adding a -ly suffix to an adjective. Three minor methods are by adding -wise, -style, or -fashion to a noun, eg: snake-wise, cowboy-style, peasantfashion. With these forms the prepositional paraphrase would include postmodification: in the manner of a snake, in the style of cowboys, in the fashion of peasants.

## Note

Some adjuncts express a blend of manner with some other meaning.
(1) Manner with result and intensification:

The soldiers wounded him badly ('in such a way and to such an extent that it resulted in his being in a bad condition')
(2) Manner with time duration:

He was walking slowly ('in such a way that each step took a long time')
Such items are more fully time adjuncts when they appear initially or medially:
Suddenly, I felt free again ('it suddenly happened')
My brother quickly despised his school ('My brother soon came to despise')
(3) Manner with time when:

Put it together again ('in the way that it was before')

### 8.21

Means and instrument adjuncts
Examples of the use of means adjuncts:
He decided to treat the patient surgically
I go to school by car
Examples of the use of instrument adjuncts:
He examined the specimen microscopically
You can cut the bread with that knife
The injured horse was humanely killed with a rifle bullet

Most means and instrument adjuncts are prepositional phrases (6.31 f), but some are adverb phrases and others are noun phrases without an article. We can consider the noun phrases as related to prepositional phrases (8.20):

He sent it (by) air mail Fly $\left(\begin{array}{l}\left.\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { with } \\ b y\end{array}\right\}\right) \text { Air France }\end{array}\right.$
He travelled to Washington (by) first class

## Note

Adverbs as means and instrument adjuncts cannot be modified. Hence, microscopically in 'He examined the specimen very microscopically' can only be a manner adjunct ('in microscopic detail'), although without the premodifier very it can be a means or instrument adjunct ('by means of a microscope' or 'with a microscope').

## Subject adjuncts

### 8.22

Subject adjuncts relate to the referent of the subject in an active clause (or the agent in a passive clause) as well as to the process or state denoted by the verb. All are either adverb or prepositional phrases. Three groups can be distinguished: general, volitional, and formulaic. The last group will be separately discussed in 8.23 .

General subject adjuncts:
Resentfully, George invited her to the party ('George invited her to the party and was resentful about it')
With great unease, he agreed to their plan. ('He was very uneasy when he ...')

Volitional subject adjuncts:
He left his proposals vague on purpose ('It was his purpose to ...')
He deliberately misled us ('He was being deliberate when he ...')
Common volitional subject adjuncts: deliberately, (un)intentionally, purposely, reluctantly, voluntarily, wilfully, (un)willingly; on purpose, with reluctance

The subject adjuncts show their relationship to the subject by the paraphrase they allow. For example, we must provide a different paraphrase for the subject adjunct bitterly from its homonyms as manner adjunct and booster intensifier:

Bitterly, he buried his children ('He was bitter when he ...')
He spoke bitterly about their attitude ('He spoke in a bitter way ...')
He bitterly regretted their departure ('He very much regretted ...')
Volitional subject adjuncts differ from other subject adjuncts in that
(1) they express the subject's intention or willingness, or the reverse
(2) they can often occur with intensive verbs:

He is deliberately being a nuisance
(3) they can more easily appear before clause negation:

Intentionally, he didn't write to them about it

- Subject adjuncts require an animate subject:

Joan resentfully packed their luggage
*The water resentfully boiled
However, in the passive form it is the agent (whether present or not) that must be animate:

Their luggage was resentfully packed (by Joan)
Subject adjuncts tend to occur initially or medially, but M2 position (8.3) is probably preferred.

### 8.23

## Formulaic adjuncts

Except for please, formulaic adjuncts tend to be restricted to $M 2$ position (8.3). They are a small group of adverbs used as markers of courtesy. All except please are modifiable by very. The most common are exemplified below:

He kindly offered me a ride ('He was kind enough to ...')
We cordially invite you to our party ('We express our cordiality to you by inviting ...')
She announced that she will graciously consent to our request ('... she will be gracious enough to ...')
He humbly offered his apologies ('He was humble enough to offer ...')
Take a seat please ('Please me by taking ...')
Kindly and please are the only formulaic adjuncts to appear freely before imperatives. Kindly is restricted to initial position in imperatives:

Kindly leave the room
Please, however, is mobile:
Please leave the room Open the door please
Unlike the other formulaic adjuncts, please is normally limited to sentences having the function of a command, or containing a reported command, or constituting a request:

Will you please leave the room?
You will please leave the room
I wonder whether you would mind leaving the room please
I asked him whether he would please leave the room
May I please have my book back?
Please and (to a lesser extent) kindly are very commonly used to tone down the abruptness of a command.

## Place adjuncts

### 8.24

Place adjuncts denote static position and also direction, movement, and passage, here brought together under the general term 'direction'. Most place adjuncts are prepositional phrases, but clauses, adverb phrases and noun phrases are frequently used:

He lives in a small village
The church was built where there had once been an office block
position
They are not there
She works a long way from here
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { He ran past the sentry } \\ \text { They followed him wherever he went } \\ \text { I took the papers from the desk } \\ \text { He threw it ten yards }\end{array}\right\}$ direction
Position adjuncts can normally be evoked as a response to a where question:

A: Where is he staying? B: In a hotel.
The appropriate question for direction adjuncts is where plus the relevant directional particle, except that for 'direction towards' the particle to is commonly omitted:

A: Where are you going (to)? B: (To) the park.
A: Where have you come from? B: (From) the supermarket.
Adverbs commonly used for both position and direction: above, along, anywhere, around, away, back, below, by, down, east (and other compass points), elsewhere, everywhere, far, here, home, in, locally, near, off, opposite, out, over, past, round, somewhere, there, through, under, up, within.

A few adverbs denote direction only: aside, backward(s), downward(s), forward(s), inward(s), left, outward(s), right, sideways, upward(s).

Where in its various uses is a place adjunct; here and there are pro-forms for place adjuncts.

### 8.25

## Co-occurrence restrictions on place adjuncts

Direction adjuncts are used only with verbs of motion or with other dynamic verbs that allow a directional meaning:

He jumped over the fence
She was whispering softly into the microphone

On the other hand, position adjuncts can be used with most verbs, including stative verbs.

Position adjuncts. are used as predicative adjuncts with the intensive verb be:

Your sister is in the next room
The house you want is on the other side of the street
Some direction adjuncts/ are also used with be, but with a resultative meaning, indicating the state of having reached the destination. Some place adjuncts are obligatory, providing verb complementation to verbs other than be:

We don't live here
I'll get below You should set that dish in the middle

Place adjuncts are used also non-literally in phrasal verbs:
The light is on ('is shining')
When John heard what happened, he blew up ('became very angry')
They turned down the suggestion ('rejected')
$U p$, in particular, is used as an intensifier or perfectively:
You must drink up quickly ('finish drinking')
They closed up the factory ('closed completely')

### 8.26

Position and direction adjuncts in the same clause
Position and direction adjuncts can co-occur, with the position adjunct normally following the direction adjunct in final position:

The children are running around $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ upstairs $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$
The position adjunct can be put in initially to avoid giving it end-focus:

Upstairs the children are running around
A prepositional phrase may be put in that position, as in
In the park some of the children are walking to the lake
to prevent it from being interpreted as a postmodifier of a previous noun phrase. There are other ways of avoiding such an interpretation, eg:

Some of the children are in the park and walking to the lake
Two position adjuncts or two direction adjuncts can be coordinated:
We can wait for you here or in the car
They went up the hill and into the station
But a position and a direction adjunct normally cannot be coordinated. Hence in

The baby was crawling upstairs and into his parents' bedroom upstairs can be interpreted only as a direction adjunct since it is coordinated with a phrase that has only a directional function.

## Hierarchical relationship

Two position adjuncts can co-occur:
Many people eat in restaurants $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ in London $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$
Only the adjunct denoting the larger place can be moved to initial position:

In London many people eat in restaurants
*In restaurants many people eat in London
Initial position may be preferred in the case of a prepositional phrase that can also be interpreted as postmodifier of a previous noun phrase, as possibly with (restaurants) in London (cf 8.26).

Two direction adjuncts can also co-occur:
He came to London from Rome
He went from Rome to London
The normal order of these direction adjuncts accords with the interpretation of the verb. Come concerns arrival, and therefore the destination (to London) is normally mentioned before the point of departure (from Rome), whereas go concerns departure and therefore the reverse order is normal.

The normal order of juxtaposed direction adjuncts otherwise follows the same order as the events described:

They drove down the hill $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ to the village $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$
Similarly, only the adjunct relating to the earlier event can be transposed to initial position:

And then from Alexandria the party proceeded to Cairo

### 8.28

## Positions of place adjuncts

Both types of place adjunct favour final position:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { position }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { I'll meet you downstairs } \\
\text { You'll find the sugar where the coffee is }
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { direction }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { l'll go downstairs } \\
\text { We're moving some new furniture into the kitchen }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Position adjuncts, particularly prepositional phrases, often appear initially. They may be put there to avoid end-focus, or to avoid misinterpretation, or to avoid a clustering of adjuncts at final position, though it is not usually possible to isolate any one reason.

Outside, children were jumping and skipping

Here ... be and There ... be with a personal pronoun as subject and the verb in the simple present are commonly used to draw attention to the presence of somebody or something:

Here I am/Here it is/There she is/There you are
Speakers sometimes put position adjuncts (especially here, there, and compounds with -where) in M2 and more rarely in M1 (8.3):

We are here enjoying a different kind of existence
Place adjuncts can take the position between verb and object if the object is long:

They $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { moved into } \\ \text { found in }\end{array}\right\}$ the kitchen every conceivable kind of furniture
Some direction adjuncts are put initially to convey a dramatic impact. They normally co-occur with a verb in the simple present or simple past:
Away he goes
On they marched

If the subject is not a pronoun but a noun (and therefore has greater information value), subject-verb inversion is normal when any place adjunct is initial:

Away goes the servant On the very top of the hill lives a hermit
Here $+b e$ and there $+b e$ with the verb in the simple present are common in speech:

Here are the tools There's your brother
Direction adjuncts are put in initial position virtually only in literary English and in children's literature. A few exceptions occur in informal speech, mainly with go, come, and get in either the imperative with the retained subject you or in the simple present:

$\left.\begin{array}{l}\begin{array}{l}\text { On } \\ \text { Under } \\ \text { Round }\end{array}\end{array}\right\}$ you go $\quad$ There they $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { go } \\ \text { come }\end{array}\right.$ Here $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{I} \\ \text { we }\end{array}\right\}$ go

## Note

[a] There are some idiomatic expressions with here and there•.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Here } \\ \text { There }\end{array}\right\}$ you are $=$ This is for you
Here we are $=$ We've arrived at the expected place
There you are $=$ That supports or proves what I've said
[b] Certain direction adjuncts are commonly used as imperatives, with an implied verb of motion:

Out(side)!, In(side)!, (Over) Here!, (Over) There!, (Right) Back!,
Down!, Off!, Up!, Under!, Left!, Right!, Away!,
Up the stairs!, Out of the house!, To bed!
This applies also to some other adjuncts, eg: Quickly!, Slowly!, Carefully!

### 8.29

## Position adjuncts in relation to subject and object

Position adjuncts normally indicate where the referent of the subject and (if present) of the object are located, and usually the place is the same for both referents:

I met John on a bus (John and I were on the bus)
But sometimes the places can be different:
I saw John on a bus (John was on the bus but I need not have been)

With verbs of placing, the reference is always to the place of the object and normally that will differ from the place of the subject:

I have/keep/put/park/shelter my car in a garage
With certain verbs of saying, arranging, expecting, position adjuncts are resultative and are like predicative adjuncts of the direct object:

I want my car IN THE GARAGE ('to be in the garage')
They plan a meeting AT MY HOUSE ('that there should be a meeting at my house')
They offered a barbecue NEARBY ('to have a barbecue nearby')
I like my dinner IN THE KITCHEN ('to have my dinner in the kitchen')
The position adjunct may sometimes refer to the object in a conditional relationship:

I only like barbecues $O N$ THE BEACH ('if they are held on the beach')

## Time adjuncts

### 8.30

Time adjuncts that are clauses or prepositional phrases or noun phrases are discussed elsewhere.

Time adjuncts can be divided into four main semantic classes:


## Time 'when' adjuncts

8.31

Most time when adverbs can serve as a response to a when question:
$\mathrm{A}:$ When did he arrive? $\mathrm{B}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Last night. } \\ \text { While you were at the library. }\end{array}\right.$
When in its various uses is in part pro-form for the time adjuncts. Time when adjuncts can be divided into
[A] those denoting a point of time
[B] those denoting a boundary of time, ie a point of time but also implying the point from which that time is measured

Common adverbs in these two groups include:
Group A
again ('on another occasion'), just ('at this verv moment'), late ('at a late time'), now ('at this time'), nowadays ('at the present time'), presently ('at the present time', esp AmE), then ('at that time'), today

Group B
afterwards, before, eventually ('in the end'), formerly, just ('a very short time ago'), lately ('a short time ago'), momentarily ('in a moment', AmE), previously ('before'), presently ('soon'), recently ('a short time ago'), since ('after that'), soon, then ('after that')
Examples of the use of time when adjuncts:
Group A
I was in New York last year and am now living in Baltimore I'm just finishing my homework

I was awarded my Bachelor of Arts degree in 1970
I'll tell you all the news when I get back home

## Group B

I haven't any time at the moment but I'll see you soon
Take a drink and then go to bed
Will you be there after lunch?
The town was destroyed by an earthquake ten years ago, but
it has been rebuilt since then
We went home after the rain stopped
Most time when adjuncts in Group A normally occur finally, but just is restricted to $M 2$ position (8.3), eg: I've just heard that you are leaving us. Nowadays and presently commonly occur initially, eg: Nowadays, many teenagers have long hair. Those in Group B commonly occur initially or at $M 2$ position.

## Note

[a] Earlier and later are synonymous with before (that) and afterwards respectively:
He remembered the many insults that he had earlier experienced
He handed in his resignation, and later regretted his hasty action
[b] Presently is synonymous with soon where there is a modal auxiliary or (for some speakers) when the verb is in the past:

They $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { will presently call on him } \\ \text { presently called on him }\end{array}\right.$
(Some find presently unacceptable when it co-occurs with a verb in the past.) On the other hand, when the verb is in the present, it is synonymous with at present (esp AmE):

They are presently staying with him

### 8.32

Most adverbs in Group B are used as correlatives to denote temporal sequence; as such they tend to occur initially or medially:

First they petitioned the Governor, but heard nothing from him.
Then they wrote to the President, and received a polite but vague reply from some official. They next organized a peaceful demonstration. And finally they picketed all Federal buildings in the city.

### 8.33

Time when adjuncts can be in a hierarchical relationship:
I'll see you at nine $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ on Monday ( $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ )
The order of final adjuncts depends in part on information focus, but the tendency is for the superordinate adjunct (the one denoting the more extended period) to come last. However, the order may be reversed if the other adjunct is considerably longer:

I was in New York last year $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ before the first snow fell $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$

Only the superordinate adjunct can occur initially:
On Monday I'll see you at nine
*At nine I'll see you on Monday

### 8.34

## Time 'duration' adjuncts

Time duration adjuncts can be divided into two groups:
[A] those denoting length of time
[B] those denoting duration from some preceding point of time
Time duration adjuncts in Group A can serve as a response to a (for) how long question:
A: How long are you staying (for)?
B: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { (For) About a month. } \\ \text { Till I can get my car } \\ \text { repaired. }\end{array}\right.$

Adverbs in Group B cannot serve as a response to such a question though prepositional phrases and clauses can do so:

A: (For) How long have you been collecting stamps?
B: ${ }^{*}$ Since ${ }^{*}$ Recently/Since last month/Since I was a child
Those in Group B co-occur with perfect aspect:
His studies $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { haven't been } \\ \text { *weren't }\end{array}\right\}$ improving lately/recently/since
Common adverbs in the two groups include:
Group A
always, long, momentarily ('for a moment'), permanently, temporarily
Group B
lately/recently ('during a recent period')
since ('from some time in the past')
Examples of the use of time duration adjuncts:
Group A
I have always lived here
I'll be in California for the summer
Was it noisy the whole night?
There was no trouble while we were there
Group B
He insulted me last year and I haven't spoken to him since Things haven't become any better lately
I have been waiting for the books to be delivered ever since I came to this apartment
Time duration adjuncts are normally positioned finally, except for three adverbs normally positioned at M2 (8.3): momentarily, permanently, temporarily.

## Note

[a] When lately and recently are time when adjuncts, they can co-occur with simple past as well.

He lately/recently moved into a new apartment
Since requires perfect aspect even when it is a time when adjunct:
$\mathrm{He}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { has since moved } \\ * \text { since moved }\end{array}\right\}$ into a new apartment
[b] Uninflected long is normally a non-assertive form and positioned finally, but it can be an assertive form when it co-occurs with certain verbs and is then usually positioned at $M 2$. The verbs seem to be mainly verbs of belief or assumption, attitudinal verbs, and some verbs of speaking

I have long admired his style of writing
He has long thought of retiring at the age of 55
[c] Since when and until (or till) when are used to form questions:
A: Since when have you known him? B: Since he joined our club.
A: Until when are you staying? B: Until next Monday.
These are the normal positions for since and until/till, postposition being unacceptable for since and until: *When have you known him since?, *When are you staying until?, and the less common position for till: ?When are you staying till? In this respect, they contrast with how long ... for; for is normally postposed, the initial position being a formal variant.

## Time 'frequency' adjuncts

### 8.35

Most time frequency adjuncts can serve as a response to a how often question:

A: How often do you wash your car? B: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Every Sunday. } \\ \text { Whenever I find a spare } \\ \text { half-hour. }\end{array}\right.$
Time frequency adjuncts are usually adverb phrases or noun phrases, and they can be divided semantically into two major subclasses:
[1] those naming explicitly the times by which the frequency is measured: DEFINITE FREQUENCY
[II] those not doing so: INDEFINITE FREQUENCY
Each of these subclasses can in turn be subdivided. In each case we list common adverbs.
[I] DEFINITE FREQUENCY
[A] PERIOD FREQUENCY
Committee meetings take place weekly
If so desired, rent can be paid per week instead of per month common adverbs: hourly, daily, weekly, monthly, annually
[B] NUMBER FREQUENCY
I have been in Singapore $O \mathbf{O} C E$ (only)
He again demanded a refund ('for a second time')
common adverbs: again, once ('one time only'), twice, etc; otherwise phrases, eg: three times, on five occasions

## [II] INDEFINITE FREQUENCY

## [C] USUAL OCCURRENCE

We normailly don't go to bed before midnight
As usual, nobody asked anything at the end of the lecture common adverbs: commonly, generally, invariably, normally, usually

## [D] CONTINUOUS/CONTINUAL FREQUENCY

Does she always dress well?
He is continually complaining about the noise common adverbs: always, constantly, continually, continuously

## [E] HIGH FREQUENCY

I have often told them to relax more
Have you been ill many times?
common adverbs: frequently, often, regularly, repeatedly

## [F] LOW OR ZERO FREQUENCY

I sometimes think she doesn't know what she's talking about I have been in his office on several occasions
common adverbs: infrequently, occasionally, rarely, seldom, sometimes; never, ever ('at any time')

Time frequency adjuncts in Groups A and B normally occur finally. Those in Groups C-F are normally positioned at $M 2$, but are often found at M1 (8.3). Phrases (apart from those consisting of an adverb or a premodified adverb) are normally initial for Group $\mathbf{C}$ (eg: as usual, as a rule, for the most part) and final for Groups D-E (eg: at all times, many times, now and again).

## Note

We should add to Groups $E$ and $F$ items that are used as intensifiers:
[E] much, a lot, a good deal, a great deal (all equivalent to often or very often)
[F] a little ('very occasionally'), little ('hardly at any time'),
less ('less frequently'), least ('least frequently'),
a bit ('occasionally'); barely, hardly, scarcely
eg I don't see him very much ('very often')
I go there very little ('very infrequently')
As frequency adjuncts, hardly and scarcely tend to co-occur with non-assertive ever ('at any time'):

I hardly/scarcely ever go there

### 8.36

Adjuncts of definite frequency in Group A denote the period of time by which the frequency is measured, while those in [B] express the measurement in number of times. Items from each group can co-occur, normally with the item from [B] coming first:

You should take the medicine twice $[\mathrm{B}]$ daily $[\mathrm{A}]$

Those in [A] can also co-occur with each other in a hierarchical relationship:

She felt his pulse hourly $[\mathrm{A}]$ each day $[\mathrm{A}]$
The order of the adjuncts in final position depends in part on information focus, but only the one denoting the longer period can occur initially:

Each day she felt his pulse hourly
Those in [B] can likewise co-occur with each other in a hierarchical relationship with a momentary verb:

I spoke with him twice on two occasions ('two times on each of two occasions')

As here, the superordinate adjunct tends to follow the subordinate adjunct; but it can be initial:

On two occasions I spoke with him twice
Adjuncts in [B] can often be the response to the question How many times?:

A: How many times did you speak with him? B: Twice

### 8.37

Adjuncts of indefinite frequency in Group C denote usual occurrence. They differ from most of the other adjuncts of indefinite frequency in that they can precede the clausal negative, in which case they indicate that it is normal for something not to occur:

Generally/Normally/Usually, he doesn't take medicine
Those in [E] and [F] that precede negation express a high or low frequency. It is not contradictory to assert that it is frequent (or infrequent) for something to occur and at the same time that it is frequent (or infrequent) for it not to occur:
$\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { Often } \\ \text { Occasionally }\end{array}\right]$ he doesn't take medicine, but $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { often } \\ \text { occasionally }\end{array}\right]$ he does
(take medicine)

### 8.38

time frequency adjuncts and quantifiers
If the subject is generic, many adjuncts of indefinite frequency, particularly when positioned initially or medially, are equivalent to certain predeterminers or to certain quantifiers in the noun phrase of the subject. For example, in

Sailors drink rum often ('on many occasions')
often refers to the frequency of the drinking of rum. However, $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Often sailors } \\ \text { Sailors often }\end{array}\right\}$ drink rum ('it often happens that ...')
is very similar to
Many sailors drink rum

## Other time relationships

### 8.39

Adjuncts included here express some relationship in time other than those specified in 8.31-38. One group consists of adjuncts concerned with the sequence within the clause of two time relationships, and they co-occur with time when adjuncts. Many of the same items are also used as correlatives to denote temporal sequence between clauses or between sentences (8.32):

These techniques were originally used in the Second World War
It wasn't until last night that I was finally introduced to her She broke her leg for the first time when she was ten

Adverb phrases normally appear in $M 2$ (8.3) and other phrases in final position. Common adverbs in this group include afterwards, eventually, finally, first, later, next, originally, subsequently, then.

### 8.40

Another group consists of adjuncts that are similar to time duration adjuncts in that they express duration up to or before a given or implied time; they are related by assertive/non-assertive contrasts:
assertive forms: already, still, by now
non-assertive forms: yet, any more, any longer
negative forms: no more, no longer
They have finished their work by now
We haven't yet eaten
He would stay no longer
Most of these adjuncts occur either in M2 position (8.3) or finally, but already is normally in M2 position.

The three items yet, already, and still are in particular closely related. In contrast to non-assertive yet, already and still cannot lie within the scope of clause negation except in questions. Still, unlike already, can precede negation. We therefore have the following possibilities:

## DECLARATIVE POSITIVE

I already like him ('I have by this time come to like him')
*I yet like him
I still like him ('I continue to like him')

> DECLARATIVE NEGATIVE (adverb preceding negation)
> *I already haven't spoken to him
> *I yet haven't spoken to him
> I still haven't spoken to him ('I haven't spoken to him so far')
> DECLARATIVE NEGATIVE (adverb following negation)
> *He can't already drive
> He can't drive yet ('He can't drive up to this time') ?He can't still drive ('He can't continue to drive')

> INTERROGATIVE POSITIVE
> Have you already seen him? (That was quick) Have you seen him yet? (You've been here ages) Do you still see him? ('Do you continue to see him?')

> INTERROGATIVE NEGATIVE
> Haven't you seen him already? ('Haven't you by this time seen Haven't you seen him yet? him?')
> Don't you still see him? ('Don't you continue to see him?')

## Note

[a] The difference between already and yet in questions is that already expects an affirmative answer whereas yet leaves open whether the answer is negative or positive.
[b] Yet can be assertive in certain contexts where it is similar in meaning to still:
I have yet to find out what he wants ('I have still to ...')
I can see him yet ('I can still see him')
There's plenty of time yet ('There's plenty of time still)
[c] Still often blends concessive and temporal meanings. For example, in
It's very late and he's still working ('He's continuing even so to work')

### 8.41

## Relative positions of time adjuncts

Adjuncts from the three major subclasses that can co-occur in final position - time when, time duration, and time frequency - tend to occur in the order
time duration (D) - time frequency (F) - time when (W)
The following sentences exemplify the normal order (but of 8.45):
I was there for a day or so (D) every year (F) during my childhood (W)
I'm paying my rent monthly ( F ) this year (W)
Our electricity was cut off briefly (D) today (W)

### 8.42

## Time adjuncts and time reference

Time adjuncts play a part in specifying the time reference of the verb phrase. Thus, now determines that the reference in

He is playing now
is present, and tomorrow that it is future in
He is playing tomorrow

### 8.43 <br> Time adjuncts as predicative adjuncts with 'be'

Time adjuncts can co-occur with all verbs, including be:
It's much warmer now
Many of them can also be used as predicative adjuncts with be:
TIME WHEN
The meeting will be tomorrow

## TIME DURATION

The show is from nine till twelve

## TIME FREQUENCY

Interviews are every hour
Be in such cases is equivalent to 'take place', and the subject must be eventive. For example in

The opera will be tonight
the opera is interpreted as 'the performance of the opera'.

### 8.44

## Other classes of adjuncts

Some classes of adjuncts are realized by prepositional phrases or clauses and either rarely or not at all by adverb phrases. For example, there are adjuncts expressing purpose, but there are few adverbs used in this way. (See Note below.) Other classes of adjuncts are realized by prepositional phrases only; for example, adjuncts expressing source or origin, as in

He took the book from me

## Note

Perhaps symbolically ('for a symbolic purpose', 'as a symbol') and experimentally ('for an experimental purpose', 'as an experiment') in the following sentences are instances of adverbs used to denote purpose:

They symbolically buried the car as a protest against pollution:
The teacher experimentally called the students by their first names

### 8.45

## Relative positions of adjuncts

Where adjuncts cluster in final position, the normal order is
process - place - time

This order is exemplified in
He was working with his shears (process) in the garden (place) the whole morning (time).

Three other general principles apply to relative order whether within a class or between classes:
(1) The normal relative order can be changed to suit the desire for end-focus
(2) A clause normally comes after other structures, since otherwise these would be interpreted as adjuncts of the clause:
We stood talking for a very long time $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ where the fire had been ( $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ )
(3) Longer adjuncts tend to follow shorter adjuncts:

I was studying earlier $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ in the university library $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$
This principle often coincides with (1) and (2).
Adjuncts that can occur initially are often put in that position for reasons of information focus, but also to avoid having too many adjuncts in final position. We might, therefore, have moved the time adjunct in the first example of this section to initial position:

The whole morning he was working with his shears in the garden It is not usual for more than one adjunct to be in initial position, but time and place adjuncts sometimes co-occur there:

In London, after the war, damaged buildings were quickly demolished and replaced by new ones
Viewpoint adjuncts also cQ-occur with other adjuncts 'in initial position:
Economically, in this century our country has suffered many crises

## Disjuncts

### 8.46

Most disjuncts are prepositional phrases or clauses. Disjuncts can be divided into two main classes: STYLE DISJUNCTS (by far the smaller class) and ATTITUDINAL DISJUNCTS. Style disjuncts convey the speaker's comment on the form of what he is saying, defining in some way under what conditions he is speaking. Attitudinal disjuncts, on the other hand, comment on the content of the communication.

## Style disjuncts

### 8.47

Examples of the use of style disjuncts:
Seriously, do you intend to resign?
Personally, I don't approve of her

Strictly speaking, nobody is allowed in here
There are twelve people present, to be precise
If I may say so, that dress doesn't suit you
The adverb phrase as style disjunct implies a verb of speaking of which the subject is the $I$ of the speaker. Thus, very frankly in

Very frankly, I am tired
is equivalent to I tell you very frankly. In a question, eg
Very frankly, is he tired?
the disjunct may be ambiguous. Here, very frankly corresponds to $I$ ask you very frankly or to the more probable Tell me very frankly.

Common adverbs as style disjuncts include: bluntly, briefly, candidly, confidentially, frankly, generally, honestly, personally, seriously.

Style disjuncts normally appear initially.

### 8.48

For some adverb phrases as style disjuncts, we have a series corresponding to them in other structures. For example, in place of frankly in

Frankly, he hasn't a chance.
we could put:
prepositional phrase - in all frankness
infinitive clause - to be frank, to speak frankly, to put it frankly
-ing participle clause - frankly speaking, putting it frankly
-ed participle clause - put frankly
finite verb clause - if I may be frank, if I can speak frankly, if I can put it frankly

For all of the adverbs listed in 8.47, corresponding particle constructions with speaking are available as style disjuncts, eg: seriously $\sim$ seriously speaking. Many have infinitive clauses of the form to be plus the stem adjective, eg: bluntly $\sim$ to be blunt. Those allowing such infinitive clauses have a corresponding finite clause with if, eg: if I may be blunt.

## Note

[a] The style disjunct generally is to be distinguished from the time frequency adjunct generally, synonymous with usually. The style disjunct is exemplified in

The committee interviewed the two writers. Generally, the writers were against censorship.
[b] The style disjunct personally is to be distinguished from the intensifier personally, which is synonymous with the appropriate reflexive form of the pronoun-

I personally/myself have never been to New York These are both to be distinguished from the adjunct personally:

He signed the document personally ('in person')

## Attitudinal disjuncts

### 8.49

Attitudinal disjuncts convey the speaker's comment on the content of what he is saying. They can generally appear only in declarative clauses:

Obviously, nobody expected us to be here today
Understandably, they were all annoyed when they read the letter He is wisely staying at home today
They arrived, to our surprise, before we did
Of course, nobody imagines that she will act so foolishly
To be sure, we have heard many such promises before
Even more important, he has control over the finances of the party
They are not going to buy the house, which is not surprising in view of its exorbitant price
What is even more remarkable, he manages to inspire confidence in the most suspicious people

While attitudinal disjuncts can appear in almost any position, the normal position for most is initial.

## Conjuncts

### 8.50

Most conjuncts are adverb phrases or prepositional phrases.
Examples of the use of conjuncts are given below, followed by a list of common conjuncts, which are grouped according to their subclasses:
I'd like you to do two things for me. First, phone the office and tell them I'll be late. Secondly, order a taxi to be here in about half an hour.
You can tell him from me that I'm not going to put up with his complaints any longer. What's more, I'm going to tell him that myself when I see him tomorrow.
I see that you've given him an excellent report. You're satisfied with his work then, are you?
I took him to the zoo early this morning and then we went to see a circus. All in all, he's had a very good time today.
It was a very difficult examination. Nevertheless, he passed it with distinction.
He doesn't need any money from us. On the contrary, we should be going to him for a loan.

ENUMERATIVE: first, second, third ...; first(ly), secondly, thirdly ...; one, two, three (especially in learned and technical use); $a, b, c$ (especially in learned and technical use); for one thing ... (and) for another (thing); for a start (informal); to begin with, to start with; in the first place, in the second place; next, then; finally, last, lastly; to conclude (formal)
REINFORCING: also, furthermore, moreover, then (informal, especially spoken), in addition, above all, what is more

EQUATIVE: equally, likewise, similarly, in the same way
TRANSITIONAL: by the way, incidentally
SUMMATIVE: then, (all) in all, in conclusion, to sum up

APPOSITION: namely (often abbreviated to viz in formal written English), in other words, for example (often abbreviated to e. g. or eg), for instance, that is (often abbreviated to i. e. or ie in specialized written English), that is to say
RESULT: consequently, hence (formal), so (informal), therefore, thus (formal), as a result, [somehow ('for some reason or other')]
INFERENTIAL: else, otherwise, then, in other words, in that case
REFORMULATORY: better, rather, in other words
REPLACIVE: alternatively, rather, on the other hand
ANTITHETIC: instead (blend of antithetic with replacive), then, on the contrary, in contrast, by comparison, (on the one hand ...) on the other hand

CONCESSIVE: anyhow (informal), anyway (informal), besides (blend of reinforcing with concessive), else, however, nevertheless, still, though, yet, in any case, at any rate, in spite of that, after all, on the other hand, all the same

TEMPORAL TRANSITION: meantime, meanwhile, in the meantime

## Note

[a] Somehow has been listed with result conjuncts because it is closest to them semartically It differs from all other conjuncts in not indicating a relationship between its clause and what precedes:

Somehow I don't trust him ('for some reason or other')
Somehow is used when the reason is not made explicit in the preceding context.
[b] On the other is an -alternative form of on the other hand when it is correlative to on the one hand.

### 8.51

## Positions of conjuncts

The normal position for most conjuncts is initial. In that position they are usually separated from what follows by a tone unit boundary in speech or a comma in writing. In other positions, they may be in an independent tone unit or enclosed in commas to prevent confusion with homonyms or contribute towards indicating information focus.

Some conjuncts are restricted, or virtually restricted, to initial position: again, also, altogether, besides, better, else, equally, further, hence, likewise, more, only, overall, similarly, so, still, then (antithetic), yet.

Medial positions are rare for most conjuncts, and final position rarer still. Those that readily occur finally include anyhow, anyway, otherwise, and (commonly) though. The last two frequently appear medially.

Virtually all conjuncts can appear with questions, most of them initially:
Anyway, do you know the answer?
Will you therefore resign?

## Conjuncts as correlatives

Sometimes the logical relationship between a subordinate clause and the following superordinate clause is emphasized by adding a conjunct to the latter:

Though he is poor, yet he is satisfied with his situation
The sentences with the subordinator alone and the conjunct yet alone are similar in meaning:

Though he is poor, he is satisfied with his situation
He is poor, yet he is satisfied with his situation
The major difference is that the second states his poverty as a fact, whereas in the first his poverty is presupposed as given information.

The conjuncts that reinforce particular subordinators are shown below It is more usual to reinforce condition and concession subordinators than cause and time subordinators.
condition: if...then
concession: $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { although/(even) though/ } \\ \text { while/granted (that)/ } \\ \text { even if }\end{array}\right\} \ldots\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { yet/still/however/ } \\ \text { nevertheless/nonetheless/ } \\ \text { notwithstanding/anyway/ } \\ \text { anyhow }\end{array}\right.$
cause: because/seeing (that) ... $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { therefore/hence/accordingly/ } \\ \text { consequently }\end{array}\right.$
time: while ... meanwhile/meantime
Correlations with concession and cause are chiefly found in formal use. Note
Certain other expressions with concessive. force may correlate with a concessive conjunct, for example, true, clearly, or certainly.

### 8.53

## Conjunctions for clauses with conjuncts

A clause containing a conjunct may be linked to a preceding clause by one of the coordinators (and, or, but). The following conjuncts seem to be limited to the specified coordinators:
and so or +else/again (replacive)
but + however/then (antithetic)/though
and/but + besides/still/yet/nevertheless
Two of these conjuncts - however and though - cannot follow the conjunction immediately. That is to say, if but is the coordinator, however and though cannot be initial, although either can be if there is no preceding conjunction. We can therefore have:

You can phone the doctor if you like, but I very much doubt, however, whether he will come out on a Saturday night.
You can phone the doctor if you like. However, I very much doubt whether he will come out on a Saturday night.
but not
*You can phone the doctor if you like, but, however, I very much doubt whether he will come out on a Saturday night.

Conjuncts occasionally occur in dependent finite clauses; eg
(a) Adverbial clauses:

I met him in the park, when, however, we had no time to speak
(b) Restrictive relative clauses:

He was considered a man who might anyway break his promise
(c) Non-restrictive relative clauses:

I'm inviting Peter, who is a student, and who therefore cannot afford to spend too much money.

## 9.1

This chapter is devoted primarily to coordination and apposition. But since these often involve ellipsis, it seems convenient to bring together here other cases of ellipsis except those discussed under sentence connection ( $10.29 \mathrm{ff}, 10.43 \mathrm{ff}$ ), comparison ( 11.37 ff ), the adjective as head of noun phrase ( 5.6 ff ), and the genitive (4.75).

Ellipsis is most commonly used to avoid repetition, and in this respect it is like substitution. For example, we can avoid the repetition of sing in

She might sing, but I don't think she will (sing)
not only by the ellipsis of the word but also by the use of a pro-form :
She might sing, but I don't think she will do so
Another important reason for ellipsis is that by omitting shared items attention is focused on new material:

A: Have you spoken to him? B: (I have) Not yet (spoken to him).

## Ellipsis dependent on linguistic context

## 9.2 <br> Adverbial finite clause

In adverbial finite clauses the whole of the predication or part of it can be omitted:

I'm happy if you are (happy)
Mary is dusting the furniture because Alice won't (dust the furniture)
But we cannot ellipt merely the object:
*I'll open an account if you'll open (an account)
or merely the subject complement if the verb is other than be:
*He became a member, since she became (a member).

## 9.3

Adverbial non-finite and verbless clauses
The subject (co-referential with that of the superordinate clause) and an appropriate form of be are ellipted in:
(1) participle clauses

Although (he was) told to stop, he kept on working
If the subordinator is not present, more than one subordinator can be supplied and there may be several possibilities for tense and aspect:
(IflWhen they are) Punished, they will not cooperate Although living many miles away, he attended the course = Although he is living/was living/lives!!ived many miles away, he attended the course
(2) verbless clauses

While (he was) at Oxford, he was actuve in the dramatic society

## 9.4

## Postmodification

Postmodifying clauses or phrases can often be considered reduced relative clauses:
(1) postmodifying participle clauses:

The police rounded up men (who are/were) known to have been in the building at that time
The man owning that car will be fined for illegal parkıng ('who owns that car')
(2) postmodifying adjective phrases:

The men (who were) responsible for the administration of the school refused to consider the matter
(3) postmodifying prepositional phrases:

He spoke to the girl (who was) from New York

## 9.5

Supplementing and appended clauses
A supplementing clause can be regarded as an elliptical clause (usually parenthetic or an afterthought) for which the whole of the preceding or interrupted clause constitutes the ellipsis:

I caught the train - just
( = I caught the train. I only just caught the train.)
In an appended clause only part of the preceding or interrupted clause constitutes the ellipsis, and an additional clause constituent is present:

They are meant to wound, perhaps to kill
(= They are meant to wound. They are perhaps meant to kill.)

## 9.6

## Ellipsis not dependent on linguistic context

Some types of informal ellipsis are not dependent on the linguistic context. For example, Serves you right can be expanded to It serves you right. In most cases it is the initial word or words of a sentence
that are ellipted. Other examples are given, with an indication of what is ellipted:

| (I) Beg your pardon | (You) Had a good time? |
| :--- | :--- |
| (I am) Sorry I couldn't be there | (Are you) Looking for anybody? |
| (It's) Good to see you | (Is) Anything the matter? |
| (I've) Got to go now | (Does) Anybody need a lift? |

Determiners, operators, and pronouns are commonly omitted in block language, eg in headlines, titles, notices. They are also commonly omitted in personal letters, in familiar style, in notes (eg of lectures), diaries, and (very drastically) in telegrams.

[^2]
## Coordination

## 9.7

## Syndetic and asyndetic coordination

The term coordination is used by some grammarians for both syndetic coordination, as in [1] - when coordinators (coordinating conjunctions) are present - and asyndetic coordination, as in [2] - when coordinators are absent but could be supplied:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Slowly and stealthily, he crept towards his victim }  \tag{1}\\
& \text { Slowly, stealthily, he crept towards his victim }
\end{align*}
$$

Coordinated units are termed conjoins.

## 9.8

## Coordination and subordination

Explicit indicators of subordination are termed subordinating conjunctions or subordinators. Both coordination and subordination involve the linking of units; but, with the latter, one of the units is subordinated to the other. Thus, in his first and best novel each of the coordinated adjectives is a premodifier of novel. On the other hand, in his first good novel the adjective first does not modify novel directly; it modifies good novel and good in turn modifies novel. Thus, there is a hierarchy in relationships and first good are not coordinated.

Similar semantic relationships are found in both coordination and subordination:

He tried hard, but he failed
Although he tried hard, he failed

The concessive-result relationship is the same for [1] and [2], though the ordering of the relationship is different. Moreover, the same semantic relationship between the clauses may be indicated by a conjunct (8.2). Thus, the conjunct yet in [3] has a very similar force to but in [1]:

He tried hard, yet he failed
Sentence [3] is an asyndetic coordination which can become syndetic with the addition of but:

He tried hard, but yet he failed

## Clausal coordination

## Coordinators

## 9.9

In what follows we are concerned with clausal coordination. For the use of conjunctions in phrasal coordination, see 9.26. There are three coordinators: and, or, but. And and or are the central coordinators from which but differs in some respects. On the gradient between the 'pure' coordinators and the 'pure' subordinators are for and so that (in the sense 'with the result that').

### 9.10

RESTRICTED TO INITIALPOSITION
The clause coordinators are restricted to initial position in the clause:
John plays the guitar, and his sister plays the piano
This is generally true of conjunctions and also of some conjuncts (notably yet and so), but it is not true of most conjuncts, eg: moreover:

John plays the guitar; his sister, moreover, plays the piano.

## Note

The conjunctions though, as, and that are exceptional in appearing non-initially in certain circumstances:

Though he is poor, he is happy $\sim$ Poor though he is, he is happy
Although, unlike though, is immobile:
*Poor although he is, he is happy

### 9.11

COORDINATED CLAUSES SEQUENTIALLY FIXED
Clauses beginning with a coordinator cannot be moved in front of the preceding clause without producing unacceptable sentences or at least changing the relationships of the clauses:

They are living in England or they are spending a vacation there *Or they are spending a vacation there, they are living in England

This is also true for conjuncts, but not for most subordinators:
*Nevertheless John gave it away; Mary wanted it Although Mary wanted it, John gave it away

## For and so that behave like coordinators. Contrast:

*For he was unhappy, he asked to be transferred
Because he was unhappy, he asked to be transferred

## Note

When clauses are linked by the coordinators and by for and so that, a pronoun in the first clause cannot have cataphoric (ic forward) reference to a noun in the second clause. For example, she cannot refer to Mary in

She was very tired, but Mary stayed the whole evening
On the other hand, the pronoun can (but need not) have cataphoric reference when the clauses are joined by a subordinator:

Although she was very tired, Mary stayed the whole evening

### 9.12

## CONJUNCTIONS PRECEDING

The coordinators and also for and so that do not allow another conjunction to precede them. On the other hand, subordinators as well as conjuncts can be preceded by conjunctions. In [1] two clauses linked by the conjunct yet are also linked by and:

He was unhappy about it, and yet he did what he was told
In [2] two subordinate clauses are linked by and, which precedes the second subordinator because:

He asked to be transferred, because he was unhappy and because he saw no prospect of promotion

### 9.13

## ellipsis of Subject

The coordinators allow ellipsis of the subject of the clause they introduce if the subject is co-referential with that of the preceding linked clause:

I may see you tomorrow or (I) may phone later in the day
However, this does not apply to other conjunctions, including for and so that, or to conjuncts other than yet, so, or then ('after that'):
*He did not want it, for was obstinate
They didn't like it, yet (they) said nothing

## Note

A subordinator does not allow ellipsis even when its clause is linked by a coordinator:
*She didn't tell him the bad news because he was tired and because looked unwell
If the second subordinator in the above sentence is omitted, ellipsis is normal:
She didn't tell him the bad news because he was tired and looked unwell
Conjuncts otherwise not allowing ellipsis will do so if preceded by a coordinator:
*He went to bed early, nevertheless felt tired
He went to bed early, and nevertheless (he) felt tired

As well as linking two main clauses, and and or can link subordinate clauses•
I wonder whether you should speak to him personally about the matter or whether it is better to write to him
Such linking is not possible for conjuncts or for the other conjunctions except bur. But, however, is restricted to linking a maximum of two clauses, and, even so, can link only certain types of subordinate clauses:

He said that John would take them by car but that they might be late

### 9.15

LINKING OF MORETHAN TWO CLAUSES
Unlike but, and unlike the subordinators and the conjuncts, and and or can link more than two clauses, and all but the final instance of these two conjunctions can be omitted. Thus

John might take them by car, Mary might go with them by bus, or I might order a taxi for them
is interpreted as
John might take them by car, or Mary might go with them by bus, or I might order a taxi for them

### 9.16

Either ... or, both ... and, neither ... nor
There are three common correlative pairs: either ... or, where either anticipates the alternative introduced by or; both ... and, where both anticipates the addition introduced by and; and neither ... nor, where neither negates the first clause and anticipates the additional negation introduced by nor. Thus, two clauses with neither in the first and nor in the second are the equivalent of two negative clauses conjoined by and:

David neither loves Joan nor wants to marry her
$=$ David does not love Joan and does not want to marry her
The position of the anticipatory element - either, both, neither - generally indicates the scope of the alternative, addition, or additional negation respectively, while the second element - or, and, nor - generally introduces a truncated clause that has corresponding scope:
$\mathrm{He}\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { either } \\ \text { both } \\ \text { neither }\end{array}\right]$ has long hair $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { or } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { nor }\end{array}\right]$ wears jeans
I can $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { either } \\ \text { both } \\ \text { neither }\end{array}\right]$ knit $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { or } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { nor }\end{array}\right]$ sew

He smoked $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { either } \\ \text { both } \\ \text { neither }\end{array}\right]$ cigars $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { or } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { nor }\end{array}\right]$ cigarettes
You can write $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { either } \\ \text { both } \\ \text { neither }\end{array}\right]$ elegantly $\left[\begin{array}{l}o r \\ \text { and } \\ \text { nor }\end{array}\right]$ clearly
But, unlike both, anticipatory either and neither can be placed before the lexical verb even when the scope does not include the whole of the predication:
$\mathrm{He}\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { either } \\ \text { neither }\end{array}\right]$ smoked cigars $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { or } \\ \text { nor }\end{array}\right]$ cigarettes
You can $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { either } \\ \text { neither }\end{array}\right]$ write elegantly $\left[\begin{array}{l}o r \\ \text { nor }\end{array}\right]$ clearly
When either and neither are in the position before the lexical verb, the correlative clause introduced by or and nor can be a full clause, but in that case nor is followed by subject-operator inversion:

You can $\left[\begin{array}{c}\text { either } \\ \text { neither }\end{array}\right]$ write elegantly, $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { or you can } \\ \text { nor can you }\end{array}\right]$ write clearly
Either differs from the other two anticipatory elements in that it can be positioned initially when the scope extends over the whole clause or over part of it. In such cases, the clause introduced by or is a full clause:

Either John sleeps on the couch, or you must book a hotel room for him Either you can write elegantly, or you can write clearly
Either Bob damaged the furniture or Peter did
Where, as in the last example, the predicates are identical, a ncar-equivalent but less common construction has phrasal coordination in the subject:

Either Bob or Peter damaged the furniture
With both and neither, on the other hand, it is usual to have phrasal coordination in the subject:
$\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { Both } \\ \text { Neither }\end{array}\right]$ Bob $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { and } \\ \text { nor }\end{array}\right]$ Peter damaged the furniture

### 9.17

## Other correlatives

Nor and (less commonly) neither correlate with an actual or implied negative in the previous clause. In this use, they are roughly interchangeable, and can be linked to preceding sentences by the conjunctions and or but, a possibility which excludes them from the class of coordinators. Both conjuncts require subject-operator inversion:

He did not want to ask them for help; (but) nor could he do without their help
We owe no money, (and) neither do they

A clause introduced by either of these conjuncts can be separated from the previous clause by a heavier mark of punctuation than the comma.

Common anticipatory correlatives with but are not (and enclitic -n't) and not only:

He didn't come to help, but to hinder us
He not only missed the train, but he (also) lost his suit-case
A more dramatic effect is achieved by positioning not only initially, with consequent subject-operator inversion:

Not only did he miss the train, but he also lost his suit-case

## Note

In a formal and mannered style, nor is occasionally found after an affirmative clause:
It was hoped that all would be agreeable to that proposal. Nor was this hope disappointed.

## Ellipsis in coordinated clauses

### 9.18

ELLIPSIS OF SUBJECT (AND AUXILIARIES)
Identical subjects of coordinated clauses are ellipted:
Peter ate a cheese sandwich and (Peter) drank a glass of beer
If the subjects and the auxiliaries are identical, ellipsis of both is normal:
Mary has washed the dishes, (Mary has) dried them, and (Mary has) put them in the cupboard
As is usual for ellipsis in coordination, the realized items are in the first clause and the ellipsis is in subsequent clauses.

## Note

In subordinate clauses, ellipsis of subject alone or of subject with auxiliaries is generally not allowed:

> Jack was looking well although he had slept little
> *Jack was looking well although (had) slept little

### 9.19

ELLIPSIS OF AUXILIARY ONLY
If the subjects of coordinated clauses are different, there may be ellipsis of an identical auxiliary:

John should clean the shed and Peter (should) mow the lawn
If there is more than one auxiliary, it is normal for all to be ellipted:
John must have been playing football and Mary (must have been) doing her homework

Ellipsis of first part of predicate or predication
The first part of the predicate or of the predication may be ellipted, and the subject may be ellipted as well.
(1) Verb phrase only or (less commonly) lexical verb only:

Yesterday John was given a railway set, and Sue (was given) a doll I work in a factory, and my brother (works) on a farm She will work today, and (she) may (work) tomorrow

If the clause contains an object with an object complement, the subject must be ellipted as well:

His suggestions made John happy, but (his suggestions made) Mary angry
*His suggestions made John happy, but his suggestions Mary angry
(2) Verb phrase plus subject complement:

John was the winner in 1971, and Bob (was the winner) in 1972 It's cold in December in England, but (it's cold) in July in New Zealand
(3) Verb phrase/lexical verb plus direct object:

Peter is playing football for his school and Paul (is playing football) for his club
Joan will cook the meals today and Barbara may (cook the meals) tomorrow
John will meet my family tonight and (John) will (meet my family) again tomorrow

### 9.21

Auxiliaries in predication ellipsis
The ellipted form of the auxiliary or lexical verb sometimes varies from that of the realized form when one is 3rd person singular present and the other is not:

I work in a factory and my brother (works) on a farm
In general, most co-occurrences of auxiliaries are allowed, for example:
His friends already belong to the club and he will (belong to the club) soon [present and modal]
John may be questioning our motives, but Peter hasn't (questioned our motives) [progressive and perfect]
I saw your parents last week, but (I) haven't (seen your parents) since [past and perfect]
One major exception is that an ellipted passive does not co-occur with any of the other forms:

Paul denied the charge, but the charge wasn't denied by his friends
*Paul denied the charge, but the charge wasn't by his friends

If the predication is ellipted completely, it is usual to have the predication realized in the first clause and ellipted in subsequent clauses:

George will take the course and Bob might (take the course) too
They can pay the full fee, and (they) certainly should (pay the full fee), but (they) probably won't (pay the full fee)

However, it is also possible to have the predication ellipted in the first clause, in which case it is realized in some subsequent clause:

George will (take the course), and Bob might, take the course
When the predication is ellipted in the first clause and the subject is ellipted in a subsequent clause, we have COMPLEX ELLIPSIS (ie ellipsis with both previous and subsequent realizations):

John could have been (watching television), but (John) wasn't, watching television
They no doubt can (pay the full fee), and (they) certainly should (pay the full fee), but (they) probably won't, pay the full fee'
They can (pay the full fee) and (they) should pay the full fee, but (they) won't (pay the full fee)

## Note

The co-occurrence of auxiliaries with predication ellipsis is the same as when only the first part of the predication is ellipted (9.21), provided that the realized predication is in the first clause. However, if the realized predication is in the last clause, then only auxiliaries that take the same head of the verb phrase will normally co-occur. Occasionally one or more of the auxiliaries is also ellipted:

They could (have saved more), and (they) should, have saved more

### 9.23

Ellipsis of direct object or subject complement
If the direct object alone is ellipted, the realized items must be in the last clause:

John likes (Mary), and Peter hates, Mary
George opened (the door) and (George) closed the door
Similarly, if the subject complement alone is ellipted, and the verb in the last clause is other than be, the realized items must be in the last clause:

George was (angry), and Bob certainly seemed, angry
George has been (the chairman), and (George) obviously could again become, the chairman
But it would be more common to have the pro-form so in the second clause than to have any ellipsis:

George was angry, and Bob certainly seemed so

When the verb in the last clause is be, the realized items can be either in the first clause or in the last clause:

Bob seemed angry, and George certainly was (angry)
John has recently become (a very hardworking student), and his brother always was, a very hardworking student

### 9.24

Ellipsis of adverbial
It is often more satisfactory to say that the scope of the adverbial is extended to subsequent clauses than to say that it is ellipted. This is particularly so when the adverbial is positioned initially. For example, unfortunately in

Unfortunately, John is not at home and Sally is too busy to see you appears to apply to a combination of the circumstances described in the two clauses rather than separately to each circumstance.

Conjuncts, disjuncts, and adjuncts of viewpoint, time, and place commonly have extended scope:

If John is a member, then we should call on him and (we should) ask him to take us along [conjunct]
To my surprise, they didn't appoint him, and they didn't even interview him [disjunct]
Theoretically, I have no objections to his proposal and neither have any of my colleagues [viewpoint adjunct]
This afternoon Mary intends to take the children to the beach, but I am going to wash my car [time adjunct]
In our school, students and teachers get on well together, but this harmony is comparatively recent [place adjunct]

Initial position of these adverbials is usually interpreted as implying an extension of scope to subsequent coordinated (or for that matter, subordinated) clauses.
9.25

## Ellipsis of head of nown phrase and of prepositional complement

The head of a noun phrase can be ellipted:
We wanted fried fish, but they gave us boiled (fish)
She wore the red dress, but the blue (dress) suits her better
This type of ellipsis is not limited to coordination:
He prefers Dutch cheese to Danish (cheese)
The complement of a prepositional phrase can be ellipted, with the realized complement in the second clause:

Bob is bored with (music), but Peter enjoys, music

## Phrasal coordination

### 9.26

And and or are the main coordinators for phrasal coordination. But is used only to link adjective phrases and adverb phrases:

A very long but unusually interesting journey
He wrote to them politely but firmly
Although we have suggested that there is ellipsis of the rest of the clause when the verb phrases or parts of them are directly linked, we do not posit ellipsis of the rest of the clause when other phrases are directly conjoined by and and or. For example,

Peter and John played football
is not regarded as elliptical for
Peter played football and John played football
though, of course, the two sentences can be synonymous. Instead we regard Peter and John as a coordinated plural phrase functioning as subject of the sentence, analogous to the boys or the pro-form they. This type of coordination is phrasal coordination.

## Note

Most subordinators cannot be used to link phrases, but two - if and though - are used quite freely to link adjective phrases and adverb phrases, as is the conjunct yet:

A very pleasant if talkative child
A shabby though comfortable armchair
A simple yet beautiful song
He looked at me kindly if somewhat sceptically
He spoke firmly though pleasantly
He drove quickly yet safely

## Noun phrases

9.27

Within the noun phrase there may be ellipsis of the head. For example, in
Old and young men were invited
the subject is elliptical for old men and young men.
In contrast, there is no ellipsis, for the normal interpretation, in
Honest and clever students always succeed
where the same students are both honest and clever. Honest and clever are therefore conjoined adjectives. Similarly, there is no ellipsis of the noun head with appositional coordination, as in

I like teaching a studious or hard-working undergraduate
If merely two adjectives are conjoined, the coordinator and can be omitted with non-elliptical premodifying adjectives only. Contrast

[^3]The head of the noun phrase is very occasionally ellipted in the second conjoin when an adjective is present:

The strong nations and the weak (nations)

### 9.28

As pro-forms, demonstratives can be linked to each other or to other determiners in the noun phrase, but the singular forms of the demonstratives are normally not linked to their corresponding pluarals:
this (book) and that book these (chairs) and those chairs
that (reason) or some other reason these (students) or other students

A noun phrase can be linked to a pro-form in the second conjoin:
his friends and mine
her idea and John's
that method and the other
your proposals and others

## Note

Possessive pronouns are not normally linked, except his followed by her: his or her friends but *our and their work

### 9.29

An article realized in the first conjoin of a noun phrase is often ellipted in the second conjoin.
a boy or (a) girl the boys and (the) girls
When premodifiers are present, there can also be ellipsis of the head of the noun phrase in the first conjoin:
the old (men) and (the) young men
Other determiners can also be ellipted in the second conjoin: my brother or (my) sister other boys and (other) girls

### 9.30

It is normal for a premodifier realized in the first conjoin of a noun phrase to be ellipted in subsequent conjoins:
young boys and (young) girls
If we ellipt the premodifier, we must ellipt an accompanying determiner: the young men and (the young) women

## Hence,

the young men and the women
does not have ellipsis of the premodifier young in the second conjoin.
Similarly, a postmodifier is commonly ellipted, but it must be realized in the last conjoin and ellipted in previous conjoins:
boys (studying at this school) and girls studying at this school
cows (kept on our farm), bulls (kept on our farm), and pigs kept on our farm

If a determiner is present in the first conjoin, it can be either ellipted
or retained in subsequent conjoins without affecting the ellipsis of the postmodifier:
the boys (studying at this school) and (the) girls studying at this school Ellipsis can occur even if the determiners in the conjoins differ:
many boys (studying at this school) and some girls studying at this school We can prevent the postmodifier from applying to the first conjoin by reversing the order of the conjoins:
some girls studying at this school and many boys
It is also possible to combine ellipsis of premodifier and postmodifier. For example, in place of
the older boys studying at this school and the older girls studying at this school
we can have
the older boys and the older girls studying at this school
with ellipsis of the postmodifier in the first conjoin; or
the older boys and older girls studying at this school
with the additional ellipsis of the determiner in the second conjoin; or
the older boys and girls studying at this school
with ellipsis of the premodifier as well. As we have seen before, separate determiners in the two conjoins do not allow the interpretation that there is ellipsis of any premodifier, and therefore
the older boys and the girls studying at this school does not have ellipsis of the premodifier older in the second conjoin.

### 9.31

## Units other than noun phrases

(1) Prepositional phrases:

The attacks in June and in July failed
He climbed $u p$ the wall and over the wall
If the two or more prepositions are identical, then those subsequent to the first can be ellipted:

John complained to Mary and Peter
(2) Other adverbials and dependent clauses:

You can wash it manually or by using a machine They can call this week or whenever they wish
(3) Adjectives:

Adjectives can be conjoined when they are predicative:
She is young and beautiful
or attributive:
His clear and forceful delivery impressed the audience

## Order in Phrasal Coordination

The relatively fixed order for subclasses of adjectives in premodification does not apply to coordinated strings, whether or not a coordinator is present. But the order of conjoined words can be influenced by a tendency for the shorter word to come first, eg: big and uglv, cup and saucer. There are also stereotyped coordinations where the conjoins are in virtually irreversible order, eg: odds and ends; bread and butter; law and order; by hook or by crook; through thick and thin; knife, fork, and spoon.

## Combinatory and segregatory coordination

### 9.33

When conjoined phrases function in the clause, they may involve combinatory or segregatory coordination. The distinction applies to various types of conjoined phrases, but is perhaps clearest with noun phrases. When the coordination is segregatory, we can paraphrase the original sentence with two or more coordinated clauses. For example,

John and Mary have a cold
is equivalent to
John has a cold and Mary has a cold
But no analogous paraphrase is available for the combinatory coordination in
John and Mary maké a pleasant couple

### 9.34

Certain markers explicitly indicate that the coordination is segregatory: both, each, neither ... nor, respective (formal), respectively (formal).

While John and Mary have won a prize is ambiguous, we are left in no doubt that two prizes were won in

John and Mary each have won a prize
John and Mary have each won a prize
John and Mary have won a prize each
Both John and Mary have won a prize
John and Mary both have won a prize
John and Mary have both won a prize
Similarly, while John and Mary didn't win a prize is ambiguous, the sentence Neither John nor Mary won a prize makes it clear that two prizes are involved.

Respective is used as a premodifier in a plural noun phrase to indicate separate processes. For example, John and Bob visited their respective uncles can only mean that John visited his uncle or uncles and that Bob visited his uncle or uncles. Separate processes are similarly indicated if the subject is a
plural noun phrase: The boys visited their respective uncles. The related noun phrases can even be in different clauses or in different sentences:

Bob and I have had some serious trouble at school lately. Our respective parents are going to see the principal about the complaints.

Respectively is used to indicate which constituents go with which in the separate processes, the order of one linked set corresponding to the order of the other linked set. For example:

John, Peter, and Robert play football, basketball, and baseball respectively
$=$ John plays football, Peter plays basketball, and Robert plays baseball
John and Peter are going to Paris and to Amsterdam respectively
$=$ John is going to Paris and Peter is going to Amsterdam

### 9.35

## Structures relating to coordination

There are several QUASI-COORDINATORS, most of which are related to comparative forms:
as well as, as much as, rather than, more than
They sometimes resemble coordinators:
He publishes as well as prints his own books
He was pitied rather than disliked
But they can also have a prepositional or subordinating role, as in
As well as printing the books, he publishes them
Rather than cause trouble, I'm going to forget the whole affair
In subject position they do not normally bring about plural concord unless the first noun phrase is plural:

John, as much as his brothers, was responsible for the loss
In this respect, they resemble prepositions such as with or in addition to:
John, with his brothers, was responsible for the loss
Non-restrictive relative clauses have been considered as semantically equivalent to coordinate clauses. Such an assignment seems reasonable when the relative clause has the superordinate clause as its antecedent. Thus,

John didn't go to the show, which is a pity
is semantically equivalent to
John didn't go to the show, and that is a pity

## Apposition

9.36

Apposition resembles coordination in linking units having grammatical affinity. But, in addition, for units to be appositives, they must normally be
identical in reference or else the reference of one must be included in the reference of the other. For example, in

A neighbour, Fred Brick, is on the telephone
a neighbour is identified as Fred Brick. The relationship underlying apposition is therefore an intensive relationship:

Fred Brick is a neighbour
In many cases the co-reference and grammatical similarity will permit the omission of either appositive unit with a resultant acceptable and synonymous sentence:

A neighbour is on the telephone
Fred Brick is on the telephone
This is true even where, as commonly, the appositives are discontinuous:
An unusual present awaited him, a book on ethics
$\sim$ An unusual present awaited him
$\sim A$ book on ethics awaited him
In some of the attribution examples, where an additional clause element is present in one of the units, it is not possible to meet the condition:

Norman Jones, at that time a student, wrote several novels
Nor is it possible in other instances where the apposition is only partial:
The reason he gave, that he didn't notice the other car, was unconvincing
where The reason he gave was unconvincing is not synonymous with That he didn't notice the other car was unconvincing. The two appositives need not have the same grammatical form to meet this condition. Thus, in the following sentence one of the appositives is a noun phrase, the other a non-finite clause:

Playing football on Sunday, his favourite exercise, kept him fit

### 9.37

## Non-restrictive and restrictive apposition

Apposition may be NON-RESTRICTIVE or RESTRICTIVE. The appositives in non-restrictive apposition are in different information units, and the two appositives have different information value, one of them being subordinate in the distribution of information. Non-restrictive apposition is indicated in speech by separate tone units for the appositives and in writing by commas or more weighty punctuation, with one of the appositives marked as parenthetic. For example, the apposition is non-restrictive in

Mr Campbell, the lawyer, was here last night
while it is restrictive in
Mr Campbell the lawyer was here last night (ie Mr Campbell the lawyer as opposed to any other Mr Campbell we know)

## More than two units

Occasionally there may be more than two units in apposition, as in
They returned to their birthplace, their place of residence, the country of which they were citizens
9.39

## Indicators of apposition

A number of expressions explicitly indicate apposition. They can be inserted between appositives, for example namely in

The passenger plane of the 1980s, namely the supersonic jet, will transform relations between peoples of the world

The indicators express certain semantic relationships between the appositives and therefore cannot be used for all cases of apposition. Common indicators are listed below, those marking the same or similar relationship being grouped together.
that is to say, that is, ie (formal and written); namelly, viz (formal and written); to wit (formal, especially legal); in other words; or, or rather, or better; and; as follows; for example, for instance, eg (formal and written), say, including, included, such as; especially, particularly, in particular, notably, chiefly, mainly, mostly; of
Some of these indicators either precede or (less commonly) follow the second appositive:

The Vice-Chancellor of the University, in other words Professor Smith, spoke to the students
The Vice-Chancellor of the University, Professor Smith in other words, spoke to the students

But others can only precede the second appositive: namely, and, or (rather/better), as follows, including, such as, of, and the abbreviated forms, ie, viz, and eg:

Many professions, such as the legal profession, have established their own codes of professional conduct

Included can only follow the second appositive:
Many people, my sister included, won't forgive him for that

## Non-restrictive apposition

### 9.40

Apposition is typically exemplified by noun phrases in non-restrictive apposition. The semantic relationships between the appositives are displayed below, with one or two representative indicators of relation.
\(\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}\text { EQUIVALENCE } \\
\text { (ie, in other words) }\end{array}
$$\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}\text { appellation (namely; who/which }+\mathrm{BE}) \\
\text { designation (who/which }+\mathrm{BE} \text { ) } \\
\text { identification (namely) } \\
\text { reformulation (or) }\end{array}
$$\right\} \begin{array}{l}ATTRIBUTION <br>

(who/which+\mathrm{BE})\end{array}\right\}\)| INCLUSION $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { exemplification (for example) } \\ \text { particularization (especially) }\end{array}\right.$ |
| :--- |

### 9.41

## Appellation

With appellation, there is unique reference between the two appositives. Both appositive noun phrases are commonly definite and the second is typically a proper noun, but need not be:

The company commander, (that is to say) Captain Madison, assembled his men and announced their mission

The second appositive can be replaced by a corresponding relative clause:
The company commander, who was Captain Madison, assembled his men and announced their mission
It is more specific than the first, and hence the use of namely, an indicator that introduces a more specific appositive:

The passenger plane of the 1980s, (namely) the supersonic jet, will transform relations between peoples of the world

The second appositive is often a finite clause:
He told them the good news: (namely) taxes are to be reduced

### 9.42

## Designation

With designation, there is also unique reference, but the second appositive is less specific than the first. Both appositives are commonly definite noun phrases:

Captain Madison, (that is to say) the company commander, assembled his men and announced their mission

Replacement of the second appositive by a corresponding relative clause is again possible.

### 9.43

## Identification

With identification, there is no unique equivalence. The second appositive is more specific, identifying what is given in the first, which is typically. an indefinite noun phrase:

A company commander, (namely) Captain Madison, assembled his men and announced their mission
Unlike the two previous types of equivalence apposition, replacement of the second appositive by a corresponding relative clause is not possible. A similar relationship obtains if the first appositive is, or contains, a pronoun referring to the second appositive:

We - (that is to say) John and I-intend to resign

## Note

[a] There are obvious affinities between the second example of identification apposition and substitution constructions (restricted to informal spoken English) containing a pronoun and an appended noun phrase to which the pronoun refers (14.36):

> He's a complete idiot, that brother of yours
> It went on far too long, your game

On a somewhat similar construction in familiar or dialectal use -He's a complete idiot, John is - see 14.36.
There are also similarities between non-restrictive apposition and extraposed constructions with anticipatory it:

It was good to see you again
[b] We sometimes have the converse of the substitution referred to in Note $a$ : anticipatory substitution. In this type of construction (also restricted to informal spoken English), a noun phrase is positioned initially, marked off by intonation or punctuation from what follows, and a pronoun substitutes for it in the relevant position within the sentence:

Your friend John, I saw him here last night
That play, it was terrible
[c] There are appositives other than noun phrases that in general resemble identification apposition, eg

They summoned help - called the police
They bought it cheaply, for three dollars

### 9.44 <br> Reformulation

Reformulation is a rewording in the second appositive of the content of the first.

If the reformulation is based on linguistic knowledge, the second appositive is a synonymous expression:
a terminological inexactitude, in other words a lie
sound units of the language, technically phonemes
An example with appositive adjectives:
He drew a triacontahedral, or thirty-sided, figure
A synonymous word or phrase may replace the first formulation in order to avoid misinterpretation or provide a more familiar or a more technical term.

In addition to the markers it shares with other types of reformulation, this type admits a large range of expressions that specifically mark linguistic reformulation, eg:
(more) simply, to put it simply, in more technical terms, technically (speaking)

Apposition involving linguistic reformulation includes translations from foreign languages:
savoir (know in English)
If the reformulation is based on knowledge about the external world, the second appositive is a co-referential expression:

Fred - or Ginger as he is usually called
The United States of America, or America for short
The reformulation may be a correction of what was said. The correction may be due to an attempt at greater accuracy and precision in formulation:

His party controls London, Greater London that is to say
Examples with appositives other than noun phrases:
She is happier, very much happier, than he is
Thirdly and lastly, they would not accept his promise

### 9.45

## Attribution

Attribution involves predication rather than equivalence. We can replace the second appositive by a corresponding relative clause. The second appositive is commonly an indefinite noun phrase:

The house, an imposing building, dominated the street
But it can be definite:
Many soldiers, the cream of the battalion, died in the attack
Certain kinds of construction are found only in attributive apposition:
(1) An article is absent from the second appositive:

Robinson, leader of the Democratic group on the committee, refused to answer questions
This type is common in newspapers and magazines.
(2) An adverbial that is a clause constituent is added to the second appositive:

Your brother, obviously an expert on English grammar, is highly praised in the book I am reading
(3) The second appositive has an internal structure of subject and either complement or adjunct. The participle being can be inserted between the two constituents of the appositive:
Jones and Peters, both (being) of unknown address, were charged with the murder of Williamson
At the entrance there are two pillars, one (being) on each side

## Note

An attribution appositive is to be distinguished from a verbless adverbial clause of which the following are examples:

An even-tempered man, Paul nevertheless became extremely angry when he heard the news ('Though he was an even-tempered man')
The heir to a fortune, his friend did not need to pass examinations ('Since he was the heir to a fortune')
These constructions differ from identification appositives in that when they occur initially the subject of the sentence is not marked off from the predicate by intonation or punetuation separation.

### 9.46

## Inclusion

Inclusion applies to cases of apposition where the reference of the first appositive is not identical with that of the second, but instead includes it. There are two types of inclusion: exemplification and particularization.

In exemplification, the second appositive exemplifies the more general term in the first appositive:

His excuses, say the breakdown of his car, never seemed plausible The explicit indicators of exemplification apposition are those in the group headed by for example in 9.39 . Sometimes there may be ambiguity between exemplification and identification (9.43) if no indicator is present:

Famous men (De. Gaulle, Churchill, Roosevelt) have visited this university
The two types of relationship are distinguished by the explicit indicators. Unlike exemplification, particularization requires an explicit indicator:

The children liked the animals, PARTICULARLY the monkeys
The explicit indicators of particularization apposition are those in the group headed by especially in 9.39 .

We should perhaps include here instances where a numeral or quantifier in the second appositive indicates the particularization:

The two men, ONE a Dane, were awarded medals
The soldiers, SOME drunk, started fighting each other

## Restrictive apposition

### 9.47

Strict restrictive apposition of noun phrases can take three forms of which the first is the most common:
(1) The first appositive is the more general expression and is preceded by a definite determiner (and possibly premodifier):
that famous critic Paul Jones the number three
the novel Great Expectations
my good friend Bob
(2) The second appositive is preceded by a determiner, always the, and is more general than the first, as in Paul Jones the critic.
(3) Type 3 is like (1) but with omission of the determiner (esp AmE): Critic Paul Jones Democratic leader Robinson

### 9.48

An important use of the first form of restrictive apposition is found with citations and names of books, films, etc:
the term 'heavy water' the novel Crime and Punishment
the word 'if'
The first appositive is often absent:
'If' is a conjunction
'John and Mary' is a coordinated noun phrase
I'm reading Crime and Punishment
In such cases, we may assume an ellipsis of some general phrase such as 'the expression' or 'the citation form', or of an appropriate term in the case of titles, such as 'the book'. The singular number concord with 'John and Mary' can only be explained if we assume the ellipsis of a singular first appositive.

### 9.49

Restrictive apposition is common with such general noun phrases as the fact, the idea, the view:

The fact that he wouldn't betray his friends is very much to his credit
I don't agree with the view that there is no advantage in being patient
The question whether to confess or not troubled him
Your duty to report the accident takes precedence over everything else
With participle clauses, and sometimes with $w h$-clauses, of is used as an indicator:

He didn't accept the idea' of working while he was studying
His account of what he had done that year did not satisfy his colleague

## TEN

## SENTENCE CONNECTION

## Factors in sentence connection

## 10.1

There are many factors that interact in pointing to links between sentences. We illustrate this by examining a single paragraph. For ease of reference, the sentences are numbered.
[i] We sometimes thoughtlessly criticize a government announcement which refers to 'male persons over the age of eighteen years'. [ii] What ridiculous jargon, we think; why couldn't this pompous official have used the word 'man'! [ii] But the official may be forced into a jargon by the lack of precision of ordinary words. [iv] 'Man' may seem to be exactly the same as 'male person over the age of eighteen years', but would the latter be our automatic interpretation if the word 'man' had been used? [v] We often use it of even younger males of sixteen or seventeen, and it can be applied to a school-boy of ten ('the team is a man short'). [vi] It may simply mean 'brave person', as when we tell a little boy of four to 'stop crying and be a man'. [vii] Or it may mean 'human being', without regard to sex, as in a phrase like 'not fit for man or beast'. [viii] It may even mean a wooden disc - as in the game of draughts.

We shall refer to three factors that enter into sentence connection in the above paragraph: implication in the semantic content, lexical equivalence, and syntactic devices.

In speech, there are also prosodic features of connection, which are ignored in the present treatment.

## 10.2

A reader searches for semantic relationships implied between sentences that are next to each other. For example, he finds that sentences [vi], [vii], and [viii] present a series of alternatives linked to the joint content of [v], but only in [vii] do we find the coordinator or marking the alternatives.

## 10.3

We can expect successive sentences to show some relationship through their vocabulary, some equivalence in the lexical items. The simplest form for such lexical equivalence is through the repetition of words or phrases. For example man, which first appears in [ii], recurs twice in [iv], and once in [v], [vi], and [vii].

Lexical equivalents are often synonyms or near-synonyms. Of course, the whole point of the paragraph is the degree of closeness in meaning between 'male persons over the age of eighteen years' - [i] and [iv] - and 'man'.

However, the lexical equivalents need not be synonyms. A more general term may be used as the equivalent of a more specific term (human being [vii] ~ man or woman). Or the relationship may be established in the context (a government announcement in [i] ~ this pompous official in [ii]). Or (to go outside the present illustration) it may depend on factual knowledge or pre-suppositions that the speaker assumes that his audience shares with him (Paris $\sim$ the capital of France; the youth $\sim$ the nation's most precious asset).

Furthermore, lexical connection between sentences may involve antonyms. For example, the connection between the following two sentences is largely dependent on the antithesis between men and women:

Discrimination is undoubtedly practised against women in the field of scientific research. We don't find men complaining that they are not being interviewed for positions that they are clearly qualified to fill.

Finally, lexical items belonging to a particular set of items tend to co-occur. For example, birth and baby:

We heard that the birth was easy. The baby is smaller than expected, but is in good health.

## 10.4

Our illustrative paragraph also contains syntactic devices for sentence connection. As we have said, man appears in five of the sentences. But 'man' as a word is also referred to by the pronoun it - twice in [v] and once in each of [vi], [vii], and [viii]. Thus man and its equivalents, lexical or syntactic, form a motif running through the paragraph.

In what follows we shall be primarily concerned with syntactic devices that help to connect sentences.

## Time relaters

## 10.5

Time-relationships between sentences can be signalled by temporal adjectives or adverbials or by tense, aspect and modality in verbs. Once a timereference has been established, certain adjectives and adverbials may order subsequent information in relation to it. There are three major divisions of time-relationship:
(1) previous to given time-reference:

ADJECTIVES: earlier, former, preceding, previous
eg He handed in a good essay. His previous essays were all poor. ('previous to that good essay')
ADVERBIALS: already, as yet, before, earlier, first, formerly, previously, so far, yet; phrases with pro-forms: before that, before then, until now
eg I shall explain to you what happened. But first I must give you a cup of tea. ('before explaining what happened')
(2) simultaneous with given time-reference:

ADJECTIVES: contemporary, simultaneous
eg The death of the president was reported this afternoon on Cairo radio. A simultaneous announcement was broadcast from Baghdad. ('simultaneous with the report of the death of the president on Cairo radio')

ADVERBIALS: at present, at this point, meantime, meanwhile, in the meantime, now, presently (esp AmE), simultaneously, then, relative when
eg Bill looked at her questioningly. At this point there was a knock on the door. ('at the same time as Bill looked at her')
(3) subsequent to given time-reference:

ADJECTIVES: following, later, next
eg I saw him on Friday and he seemed to be in perfect health. The following day he died. ('following the Friday just mentioned')
ADVERBIALS: afterwards, again ('after that'), immediately, later, next, since, then, after that
eg The manager went to a board meeting this morning. He was then due to catch a train to London. ('after the board meeting')
Words with temporal significance do not always have a connective function. Thus, somebody may say

John's previous wife died last year
without any prior mention of John's subsequent or present wife.

## 10.6

The ordinals constitute a temporal series of adjectives: first, second, third ..., with next as a substitute for any of the middle terms when moving up the series, and final or last as a substitute for the term for the end of the series. There is a corresponding series of adjuncts with first (also at first and, less commonly, firstly) as the beginning of the set; next, then, later, afterwards, as interchangeable middle terms; and finally, lastly, or eventually as markers of the end of the set.

## 10.7

Tense, aspect, and modality are discussed in 3.18 ff . Here we merely illustrate two features of the more obvious time-relationships signalled by these features of the verb phrase:

He telephoned the police. There had been an explosion.
Alice turned on the radio. John was taking a shower.

The past perfect of the verb in one sentence and the simple past in the other fix the temporal sequence of the information conveyed in the two sentences of [1]. The past perfect form allows the two sentences to appear in reverse sequence without any obscurity. In [2] the verb forms indicate that the action described in the first sentence took place during that described in the second sentence.

## 10.8

## Place relaters

Words denoting place-relationship can play a part in sentence connection:
He examined the car. The front was slightly damaged. ('front of the car')

A few place adverbs, here, there, and relative where, are pro-forms, eg:
All my friends have been to Paris at least once. I am going there next summer for the first time. ('to Paris')

## Logical connecters

## 10.9

And
The possible relationships between sentences linked by and are in general the same as those between clauses linked by and. And can link its sentence with a unit comprising several sentences, as in the following example, where that does not refer merely to the preceding sentence:

It was a convention where the expected things were said, the predictable things were done. It was a corvention where the middle class and the middle aged sat. It was a convention where there were few blacks and fewer beards. 'And that remains the Republican problem.

### 10.10

## Enumeration

Enumerative conjuncts (8.50) indicate a histing of what is being said. Other listing conjuncts are also used in the set, as furthermore in

He attacked the senator viciously, but he was never called before the committee. First, he was not an important enough figure. Furthermore, his criticism of the senator was public knowledge.

The addition of far more importantly in the following indicates that the statements are listed in ascending order of importance:

Tom Brown is well known in this city. He has been a member of the city council for many years Secondly, and far more importantly, he is a football player of national reputation.

There are several climactic additive conjuncts that mark the end of an ascending order: above all, on top of it all, last but not least.

We can indicate a descending order at the beginning of the series by such expressions as first and foremost, and first and most important(ly). Most important(ly) and most important(ly) of all can occur either at the beginning or at the end of a series; they mark by their position whether the series is in ascending or descending order of importance.

It is obvious that first $(l y)$, second $(l y)$, third(ly), etc, mark particular positions in a series. To begin with, to start with, and (informally) for a start can occur initially in a series, next and then only medially, and last (ly), finally, and (rather formally) to conclude only in final position. Reasons for what has been said can be linked by the correlatives for one thing ... (and) for another (thing), though the first of the pair can be used alone if the intention is to offer only one reason.

The enumeration may be expressed in ways that are more integrated within the structure of the sentence, as in the following formulaic expressions that are typical of formal spoken English:

I want to begin by saying ... I will conclude by saying ...
The introductory expression may be related more closely to the preceding lexical content, as in One reason is ... the other reason is ... We might even have a main clause that serves as a link in the enumeration, eg: There is still another thing or I want to make one final point.

Noun phrases alone can be used for enumeration as well as the fuller forms, eg: another thing, one final point.

## Addition

### 10.11

The addition relationship is often conveyed by the two subclasses of additive conjuncts, reinforcing and equative conjuncts:

This food is very good and it's probably something that people wouldn't get at home. Also, it's not difficult to cook and it's quick to prepare.
There has been no progress in the negotiations between the union and the employers. The union is determined to get more than the employers have proposed. Equally, the employers have absolutely no intention of increasing their final offer.
10.12

Additive adjuncts specify that part of the sentence is an addition to what has been previously mentioned or implied:

The children read the play. They acted it too.
He didn't explain what the letter signified. Neither/Nor did she.
Either, neither, and nor differ from the others in requiring the two sentences they link to be negative but no other negative appears in the
sentence containing neither or nor. Too, on the other hand, generally requires both to be positive. Thus, in the following sentences, either, neither, and nor are admissible (as are other additive adjuncts, such as also), but not too:

A: The children didn't read the play.
A: The children didn't read the play.
A: The children didn't read the play.
A: The children didn't read the play.

B: *They didn't act it too.
B: They also didn't act it.
B: They didn't act it either.
B: Neither/Nor did they act it.
10.13

## Transition

Now introduces a new stage in the sequence of thought:
We have settled that at last. Now, what was the other thing we wanted to discuss?
As for (in BrE also as to) introduces a related topic:
Mary has several close friends. As for John, he is always surrounded by friends.

Certain other expressions mark a transition, but they can also begin discussion: with reference to, with respect to, with regard to (all formal).

Incidentally and by the way add explicitly that what is being said is a digression:

The airlines charge half-price for students. Incidentally, I have already bought my ticket to New York.

Certain other expressions are commonly used for marking a transition to a new stage: Let us now turn to ... (formal), Regarding ... (formal), To turn to ...; or to introduce a digression: Talking/Speaking of ..., That reminds me, ...

### 10.14

## Summation

The final part of a unit may be a generalization or summing-up of what preceded. Summative conjuncts and style disjuncts such as in brief can be used to indicate this:

The techniques discussed are valuable. Sensible stress is laid upon preparatory and follow-up work. Each chapter is supported by a well-selected bibliography. In all, this is an interesting and clearly written textbook that should prove extremely useful to geography teachers.

Integrated expressions include I will sum up by saying, I shall conclude by saying.
10.15

Apposition
Indicators of an apposition can be used to refer back to previous sentences:

It is important that young children should see things and not merely read about them. For example, it is a valuable educational experience to take them on a trip to a farm.

Integrated indications of certain types of apposition include Another way of putting it is ..., An example would be ...

### 10.16

## Result

Several result conjuncts indicate that a sentence expresses the consequence or result of what was said before.

They don't often use it over the weekend. So you can borrow it if you want to.
They refused to pay the higher rent when an increase was announced. As a result, they were evicted from their house.

Integrated indications include The result (of that) is ..., The consequence (of that) was ...

### 10.17

## Inference

An inference from what is implicit in the preceding sentence or sentences can be indicated by an inferential conjunct:

A: I'm afraid there isn't much I can help you with.
B: In other words, you don't want to be bothered.
A: He says he wants to marry Susan.
B: In that case, he shouldn't be quarrelling with her all the time.
Other markers of inference include If so, If not, That implies ..., You can conclude from that ...

### 10.18

## 'Or': Reformulation and replacement

Or introduces a reformulation (a type of apposition) or replacement. It can be followed by conjuncts that have the same function, or they alone can be used.

Examples of reformulatory conjuncts:
They are enjoying themselves. (Or) Rather, they appear to be enjoying themselves.
You say you took the book without his permission. (Or) In other words, you stole it.

Integrated markers of reformulation include $A$ better way of putting it is ..., It would be better to say ...

Examples of replacive conjuncts:
I might do it. Or again, I might not.
In order to buy the car, I may draw on my savings, though I am reluctant to do so. (Or) On the other hand, I might approach my parents for a loan.

Integrated markers of replacement include The alternative is ..., It might be better if ...

### 10.19

## But

The relationships between sentences linked by but are the same as those between clauses linked by but, though the contrast may be with a preceding unit consistmg of more than one sentence:

More than one marriage had its beginnings in the Princess Theatre; more than one couitsh!p was extended and perpetuated there. And it would be fair to say that a number of lives were shaped, to a degree, by the figures and fashions and personalities that flashed upon the screen. But years have a way of doing strange things to people, times and events and now the old Princess is little more than a misty memory.

### 10.20

## Contrast

A contrast can be indicated by antithetic coafuncts On the contrary emphasizes that the opposite is true:

I didn't ask her to leave. On the contrary, 1 tred to persuade her to stay.
The other conjuncts introduce a comparison or contrast, without entailing a denial of the validity of what preceded

He's rather foolish, I'm afraid. By comparsion she's a genus
A cut of one quarter in the total wages bill would bring only a five per cent saving in the ship's final cost. By contrasi, we price difference between British and Japanese tankers is now as much as 25 per cent.

On the other hand often indicates contrast, especially when it is the second of a correlative pair with on the one hand:

On the one hand, you don't want to be too aggressive. On the other hand, you shouldn't be too timid.

Instead involves a contrast, though it also indicates a replacement. The conjunct is illustrated in

He doesn't study at all. Instead, he sits and day-dreams.
and the adjunct in .
He wanted a fishing-rod for his birthday. His father bought him a book instead. ('instead of a fishing-rod')

## Concession

### 10.21

Concessive conjuncts signal the unexpected, surprising nature of what is being said in view of what was said before:

He has been in office for only a few months. He has, however, achieved more than any of his predecessors.
The term papers were very brief. Still, they were better than I expected. I didn't invite your friend Bill to the party. Besides, he wouldn't have come.

### 10.22

Certain disjuncts that assert the truth of their sentence are often used to express some notion of concession, roughly equivalent to 'this at least is true'. They include the attitudinal disjuncts actually, admittedly, certainly, really, in (actual) fact, of course, and the style disjunct strictly speaking. Sometimes the reservation is about a preceding sentence:

I wasn't called up by the army. Actually, I volunteered.
But the reservation may relate to what follows, and in such a case but or a concessive conjunct is often found in the next sentence:

Of course, the book has some entertaining passages about the private lives of film stars. But on the whole it is extremely boring.

Integrated markers of this relationship include I admit ..., It is true that ...

### 10.23

Several attitudinal disjuncts suggest that the context of the sentence to which they are related may not be true in reality eg: nominally, officially, technically, theoretically. A following sentence, which may then indicate what is said to be the real truth, may be marked for this purpose by actually, really, in (actual) fact, or in reality. For example:

OfFǏcially, he is in charge. ACtually, his secretary does all the work.
Integrated markers of this relationship include The official position was ..., The theory was ...

### 10.24

## For

The conjunction for (formal and usually literary) indicates that what is said is the reason for mentioning what has been said previously:

The vast majority of the competitors will be well content just to walk around at their own pace, stopping for rest or refreshment as required. For it is a long day's walk, and there is much to be said for enjoying the scenery at the same time.

## Substitution

### 10.25

Like ellipsis, substitution is a device for abbreviating and for avoiding repetition. Most of the substitutes or PRO-FORMS within sentences are also used across sentences. They are normally unstressed. Hence, though a nucleus is commonly on the last word of a clause, it would not be usual to have a nucleus on a pro-form. Contrast:

John upset a large beautiful vase. It fell and hurt BÒB.
A large beautiful vase fell on Bob's head. It was very heavy and HÜRT-him.

## Pro-forms for noun phrases and their constituents

 10.26The most obvious pro-forms for noun phrases are the 3rd person pronouns:
Dr Solway took the student's blood pressure that day. He also examined his lungs and heart.

It will be noticed that he substitutes for Dr Solway and his for the student's.
10.27

One can be a pro-form for a noun phrase head or for an indefinite noun phrase. Certain other items can be pro-forms for noun phrases, in particular all, any, both, each, either, neither, some, none. They can be regarded as elliptical, since they can be expanded by of with some appropriate prepositional complement:

The boys applied for a scholarship. Each (of them) was able to present excellent references.
You told me there were three pictures by Van Gogh in the exhibition. But I didn't see any (of his pictures).
My friends intend to make a career in business. None (of my friends) want to go to university.
There is an equivalent expansion which converts the pro-forms into determiners or predeterminers. This affects all, both, each, either, neither, as in all the boys, each boy.

The same is a pro-form for a noun phrase. The phrase it replaces must be identical with the antecedent, but (except in dialect or archaic use) the two phrases are usually not co-referential:

A: Can I have a cup of black coffee with sugar, please?
B: Give me the same, please.
10.28

## Pro-forms for adverbials

Some time relaters (10.5) can be pro-forms for time adjuncts, principally then ( $=$ 'at that time'), but also that when it functions as subject and when the verb is intensive:

We saw John at eight on Monday evening. We told him then that we would be coming to the party.
A: I'm meeting George for a drink this evening.
B: That would be the best time to raise the subject.
Some place relaters (10.8) can be pro-forms for place adjuncts, principally here ( $=$ 'at/to this place'), and there ( $=$ 'at/to that place'), but also that ( $=$ 'that place') and it (= 'that place') when they function as subject and when the verb is intensive:

Look in the top drawer. You'll probably find it there.
They sat right in front of the stage. That/It/There was where the noise was greatest.

The most common pro-forms for process adjuncts are in that way, that way (informal) and like that:

She plays the piano with great concentration and with great energy. I'm afraid she doesn't study like that. ('with great concentration ...')
Always be frank and open to your colleagues. That way you'll win their trust and confidence. ('by always being frank ...')

## Pro-forms for predicate and predication

10.29

AUXILIARIES AS PRO-FORMS
Do is a pro-form for the predicate and carries tense and person distinctions:
A: John drives a car. B: I think BÒB does TÒO (=drives a car).
When functioning as operator for negation, interrogation, or emphasis, do can be considered as allowing ellipsis of the predication:

A: John drives a car. B: Bob doesn't (drive a car).
But it is convenient to treat cases of ellipsis together with the pro-form do.
Other operators and auxiliaries allow ellipsis of the predication, but can also be treated together with the pro-forms:

A: John can drive a car. B: I think BÒB can (drive a car) TÒO.
A: Was the entire building destroyed? B: Yes, it was (destroyed).
A: Have they seen the play? B: No, they haven't (seen the play).
A: I'm hungry. B: Are you (hungry)?
There can be combinations of operator and auxiliaries with such ellipsis:
A: Has the show started? B: It may have (started).
A: Should she have been taking that medicine? B: Yes, she should (have (been (taking the medicine))).

A: I've paid for the tickets. B: You shouldn't have (paid for the tickets). and of an operator with lexical be or (especially for BrE ) lexical have:

A: Mary's in Chicago. B: She can't be (in Chicago).
A: I wonder if you have a pen with you. B: I may (have (a pen with me)).

## Note

[a] The rules of co-occurrence of auxiliaries are the same for both coordinated clauses and coordinated sentences.
[b] There is also ellipsis with imperative do and don't:
A: Can I have a piece of cake? B: Please do (have a piece of cake).
10.30

## Complex pro-forms

The substitute may be a COMPLEX PRO-FORM: a combination of one or more auxiliaries with the pro-forms so, that, or it.

The patterns of combination are exemplified below for declarative sentences. For patterns (i) and (ii), lexical be, passive be, or (especially for BrE , see Note $a$ below) lexical have may combine with the pro-form so.
(i) so do type: so + auxiliary [+ subject]

A: John drives a car. B: So does BÒB.
A: Mary will enter the competition. B: So will JÒAN.
A: Susan is obstinate. B: So is SÀrah.
A: My car was washed this morning. B: So was MÌNE.
(ii) so ... do type: so [+ subject] + auxiliary

A: Look! That man seems lost. B: So he DÒES.
A: I've found the reference. B: So you HÀVE.
(iii) do so type: [subject +] (auxiliary +) do + so

A: Have you sent your donation? B: I did so yesterday.
Peter can join our group. I'm not sure whether DÀvid can do so.
(iv) do that type: [subject +] (auxiliary +) do + that

A: Do you know who broke the television set? B: I heard JÒHN did that.
A: Sam called the meeting. B: No, I think PÈter may have done that.
(v) do it type: [subject +] (auxiliary +) do + it

A: Your brother said he was going to send a letter of protest to the President. B: He did it last week.
A: Gerald has told your father what you said. B: He shouldn't have done it.

In BrE many allow also the possibility of adding do alone to (a) a modal, or (b) perfect have:
(a) A: Will you be attending the meeting this evening?

B: I MAY do.
(b) I didn't touch the television set. But PÈRCY might have done.

## Note

[a] Lexical have admits the two pro-form phrases so have and so ... have in addition to have alone:

$$
\text { A: John has a cold. B: }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Yes, and İ have TÒO. } \\
\text { Yes, and so have ì. } \\
\text { Yes, so he HȦS. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

This use of have is much more common in BrE than in AmE, where it is formal as well as restricted in use (cf 3.12). The pro-forms do, so, do, and so ... do are also used in BrE , but are more common in AmE:

$$
\text { A: John has a cold. B: }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Yes, and ì do TÒO. } \\
\text { Yes, and so do İ. } \\
\text { Yes, so he DÓES. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

[b] So is used as a synonym for true, but in that use it is not a pro-form:
A: Joan has very many friends. B: That isn't so.

### 10.31

PRO-FORMS IN RELATION TO VERB CLASSES
All lexical verbs allow substitution by $d o$ or other auxiliaries and by the complex pro-form types so do and so ... do. But several of the verb classes established in 3.27 do not allow the full range of substitutions. For example, verbs of bodily sensation such as feel admit only the auxiliaries and the types so do, and so ... do:

A: John feels much better.
B: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { I KNÒW he does ( }=\text { I know he feels much better). } \\ \text { Yes, and so do } \overline{\mathrm{I}} . \\ \text { Yes, so he D } \mathrm{O} E S .\end{array}\right.$
But we cannot substitute for them the other three types - do so, do that and do it:

A: John feels much better.
B: $*\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { I KNÒW he does so. } \\ \text { Yes, he DOUES that. } \\ \text { Yes, he D } D E S \text { it. }\end{array}\right.$
Only activity and momentary verbs (3.27) with other parts of the predication, if any - can be replaced by the full range of pro-forms:

A: John abandoned his car during the snowstorm. [activity verb]

> B: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Yes, and so did } \mathbf{I} . \\ \text { Yes, so he DİD. There it is. }\end{array}\right.$

A: Bob kicked the door several times. [momentary verb]

The pro-forms need not cover a time or place adjunct in the antecedent predicate:

A: John paid for the tickets tonight.
B: Yes, he did so LÀST week TÒO. ('paid for the tickets')
The pro-forms exclude a conjunct or disjunct that may be present in the antecedent predicate. In this respect we can contrast the adjunct usually with the disjunct wisely:

A: Bob usually walks to work.
B: Does he? (... usually walk to work)
A: Bob wisely walks to work.
B: Does he? (... walk to work)

### 10.33

OPERATOR IN PRO-FORMS
The do so, do that, and do it pro-forms require an additional do as operator:
A: Do they buy their drinks at the local supermarket?
B: Yes, but WÈ don't do so.
A: John swims a lot. B: Does BÒB do that?
A: Bill didn't damage his father's car. B: Oh, but he DÌD do it.
Contrast other constructions where the pro-form contains or is an operator:
A: Some people can drive. B: Yes, but PÈter can't.
A: Arnold has joined the club. B: But has his WÍFE done so?

### 10.34

EXCLUSION OF PRO-FORMTYPES FROM CERTAINCLAUSES
There are severe restrictions on the occurrence of auxiliaries as pro-forms in non-finite clauses:

A: Peter hunts rabbits. B: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { *Yes, I have noticed him doing. } \\ \text { *Yes, I have watched him do. } \\ \text { *I know. He wanted me to do too. }\end{array}\right.$
Instead, we must use one of the complex pro-forms allowed by the particular verbs:

A: Peter hunts rabbits. B: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Yes, I have noticed him doing so. } \\ \text { Yes, I have watched him do that. } \\ \text { I know. He wanted me to do it, too. }\end{array}\right.$
An alternative to the pro-forms with the to-infinitive clause is ellipsis of the infinitive clause, to alone being retained:

A: Peter hunts rabbits. B: I know. He wanted me to, also.

Neither the so ... do nor the so do type can function in an imperative clause:

A: It's time to wash the dishes.

$$
\text { B: }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
* S o \text { (you) do. } \\
* S o \text { do (you). } \\
(\text { You Do so. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Pro-forms other than those from the so do and so ... do types are commonly used in questions and in negative sentences. Where the negative sentence adds to what has been negated previously, either is commonly appended, or neither/nor placed initially (with obligatory subject-operator inversion) to achieve negation (8.10, 9.17):

A: Bob can't drive a car. B: No, $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { JÒHN can't do that ÈIther. } \\ \text { Neither } \\ \text { Nor }\end{array}\right\}$ can JÒHN do that.

### 10.35

THE PRO-FORMS NOT AND SO
Not can be a negative pro-form for the predicate:
A: Bill would have taken the book.
B: Yes, but not TÒM. (= Tom would not have taken the book)
A: Bob will take it for you.
B: No, not HÌM. (= He will not take it for me)
In very formal speech the subjective case of the pronoun would be used instead of the objective case if the pronoun is the subject in the clause that is being replaced:

A: John is a coward. B: Yes, but not I. (=I am not a coward)
Not can also be a pro-form for the subject and part of the predicate:
A: John wanted to pay for the tickets.
B: True, but not for the dinner. ( $=$ but John did not want to pay for the dinner)

Not in why not and if not is a negative pro-form for the whole clause, while so is the pro-form for the equivalent of the whole clause in the case of if so, and (less commonly) why so:

A: I don't want to go in.
B: Why not? (= Why don't you want to go in?)
So is used as a pro-form for a direct object clause:
Oxford is likely to win the next boat race. All my friends say so. ( $=$ that Oxford is likely to win the next boat race)
Not can often serve as the negative of so in this use:
Many people believe that there is life on other planets. My father thinks so, but I believe not. (My father thinks that there is ..., but I believe that there is not ...)
In this use, not is restricted mainly to verbs of belief or assumption, while so extends also to some verbs of speaking. Verbs that commonly allow both so and not as pro-forms for the direct object clause include:
assume, believe, expect, fancy, guess, hope, imagine, presume, suppose, think, understand.

So is also commonly used as a pro-form for a subject complement with the intensive verbs become, appear, seem; the last two also allow not as a pro-form:

A: I didn't think she was exceptionally shy.
B: She wasn't at one time, but she has become so recently. (= become exceptionally shy recently)
A: Are they ready? B: It appears not. (= It appears that they are not ready)

Where transferred negation is possible, it is preferred in informal use: I don't think so. The pro-form not is occasionally used with the verbs say and tell, but the use of the pro-form so with these verbs is much more frequent. Not all verbs of speaking allow even so. For example, we cannot say *He asked so.

So in this use can take initial position with several verbs, particularly say (and also believe and understand, especially with $I$ or we as subject):

So all my friends say So I understand

## Note

Tell requires the presence of an indirect object before the pro-form:
I told you so *I told so

## Discourse reference

### 10.36

There are a number of signals marking the identity between what is being said and what has been said before. They have been brought together here because they have in common a 'deictic' reference, that is to say, they point back (ANAPHORIC) or forward (CATAPHORIC) in discourse.
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## Sentence/clause reference

Common signals for sentence or clause reference:
anaphoric and cataphoric: here, it, this
anaphoric only: that, the foregoing (formal)
cataphoric only: as follows, the following, thus

## ANAPHORIC EXAMPLES

Many years ago their wives quarrelled over some trivial matter, now long forgotten. But one word led to another and the quarrel developed into a permanent rupture between them. That's why the two men never visit each other's houses.
Students want to be shown connections between facts instead of spending their time memorizing dates and formulas. Reflecting this, the university is moving away from large survey courses and breaking down academic fences in order to show subjects relating to one another.

## CATAPHORIC EXAMPLES

This should interest you, if you're still keen on boxing. The world heavyweight championship is going to be held in Chicago next June, so you should be able to watch it live.
Here is the news. A diplomat was kidnapped last night in London ... (radio announcement)
It never should have happened. She went out and left the baby unattended.
My arguments are as follows ...
Above and below are used in formal written scourse to indicate where units of varying length and illustrations are to be found: the arguments given below (perhaps referring to several sentences), the diagrams below illustrate ... There is no determinable limit to the distance between them and the place they refer to. The above is used with anaphoric reference (but *the below has no corresponding use):

The above illustrates what we mean by ....

## Note

The non-restrictive relative clause with sentential antecedent is sometimes made into a separate orthographic sentence:

She has borrowed a history book. Which suggests that her teacher is having some influence on her.

## Noun-phrase reference

### 10.38

Certain determiners can be used to signal that a noun phrase is referentially equivalent to a previous noun phrase: the, this, these, that, those. The noun phrases may have identical heads, but may be co-referential without the heads being identical:

He bought a battered, old black van in 1970. What a lot of pleasure he got from that vehicle.
Students are free to select optional courses from any field that touches on American studies. These options are very popular.
The co-reference of two noun phrases may be emphasized by use of identical, same, selfsame (formal), very:

He spoke to a meeting of striking workers that evening. Those same workers had previously refused to listen to his speeches.
These determiners and adjectives can be used to indicate identity of type rather than co-reference:

He bought a Jaguar XJ6. I ordered that same car the previous year. Such is used specifically to indicate indentity of type:

They regularly get The Daily Courier. I wouldn't read such a paper.
Like plus that or those is also used anaphorically for identity of type, and postmodifies the noun-phrase head:

They regularly take The Daily Courier. I wouldn't read a paper like that. Like this and (informally) this way are used cataphorically:

He told it $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { like this } \\ \text { this way }\end{array}\right\}$ : George was running down the road and...

### 10.39

The demonstratives can be used as pro-forms for noun phrases:
I hear that you dislike his latest novel. I read his first novel. That was very boring, too.

Normally, demonstratives replace noun phrases with a human referent only in intensive clauses with a nominal complement:

Will you try and help me find Peter Williams? That's the man I was telling you about.

### 10.40

Former and latter (both mainly formal written English) are used anaphorically to single out one of two previous noun phrases:

Bob and John were at the meeting. The former brought his wife with him. ('Bob')

If the latter were used instead, the reference would be to John. These two terms can also be used as reference signals when they premodify:

Bill Singer and Tom Patterson were charged with being drunk and disorderly. The latter student had two previous convictions on such charges.
Similarly, when there are more than two previous noun phrases that might be referred to, the ordinals first, second, etc, and last can be used anaphorically to single out one of several phrases.

The ordinals and former and latter can also refer back to clausal units as well as noun phrases:

He explained that he had lost a lot of money and that he had also quarrelled with his wife. The former seemed to have upset him more than the latter.

### 10.41

So and (rather informally) that can have anaphoric reference when they are intensifiers premodifying an adjective:

There were two thousand people in the theatre. I didn't expect it to be so/(all) that full.
Such is used more commonly than so or that when the adjective is in a noun phrase:
... I didn't expect such a large audience.

### 10.42

## Comparison

The most obvious comparison signal is found in adjectives and adverbs, whether in the inflected forms or in the periphrastic forms with more, most,
as, less, least. If the basis of comparison is not made explicit in the clause, it can often be inferred from the previous context:

Mary used to listen to records most of the time. Sally was a more hardworking student. (than Mary was)
There were ten boys in the class. Bob was by far the best. (of the ten boys in the class)
Likewise, we must often look at the previous context for the basis of similarity or difference:

John was the victim of a confidence trick. Bill was tricked in the same way. (as John was tricked)
Tom had to be sent home. However, the other boys had behaved well. (the boys other than Tom)

## Ellipsis in dialogue

10.43

Ellipsis in dialogue may take place under three conditions, which can occur in various combinations:
(1) REPETITION: the second speaker repeats what is said by the first.
(2) EXPANSION: the second speaker adds to what is said by the first.
(3) REPLACEMENT: the second speaker replaces what is said by the first with new material.

There is usually a choice in repetition between ellipsis, substitution, and the full form. We show the choice, giving optional items in parentheses and alternatives in braces. The categorization is not intended to be exhaustive, but to give typical examples of ellipsis.

### 10.44

## Question and response

The usual function of a question in discourse is to request the listener to respond verbally with information that the questioner seeks. The link between question and response is often reinforced by ellipsis in the response, thereby avoiding repetition of material from the question and focusing attention on what is new.
(1) REPETITION

A: Have you spoken to the doctor?
B: (Yes,) I have $\left(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { spoken to } \\ \text { done so }\end{array}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { the doctor } \\ \text { him }\end{array}\right\}\right\}\right)$.
(2) EXPANSION

A: Will they lose the game?
B: Probably (they will (lose (the game)))
(3) REPLACEMENT

This most commonly occurs with wh-questions, where the Q -element is normally replaced in the response:

COMBINATIONS include expansion and replacement:
A: When did he lose the key?

Where the response is merely repetition, yes alone is used as a substitute for repetition. No alone is a substitute for negation of repetition.

Neither ellipsis nor substitution need be factors in the connection between a question and the response to it. For example:

A: Can I help you, madam?
B: Well, I'm looking for a pair of white gloves.

### 10.45

## Statement and question

Questions are usually prompted by what was said before, though they may be stimulated by the situational context.
(1) REPETITION

A: I'm studying grammar. B: ÁRE you (studying grammar)?
(2) EXPANSION

A: Peter will be there.
B: Are you $\operatorname{SÚ} R E\left(\left\{\begin{array}{l}(\text { that }) \\ \text { of that }\end{array}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Peter } \\ \text { he }\end{array}\right\}\right.\right.$ will (be there) $\left.\}\right)$ ?
(3) REPLACEMENT

A: It cost me twenty-five dollars.
B: HÓW much (did it cost (you))?
COMBINATIONS include repetition and replacement:
A: John told me what you did.
B: WHÓ told you $\left.\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { what I did } \\ \text { that }\end{array}\right\}\right)$ ?
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## Statement and statement

(1) REPETITION

A: He's studying Latin.
B: (He's studying) LÂTin! He doesn't know his $\dot{O} W N$ language.
(2) EXPANSION

A: He won't play.
B: I'd like to know why $\left.\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { he won't (play) }\} \\ \text { not }\end{array}\right\}\right)$.

## (3) REPLACEMENT

A: They want the key now
B: No, (they want $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { the key } \\ \text { it }\end{array}\right\}$ ) tonight.
COMBINATIONS include repetition, expansion, and replacement:
A: They paid fifty dollars for it.
B: Oh no, they paid more $\left(\left(\right.\right.$ than $\left.\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { fifty dollars } \\ \text { that }\end{array}\right\}\right)($ for it) $)$.

### 10.47

## Structural parallelism

If two or more sentences have identical or very similar structure, this parallelism connects the sentences, the connection being further reinforced by lexical equivalences and implications of semantic relationship (usually of contrast):

John put his career before his family. Bill put his family before his career.

Devices of structural parallelism are particularly common in mannered style. The parallel between sentences is more transparent (and hence the connection is more strongly indicated) if the word order is not the normal one, even if otherwise there is little structural similarity:

My paintings the visitors admired. My sculptures they disliked.
An apparent similarity in structure is sufficient to suggest an affinity between sentences:

My paintings the visitors admired. My sculptures irritated them.
The impression of a link between the two initial noun phrases (the first a direct object and the second a subject) is reinforced by the use of my in both phrases and the lexical set to which both painting and sculpture belong. The two sentences are further linked by semantic parallelism and by the pro-form them in the second sentence.

The last example above illustrates a combination of several devices: syntactic parallelism, semantic parallelism, lexical relationships, and substitution by a pro-form. The example serves to remind us of a point which we made at the beginning of the chapter, but which may have been obscured by our attention to devices in isolation: several devices - some of them perhaps syntactic - may be interacting to form links between sentences.

## ELEVEN

## THE COMPLEX SENTENCE

## 11.1

## Coordination and subordination

Subordination is a non-symmetrical relation, holding between two clauses in such a way that one is a constituent or part of the other. Compare the coordination in

| 1 1 | 2 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| [I like John] and | [Jóhn likes me] |
| 11 | 22 |
| ['independent'] | ['independent'] |

with the subordination in
$1 \quad 2$
[I like John $\quad$ [because John likes me] ]
1
['superordinate' or 'independent' or 'main']
2
['subordinate' or 'dependent']

The above examples also illustrate the terms commonly associated with the clausal units distinguished.

The device of subordination enables us to organize multiple clause structures. Each subordinate clause may itself be superordinate to one or more other clauses, so that a hierarchy of clauses, one within another, may be built up, sometimes resulting in sentences of great complexity. A relatively simple example:


Here the clause beginning at Z - is subordinate to the clause beginning at $\mathrm{Y}-$, which in turn is subordinate to the clause beginning at X -. Both Y and Z are dependent clauses, while X is the independent clause, and is identical with the sentence as a whole: $I$ as S , think as V , that you can do it as O , and if you try as A .

Dependent clauses may be classified either by STRUCTURAL TYPE, ie in terms of the elements they themselves contain, or by FUNCTION, ie the part they play in the superordinate clause.

## 11.2 <br> Finite, non-finite, and verbless clauses

Analysing by structural type, we arrive at three main classes:
FINITE CLAUSE: a clause whose V element is a finite verb phrase
eg: John has visited New York
Because John is working, he...

NON-FINITE CLAUSE: a clause whose V element is a non-finite verb phrase
eg: Having seen the pictures, he...
For John to carry the parcels was a...
VERBLESS CLAUSE: a clause containing no V element (but otherwise generally analysable in terms of one or more clause elements)
eg: Although always helpful, he...
John, then in New York, was...
All clauses - finite, non-finite, or verbless - may of course themselves have subordinate clauses which are finite, non-finite, or verbless. Eg the following verbless clause has a finite clause within it:

Although always helpful when his father was away, he...

## 11.3

## Finite and non-finite clauses

The finite clause always contains a subject as well as a predicate, except in the case of commands and ellipsis. As nearly all independent clauses (in discursive English, though not in 'block language') are finite clauses, it is these that are most clearly related to the clauses dealt with in Chapter 7. In contrast, non-finite clauses can be constructed without a subject, and usually are. The four classes of non-finite verb phrase serve to distinguish four classes of non-finite clause:
[I] INFINITIVE WITH to
without subject: The best thing would be to tell everybody with subject: The best thing would be for you to tell everybody

The use of for to introduce the subject should be noted. The infinitive clause with to and with a subject is found characteristically in anticipatory it constructions. It would be better (for you) to tell everybody.
[II] INFINITIVE WITHOUT to
without subject: All I did was tell him the truth
with subject: Rather than John do it, I'd prefer to give the job to Mary
[III] -ing PARTICIPLE
without subject: Leaving the room, he tripped over the mat with subject: Her aunt having left the room, I declared my passionate love for Celia

## [IV] ed PARTICIPLE

without subject: Covered with confusion, I left the room
with subject: We left the room and went home, the job finished
When the subject of adverbial participial clauses is expressed, it is often introduced by with:

$$
\text { With the tree }\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { growing } \\
\text { grown }
\end{array}\right\} \text { tall, we get more shade }
$$

The normal range of clause types is available, with active and passive forms broadly as in the corresponding finite clauses, but there is a restriction on the -ed participial clause, which is both syntactically and semantically passive, and therefore admits only the four passive clause types $S V_{\text {pass }}, S V_{\text {pass }} C, S V_{\text {pass }} A$, and $S V_{\text {pass }} O$ :

Defeated, he slunk from the room
Type ( $S$ ) $V_{\text {pass }}$ (= active Type $S V O$ )

## 11.4

## Structural 'deficiencies’ of non-finite clauses

The absence of the finite verb from non-finite clauses means that they have no distinctions of person, number, or modal auxiliary. Together with the frequent absence of a subject, this suggests their value as a means of syntactic compression. Certain kinds of non-finite clause are particularly favoured in the careful style of written prose, where the writer has the leisure to make a virtue out of compactness. But the advantage of compactness must be balanced against the stumbling block of ambiguity; for the absence of a subject leaves doubt as to which nearby nominal element is notionally the subject:

We met you [when you?/we? were] leaving the room
When no referential link with a nominal can be discovered in the linguistic context, an indefinite subject 'somebody/something' may be inferred, or else the 'I' of the speaker:

To be an administrator is to have the worst job in the world ('For a person to be...')
The prospects are not very good, to be honest
('...if I am to be honest')

## Note

[a] In negative non-finite clauses, the negative particle is placed immediately before the verb:
It's his fault for not doing anything about it
The wisest policy is (for us) not to interfere
It should be observed that the not precedes the to of the infinitive.
[b] The inseparability of to from the infinitive is also asserted in the widely held opinion that it is bad style to 'split the infinitive'. Thus rather than:
?He was wrong to suddenly leave the country
many people (especially in BrE ) prefer:
He was wrong to leave the country suddenly
It must be acknowledged, however, that in some cases the 'split infinitive' is the only tolerable ordering, since avoiding the 'split infinitive' results in clumsiness or ambiguity. For example:

I have tried to consciously stop worrying about it
11.5

## Verbless clauses

With the verbless clause, we can usually infer ellipsis of the verb be; the subject, when omitted, can be treated as recoverable from the context:

Whether right or wrong, he always comes off worst in an argument (Whether he is right or wrong...)

Verbless clauses can also, on occasion, be treated as reductions of non-finite clauses:

Too nervous to reply, he stared at the floor
(Being too nervous to reply...)
Here the verbless clause itself contains a non-finite clause, to reply. As with participle clauses, the subject is often introduced by with:
With the tree now tall, we get more shade ${ }^{\cdot}$
Since the verbless clause is basically an elliptical intensive verb clause (Type $S V C$ or $S V A: 7.2$ ), the variations of its structure are somewhat limited. The following, however, are among possible combinations:

She marched briskly up the slope, the blanket across her shoulder

When ripe, these apples will be delicious
His gaze travelled round, irresolute

Optional adverbials may also be added, either initially or finally:
She looked with disgust at the dog, quiet now in Dinah's grasp
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{V}_{\text {intens }}\end{array}\right] \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{A}_{\text {time }} \mathrm{A}_{\text {place }}$

## 11.6

## Formal indicators of subordination

In general, subordination is marked by some indication contained in the subordinate rather than superordinate clause. Such a signal may be of a number of different kinds: it can be a subordinating conjunction; a wh-element; the item that; inversion; or (negatively) the absence of a finite verb form. Especially in $w h$-items (where, when, etc), we can see a fusion of conjunction and pro-adjunct.

## 11.7

## Subordinators

Subordinators (or more fully 'subordinating conjunctions') are perhaps the most important formal indicators of subordination. Like prepositions, which they resemble in having a relating connecting function, subordi-
nators forming the core of the class consist of a single word; and again as with prepositions, there are numerous compound items which act, to various degrees, like a single conjunction. In addition, there is a small class of correlative subordinators, ie combinations of two markers, one (a conjunction) occurring in the subordinate clause, and the other (normally an adverb) occurring in the superordinate clause.

## SIMPLE SUBORDINATORS

after, (al)though, as, because, before, if, once, since, that, until, when, where, while, etc

## COMPOUND SUBORDINATORS

ending with that:
in that, so that, such that, except that, etc; in order that (or to + infinitive clause)
ending with optional that:
now (that), provided (that), supposing (that), considering (that), seeing (that), etc
ending with as:
as far as, as long as, as soon as, so long as, etc; so as (+to + infinitive clause)
ending with than:
sooner than ( + infinitive clause), rather than ( + non-finite or verbless clause)
other:
as if, as though, in case

## CORRELATIVE SUBORDINATORS

if...then; (al) though...yet/nevertheless; as...so
more/-er/less...than; as...as; sp...as; so...(that); such...as; such...(that); no sooner...than
whether...or
the...the

## Note

[a] Some subordinators (as, since, until, till, after, before, but) also function as prepositions: since the war, etc.
[b] For, with, and without, elsewhere prepositions, might be added to the list of subordinators when they introduce the subject of a non-finite or verbless clause:
for him to interfere; with so many people there
[c] Some of the above-listed subordinators introduce non-finite and verbless clauses (eg: if a nuisance), others do not (*since a nuisance).

## 11.8

## Borderline subordinators

Three borderline categories may be mentioned: (a) habitual combinations of a subordinator with a preceding or following intensifying adverb
(just as, if only); (b) participle forms (supposing ...), bearing a resemblance to participle clause disjuncts like judging from ..., speaking frankly, etc; (c) expressions of time which, although adverbial in form, act like a single temporal conjunction (eg: directly/immediately/the moment (that) I had spoken).

## 11.9

## Other indicators of subordination

Now we give a brief preliminary survey of other indicators of subordination, apart from subordinating conjunctions.
(a) Wh-ELEMENTS are initial markers of subordination in, for example, dependent interrogative clauses. The $w h$-words (such as who) function as or within one of the clause elements subject, object, complement, or adverbial.
(b) Subject-operator inversion is a marker of subordination in some conditional clauses, where the operator is had, were, or should. Other unusual syntactic orderings also play a role in distinguishing a subordinate clause: for example, Sad though I was.

There are only two types of subordinate clause that contain no marker within themselves of subordinate status: these are
[I] Nominal clauses which may or may not have that:
I suppose you're right (cf I suppose that you're right)
[II] Comment clauses of a kind relatable to the main clause in the previous example:

You're right, I suppose

## Functional classification of dependent clauses

Dependent clauses may function as subject, object, complement, or adverbial in the superordinate clause:
subject: That we need more equipment is obvious
direct object: I know that she is pretty
subject complement: The point is that we're leaving
indirect object: I gave whoever it was a cup of tea
object complement: I imagined him overcome with grief
adjunct: When we meet, I shall explain everything
disjunct: To be honest, I've never liked him
conjunct: What is more, he has lost the friends he had
In addition, they may function within these elements, as postmodifier, prepositional complement, etc; eg.
postmodifier in noun phrase: A friend who remains loyal prepositional complement: It depends on what we decide adjectival complement: Ready to act promptly

## Note

Dependent clauses rarely act as conjuncts, as object complements, or as indirect objects. As object complement, they must be non-finite clauses in complex-transitive complementation. As indirect object, they must be nominal relative clauses.

### 11.11

Just as noun phrases may occur as subject, object, complement, appositive, and prepositional complement, so every NOMINAL CLAUSE may occur in some or all of these roles. But the occurrence of nominal clauses is limited by the fact that they are normally abstract; ie they refer to events, facts, states, ideas, etc. The one exception to this generalization is the nominal relative clause, which may refer to objects, people, substances, etc, and may in fact be analysed, on one level, as a noun phrase consisting of head and postmodifying relative clause, the head and relative pronoun coalescing to form a single $w h$-element.

### 11.12

ADVERBIAL CLAUSES operate as adjuncts or disjuncts. In this respect, they are like adverbs, and are often commutable with prepositional phrases. Compare:

Because the soloist was ill, they cancelled the concert
Because of the soloist's illness, they cancelled the concert
COMPARATIVE CLAUSES, like sentential relatives, are difficult to fit into any of the major functional categories. They often have the appearance of adverbial or adjectival modifiers:

I love you more deeply than I can say
He's not as clever a man as I thought
They also have some features in common with adverbial clauses, however. Semantically, we may consider them, together with their correlative element (more, as, -er, etc) in the main clause, as equivalent to a degree adverb.

COMMENT CLAUSES perform the function of disjunct or (occasionally) conjunct, and often express the speaker's attitude to the main clause, or his manner of asserting it:

Food is cheap in England, I believe
Each of these functional types will now be examined in greater detail, leaving others to later chapters: relative clauses, clauses in structures of complementation.

## Nominal clauses

### 11.13

## 'That'-clauses

The that-clause can occur as:
subject: That she is still alive is a consolation
direct object: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{I} \text { told him } \\ \mathrm{I} \text { knew }\end{array}\right\}$ that he was wrong
subject complement: The assumption is that things will improve appositive: Your assumption, that things will improve, is unfounded adjectival complement: I'm sure that things will improve

It cannot, however, occur as prepositional complement or as object complement.

When the that-clause is object or complement (or delayed subject), the conjunction that is frequently omitted in informal use, leaving a 'zero' that-clause:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{I} \text { knew } \\ \text { I told him } \\ \text { I'm sure }\end{array}\right\}$ he was wrong
When the clause is subject and not extraposed, that cannot be omitted and is usually expanded to the fact that, except in very formal English:
(The fact) that she is still alive consoles me

## Note

[a] The zero that-clause is particularly common when the clause is brief and uncomplicated. In contrast, the need for clarity discourages or even forbids the omission of that in complex sentences loaded with adverbials and modifications. Any parenthetical material between the verb of the superordinate clause and the subject of the thatclause is especially likely to inhibit deletion:

We had hoped, in a moment of optimism, that the Government would
look favourably on our case
The position of that after the second comma, rather than before the first comma, in this sentence, is decisive in assigning the parenthetical adverbial to the main clause and not the that-clause. The omission of that would leave the structure of the sentence unclear.
[b] Direct passive transforms of clauses with a that-clause object are rare, the version with extraposition being preferred: It is thought that he will come. The same point applies to other nominal clauses.
[c] While that-clauses, like most other nominal clauses, cannot be object complements, an alternative (and rather formal) $t o$-infinitive construction is available with some verbs. Contrast [1] and [2]:

I thought his argument absurd $\leftrightarrow I$ thought his argument to be absurd
*I thought his argument that we should pay $\leftrightarrow$ I thought his argument to be that we should pay

## 'Wh'-interrogative clauses

The dependent wh-interrogative clause occurs in the whole range of functions available to the that-clause, and in addition can act as prepositional complement:
subject: How the book will sell depends on its author
direct object: I can't imagine what made him do it
subject complement: The problem is not who will go, but who will stay .
appositive: My original question, why he did it at all, has not been answered
adjectival complement: I wasn't certain whose house I was in
prepositional complement: No one was consulted on who should have the prize

As regards meaning, these clauses resemble wh-questions in that they leave a gap of unknown information, represented by the wh-element. Compare the negative and interrogative with the positive declarative in the following:

I'm not sure who is coming
Do you know who is coming?
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { I'm sure } \\ \text { I know }\end{array}\right\}$ that John is coming
There is also a grammatical similarity to $w h$-questions in that the wh-element is placed first; indeed, apart from the absence of subjectoperator inversion in the dependent clause, the structures of the two types of clause are in all respects parallel. We have, in the $w h$-interrogative clause, the same choice between initial and final preposition where the prepositional complement is the $w h$-element:

He couldn't remember $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { on which shelf he kept it (formal) } \\ \text { which shelf he kept it on }\end{array}\right.$
An infinitive $w h$-clause can be formed with all $w h$-words except why:
He was explaining how to start the motor ('... how one should ...') I never know where to put my coat ( ${ }^{\circ . .}$ where I ought to ...')

## Note

[a] In literary style, there is an occasional subject-operator inversion when the wh-element is the A of an SVA type clause, or the C of an SVC type clause:

I told them how strong was my desire to visit the famous temple
There is also an informal but chiefly dialectal inversion (eg in Irish English), as in:
He asked me where was I staying
[b] The preposition preceding a wh-clause is optional in certain circumstances:
I was not certain (of) what to do

### 11.15 <br> 'Yes-no' interrogative clauses

The dependent yes-no interrogative clause is formed with if or whether:
Do you know if/whether the banks are open?
The dependent alternative question has if/whether...or:
I don't know whether it will rain or be sunny
I don't care if your car breaks down or not
Only whether can be directly followed by or not:
I don't care $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { whether or not } \\ *_{\text {if }} \text { or not }\end{array}\right\}$ your car breaks down
A clause beginning with whether cannot be made negative, except as the second part of an alternative question:

I don't care $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { if it doesn't rain } \\ \text { *whether it doesn't rain } \\ \text { whether it rains or (whether it does) not }\end{array}\right.$
On the other hand, if cannot introduce a subject clause:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Whether } \\ * \text { If }\end{array}\right\}$ it rains or not doesn't concern me

## Note

With certain introductory verbs or adjectives a negative whether-clause is acceptable:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { I wonder } \\ \text { I'm not sure }\end{array}\right\}$ whether he doesn't expect too much from her
In fact, however, such sentences have a positive rather than negative meaning: 'I think he expects too much from her'.

### 11.16

Nominal relative clauses
The nominal relative clause, also introduced by a $w h$-element, can be:
subject: What he is looking for is a wife
direct object: I want to see whoever deals with complaints indirect object: He gave whoever came to the door a winning smile subject complement: Home is where your friends and family are object complement: You can call me what(ever) (names) you like appositive: Let us know your college address (that is, where you live in term time)
prepositional complement: Vote for which(ever) candidate you like
The nominal relative clause is much closer to noun phrase status than other nominal clauses are. It can normally be paraphrased by a noun phrase containing a postmodifying relative clause:

I'll give you however much tobacco you need ('... any amount ... that you need')
Quality is what counts most ('... the thing that counts most')
There is a difference between UNIVERSAL and DEFINITE meaning as expressed by the wh-form of a relative clause. We see this in the paraphrases of the examples above: the first is paraphrased in 'universal' terms (any amount), the second in 'definite' terms (the thing). Contrast with the latter:

Quality is whatever counts most ('... anything that ...')
The form who is rarely used in present-day English in this nominal relative function (*Who told you that was lying), being replaced in many contexts, for both universal and definite meanings, by whoever:

Whoever told you that was lying $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { 'The person who ...' } \\ \text { 'Anyone who ...' }\end{array}\right\}$
Where the $w h$-word chosen is available for both nominal relative and interrogative clauses, an ambiguity arises:

They asked me what I didn't know ('They asked me that which I didn't know' or 'They asked me "What don't you know?"')

### 11.17

## 'To'-infinitive nominal clauses

The $t o$-infinitive nominal clause can occur as:
subject: For a bridge to collapse like that is unbelievable
direct object: He likes everyone to be happy
subject complement: My wish is to be a pilot
appositive: His ambition, to be a straight actor, was never fulfilled adjectival complement: I'm glad to help you

The subject of a to-infinitive clause is normally preceded by for (which is perhaps acting here more as a conjunction than as a preposition). The subject, when a pronoun, is in the objective case:

The idea is $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { that we should meet on Thursday } \\ \text { for us to meet on Thursday }\end{array}\right.$
When the clause is a direct object, however, the for is omitted:
He wants me to leave (rather than: *He wants for me to leave) On $w h$-infinitive clauses, see 11.14 .

## Note

[a] The infinitive clause resembles the that clause (in contrast to the -ing clause) in never being a prepositional complement.
[b] The correspondence between 'The idea is to meet' and 'The idea is that we should meet' shows the putative nature of the infinitive clause.

The nominal -ing clause, a PARTICIPLE CLAUSE, occurs in the following positions:
subject: Telling lies is wrong
direct object: No one enjoys deceiving his own family
subject complement: His favourite pastime is playing practical jokes appositive: His hobby, collecting stamps, absorbed him prepositional complement: I'm tired of being ireated like a child adjectival complement: The children were busy building sandcastles

It is the commonest type of participle clause, that which has no subject, that is illustrated above. There is sometimes a choice as follows:

GENITIVE case in formal style:
I'm surprised at his/John's making that mistake
OBJECTIVE or COMMON case (for personal pronouns or nouns, respectively) in informal style:
I'm surprised at him/John making that mistake
It is commonly claimed that the genitive is the only 'correct' form, but in fact it frequently has a stilted effect, and is particularly unsuitable when the subject is an inanimate or abstract noun phrase which would not normally take the genitive case, or a 'group' genitive phrase:
?The crisis has arisen as a result of recent uncontrolled inflation's having outweighed the benefits of devaluation
On the other hand, a pronoun in the objective case is disliked in subject position:

Him being a polyglot was a great surprise (very informal)
Many prefer to avoid both possibilities where alternatives are available:
It was a great surprise that he was a polyglot

## Note

As compared with the -ing clause, the genitive is obligatory where the -ing item constitutes the head of a noun phrase:

His/him criticizing John was very unfair
His/*him criticizing of John was very unfair

### 11.19

## Bare infinitive and verbless clauses

The to of the infinitive is optionally omitted in a clause which supplies a predication corresponding to a use of the pro-verb do:

All I did was (to) turn off the gas

When the infinitive clause is initial, to has to be omitted: Turn off the tap was all I did.

## Note

In the following sentence, the lack of concord between carpets and is shows that the subject is not a noun phrase:

Wall-to-wall carpets in every room is very expensive
Rather, it should be seen as a nominal verbless clause, paraphrasable as 'Having wall-towall carpets in every room'. On the other hand, the similarity often causes these verbless clauses to be given the concord demanded with noun phrases:

Are fast cars in cities really very wise?

## Adverbial clauses

### 11.20

Adverbial clauses, like adverbials in general, are capable of occurring in a final, initial, or medial position within the main clause (generally in that order of frequency, medial position being rather rare). Attention will be drawn, in the paragraphs that follow, to modifications of this general statement. On problems of tense, aspect, and mood, see 11.45 ff .

### 11.21

## Clauses of time

Finite adverbial clauses of time are introduced by such subordinators as after, before, since, until, when:

When I last saw you, you lived in Washington
Buy your tickets as soon as you reach the station
Our hostess, once everyone had arrived, was full of good humour
The -ing clause may be introduced by after, before, since, until, when(ever), and while; -ed clauses by once, until, when(ever), and while; and verbless clauses by as soon as, once, when(ever), and while:

He wrote his greatest novel while working on a freighter
Once published, the book caused a remarkable stir
When in difficulty, consult the manual
In addition, -ing clauses without a subject are also used to express time relationship:

Nearing the entrance, I shook hands with my acquaintances ('when/as I neared ...')
The stranger, having discarded his jacket, moved threateningly towards me ('after he had discarded ...')
Temporal clauses are common in initial position.

## Note

[a] With until and its variant till, the superordinate clause is negative if the time reference is to a commencement point:
*He started to read until he was ten years old
He didn't start to read until he was ten years old
He walked in the park till it was dark
In the negative sentence, not (...) until means the same as not (...) before.
[b] There is no semantic subordination with a type of when-clause which occurs finally in sentences in formal narrative style and in which when means rather and then:

The last man was emerging from the escape tunnel when a distant shout signalled its discovery by the guards
[c] Infinitive clauses of 'outcome' may be placed among temporal clauses:
I awoke one morning to find the house in an uproar
Such sentences could be paraphrased by switching the relationship of subordination, and using a when-clause:

When I awoke one morning, I found the house in an uproar
Their restriction to final position suggests an analogy between these infinitive clauses and result clauses, which they resemble in meaning.

### 11.22

## Clauses of place

Adverbial clauses of place are introduced by where or wherever:
They went wherever they could find work
Where the fire had been, we saw nothing but blackened ruins
Non-finite and verbless clauses occur with both the subordinators:
Where(ver) known, such facts have been reported
Where(ver) possible, all moving parts should be tested

## Note

In this last example, as in the When in difficulty example of 11.21 , we see a general contingency relation similar to conditions: wherever possible, whenever possible, if possible. This generality of meaning is characteristic of verbless and non-finite clauses but is common also in finite clauses (Whenever anyone finds this possible), and in part reflects fundamental similarities between several adverbial relationships.

### 11.23

## Clauses of condition and concession

Whereas conditional clauses state the dependence of one circumstance or set of circumstances on another:

If you treat her kindly, (then) she'll do anything for you
concessive clauses imply a contrast between two circumstances; ie the main clause is surprising in the light of the dependent one:

Although he hadn't eaten for days, he (nevertheless). looked very fit

The parenthesized items illustrate the possibility of correlation in both types of clause.

From this, we see that although as a subordinator is the approximate equivalent of but as a coordinator:

He hadn't eaten for days, but he looked strong and healthy
The overlap between conditional and concessive clauses comes with such subordinators as even if, which expresses both the contingent dependence of one circumstance upon another and the surprising nature of this dependence:

Even if he went down on bended knees, I wouldn't forgive him
Both conditional and concessive clauses tend to assume initial position in the superordinate clause.

### 11.24

## Clauses of condition

Finite adverbial clauses of condition are introduced chiefly by the subordinators if (positive condition) and unless (negative condition):

He must be lying if he told you that
Unless the strike has been called off, there will be no trains tomorrow

The latter means roughly 'If the strike has not been called off ...'. But there is a slight difference between an unless-clause and a negative $i f$-clause in that unless has the more exclusive meaning of 'only if ... not' or 'except on condition that ...'. It is thus the opposite of the compound conjunction provided (that) or providing (that), which means 'if and only if ...':

Provided that no objection is raised, we shall hold the meeting here Other compound conditional conjunctions approximately synonymous with provided (that) are as long as, so long as, and on condition that.

If and unless often introduce non-finite and verbless clauses: if ready; unless expressly forbidden, etc. Also to be noted are the residual positive and negative conditional pro-clauses if so and if not.

### 11.25

## Real and unreal conditions

A 'real' condition leaves unresolved the question of the fulfilment or non-fulfilment of the condition, and hence also the truth of the proposition expressed by the main clause. In an 'unreal' condition, on the other hand, it is clearly expected that the condition will not be fulfilled. Thus:

Real: If he comes, I'll see him. If she was awake, she certainly heard the noise.
Unreal: If he came, I'd see him.
If she'd been awake, she would have heard the noise.

If-clauses are like questions in implying uncertainty. They tend therefore to contain non-assertive forms such as any, ever:

If you ever have any trouble, let me know
Clauses beginning with unless, on the other hand, lay stress on 1 e excluded positive option, and so normally contain assertive forms:

I won't phọne you, unless something unforeseen happens ( $=$ I'll phone you when something unforeseen happens - but we can exclude this as unlikely)

For the same reason, unless-clauses are not usually unreal conditions. Hence the negative unreal conditional clause If I had not arrived has no equivalent unless-clause, *Unless I had arrived.

## Note

[a] The combination if only is an intensified equivalent of if, typically used in preposed unreal conditions (with no non-assertive requirement) to express a wish:
If only somebody had told us, we could have warned you
[b] The subjunctive or should (3.42) is sometimes used in formal real conditions:
If he $\left\{\begin{array}{l}b e \text { found } \\ \text { should be found }\end{array}\right\}$ guilty, his wife will suffer terribly
[c] The infinitival clause can be used conditionally:
You have to be strong to lift a table like that ('if you're going to lift')
He'd be stupid not to accept that offer ('if he didn't accept')
Such clauses contain an element of purposive meaning.
[d] Conditional clauses (especially unreal) may have subject-operator inversion without a conjunction:

Had I known, I would not have gone

### 11.26

## Clauses of concession

Clauses of concession are introduced chiefly by though (also a conjunct: 8.50) or its more formal variant although. Other conjunctions include while, whereas (ehiefly formal), even if, and occasionally if.

No goals were scored, though it was an exciting game Although I enjoyed myself, I was glad to come home Whereas John seems rather stupid, his brother is clever Even if you dislike music, you would enjoy this concert If he's poor, at least he's honest

Non-finite and verbless clauses of concession are often introduced by conjunctions, but not by whereas. For example, though a young man; although often despairing of rescue; even if still operating; even though given every attention.

## Note

Concession is sometimes rather formally expressed with the subordinators as, though, and that occurring after the subject complement; less frequently other predications may be preposed:

Naked as I was, I braved the storm
Sneer unkindly though you may, John is very popular

### 11.27

## Alternative conditional-concessive clauses

The correlative sequence whether (...) or ... is a means of coordinating two subordinate clauses, combining conditional meaning with disjunctive meaning:

Whether they beat us or we beat them, we'll celebrate tonight
Whether (living) in London or not, John enjoyed himself
Whether or not he finds a job in New York, he's moving there
The concessive element of meaning comes in secondarily, through the implication that if the same thing takes place in two contrasting conditions, there must be something surprising about at least one of them.

### 11.28

## Universal conditional-concessive clauses

The universal conditional-concessive clause, introduced by one of the wh-compounds (whatever, whoever, etc), indicates a free choice from among any number of conditions:

She looks pretty whatever she wears
That is, even though she were to wear overalls or a space suit. There is a subtle semantic difference between such conditional clauses and apparently identical time and place clauses:

Wherever you live, you can keep a horse
The locative meaning would be 'You can keep a horse at any place where you may live'; the conditional-concessive meaning is 'It doesn't matter where you live, you can keep a horse - not necessarily in that same place'. The longer constructions it doesn't matter wh- and the more informal no matter wh- may be added to the list of universal conditionalconcessive clause introducers:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { No matter } \\
\text { It doesn't matter }
\end{array}\right\} \text { how hard I try, I can never catch up with him }
$$

## Note

With an abstract noun phrase subject of an $S V C$ clause, the verb be can be omitted from a universal conditional-concessive clause:

Whatever your problems (are), they can't be worse than mine
However great the pitfalls (are), we must do our best to succeed

### 11.29

## Clauses of reason or cause

Clauses of reason or cause are most commonly introduced by the conjunctions because, as, or since:

I lent him the money because he needed it
As/since Jane was the eldest, she looked after the others
These different positional tendencies (characteristic of the respective conjunctions) reflect a different syntactic status: because-clauses are adjuncts, whereas $a s$ - and since-clauses are disjuncts. Informally, however, a final because-clause sometimes functions as a disjunct of reason:

They've lit a fire, because I can see the smoke rising
Non-finite and verbless clauses can be used for cause, but without conjunction:

Being a man of ingenuity, he soon repaired the machine

### 11.30

## Clauses of circumstance

Clauses of circumstance express a fulfilled condition or (to put it differently) a relation between a premise (in the subordinate clause) and the conclusion drawn from it (in the main clause). Because, since, and as can convey this meaning, but in addition there is a special circumstantial compound conjunction, seeing (that):

Seeing that the weather has improved, we shall enjoy our game
Non-finite clauses and verbless clauses are often used, but without subordinator:

The weather having improved, we enjoyed the rest of the game

### 11.31

## Clauses of purpose

Clauses of purpose are adjuncts, usually infinitival, introduced by (in order) (for N ) to, so as to:

I left early to catch the train
They left the door open in order for me to hear the baby
Finite clauses of purpose may be introduced by so that or (more formally) by in order that or (so) that:

John visited London $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { in order that } \\ \text { (so) that }\end{array}\right\}$ he could see his MP

In the purpose clause, which has 'putative' meaning, the modal auxiliaries should and may (past tense might) are used.

## Note

Negative purpose is expressed by for fear (that), (in BrE ) in case, or the now rather archaic and very formal conjunction lest:

They left early for fear they would meet him ( $=$ in order that ... not ...)

### 11.32

## Clauses of result

Result clauses (disjuncts, placed finally in superordinate clauses) are factual rather than 'putative'; hence they may contain an ordinary verb form without a modal auxiliary. They are introduced by so that, informally so:

We planted many shrubs, so (that) the garden soon looked beautiful

### 11.33

Clauses of manner and comparison
Clauses of manner are introduced by (exactly) as, (just) as:
Please do it (exactly) as I instructed ('in the way that ...')
If an as-clause is placed initially, the correlative form so, in formal literary English, may introduce the main clause:
(Just) as a moth is attracted by a light, (so) he was fascinated by her
Such examples provide a transition to the adverbial clauses of comparison, introduced by as if, as though:

He looks as if he is going to be ill
If there is doubt or 'unreality', the modal past is used:
He treated me (just) as if he had never met me

## Note

Clauses of comparison sometimes show subject-operator inversion:
The present owner collects paintings, as did several of his ancestors

### 11.34

## Clauses of proportion and preference

Proportional clauses express a 'proportionality' or equivalence of tendency or degree between two circumstances, and are either introduced by as (with or without a formal correlative so) or by fronted correlative the ... the plus comparatives:

As he grew disheartened, (so) his work deteriorated The more he thought about it, the less he liked it
The harder he worked, the happier he felt

Clauses of preference are introduced by rather than, sooner than, with a bare infinitive structure; but rather than is less restricted:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Rather than } \\ \text { Sooner than }\end{array}\right\}$ go there by air, I'd take the slowest train
Rather than $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { sitting quietly at home, he preferred to visit his friends } \\ \text { a new car, he bought a colour television }\end{array}\right.$

## Non-finite and verbless clauses

### 11.35

IMPLIEDSUBJECT
If the subject is not actually expressed in a non-finite or verbless clause, it is assumed to be identical with the subject of the superordinate clause:

When ripe, the oranges are picked and sorted
He took up anthropology, stimulated by our enthusiasm
She hesitated, being very suspicious, to open the door
He opened his case to look for a book
Commonly, however, this 'attachment rule' is violated:
?Since leaving her, life has seemed empty
In this case, we would assume that the superordinate clause means 'Life has seemed empty to me' and that the subject of the -ing clause is also first person. Such 'unattached' ('pendant' or 'dangling') clauses are frowned on, however, and are totally unacceptable if the superordinate clause provides no means at all for identifying the subordinate subject. In the following sentence, for example, it cannot be a dog:
*Reading the evening paper, a dog started barking

## Note

[a] The attachment rule does not need to be observed with disjuncts:
Speaking candidly ( $\mathrm{S}=\mathbf{~ ' I}$ '), John is dishonest
[b] Tense, aspect and mood are also inferred in non-fimite and verbless clauses from the sentential context.

### 11.36

## SEMANTIC DIVERSITY

We have seen that many of the relationships (time, reason, etc) discussed earlier can be expressed by means of non-finite and verbless clauses. Where these are introduced by conjunctions, the relationship may be quite explicit: if necessary, since being here, etc. Where they are
not so introduced, there may be considerable indeterminacy as to the relationship to be inferred:

$$
\text { John, }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { soon to become a father } \\
\text { feeling considerable anxiety' } \\
\text { told of his good fortune } \\
\text { sad at the news }
\end{array}\right\} \text {, went to Mexico }
$$

In this position, the clauses could have the function merely of nonrestrictive postmodifier of John. But their potential relationship to the whole superordinate clause rather than only to the subject is indicated by their mobility. For example:

Soon to become a father, John went to Mexico
John went to Mexico, feeling considerable anxiety
Clearly, their formal inexplicitness allows considerable flexibility in what we may wish them to convey. Thus according to the context, we might want to imply a temporal relation (eg: 'When he was told of his good fortune'), a causal relation (eg: 'Because he was soon to become a father'), a concessive relation (eg: 'Although he was soon to become a father', 'Although he was sad at the news'). In short a CONTINGENCY is implied, but for the hearer or reader the actual nature of the contingency has to be inferred from the context.

## Comparative sentences

### 11.37

In a comparative construction, a proposition expressed in the superordinate clause is compared with a proposition expressed in the subordinate clause by means of a 'COMP(arative) ELEMENT'. This comp-element specifies the standard of comparison (eg: health) and identifies the comparison as equational or differentiating. The comp-element is linked with the subordinate clause by a correlative sequence: equational as ... as, or differentiating less ... than, more ... than (where the first item may be replaced where relevant by the inflectional comparative). Eg:

Jane is $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { as healthy as } \\ \left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { less healthy } \\ \text { more healthy } \\ \text { healthier }\end{array}\right\} \text { than }\end{array}\right\}$ her sister (is)
The standard of comparison involves only a scale, without commitment to absolute values; thus, in the above examples, neither Jane nor her sister need be 'healthy'.

### 11.38

Like the Q -element of a question, a comp-element can be any of the main elements of clause structure (apart from the verb):
comp-element $=\mathrm{S}: \quad$ More people use this brand than (use) any other window-cleaning fluid
comp-element $=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}: \quad \mathrm{I}$ 'm happier about it than my husband (is)
comp-element $=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}: \quad$ He knows more than most people (know)
comp-element $=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (rare): $\quad$ That man has given more children happiness than anyone else (has)
comp-element $=\mathrm{A}: \quad$ You've been working much harder than I (have)

## Note

[a] Constructions with more ... than and less ... than do not necessarily introduce comparative clauses. There is a type of non-clausal comparison in which than is followed by an explicit standard or yardstick of comparison, normally a noun phrase of measure, or a noun phrase implying degree:

> The books weigh more than four pounds It goes faster than 100 miles per hour The hurricane was nothing less than a national catastrophe

Here than is best considered a preposition, and the phrase which follows it a prepositional complement.
[b] There is a second type of more ... than construction not introducing a comparative clause. This is the quasi-coordinative type of construction illustrated by

I was more' angry than frightened (cf I was angry rather than frightened)
A distinguishing characteristic of this construction is the non-occurrence of the suffixal form of comparison:
*I was angrier than frightened

### 11.39

## Ellipsis in comparative sentences

Ellipsis of a part of the subordinate clause is likely to occur whenever that part is a repetition of something in the main clause. Since it is normal for the two clauses to be closely parallel both in structure and content, ellipsis is the rule rather than the exception in comparative constructions. It is worth while pointing out, however, that there is no necessary parallelism between the main and comparative clauses, and that the comparative clause, so long as it overlaps with the content of the main clause in respect of the comp-element, can be of independent structure. Thus we may take two wh-questions of disparate clause types:
\{How quickly does he speak?
\{How quickly can his secretary take dictation?
and use them to construct the comparative sentence:
He speaks more quickly than his secretary can take dictation
Optional ellipses and substitutions (by pronoun and by pro-predication) are illustrated in the following:
(a) James enjoys the theatre more than Susan enjoys the theatre
(b) James enjoys the theatre more than Susan enjoys it
(c) James enjoys the theatre more than Susan does
(d) James enjoys the theatre more than Susan

It should be noted that ellipsis of the object cannot take place unless the verb too is ellipted; thus we could not expand (d) as:

* James enjoys the theatre more than Susan enjoys

But, if the object is the comp-element itself, the verb may remain:
James knows more about the theatre than Susan (knows)
Obligatory ellipsis, on the other hand, applies to the standard of comparison which cannot be specified again in the subordinate clause (*Jane is healthier than her sister is healthy), though different aspects of a single standard may be specified in each clause. This occurs with 'size' and 'ability' in the following examples:

The bookcase is wider than it is tall
Jane is as successful at sport as her sister is successful academically

### 11.40

## Ellipsis and partial contrast

If the two clauses in a comparison differed solely in the comp-element (*I hear it more clearly than I hear it), only nonsense would result, of course. But the elements in the two clauses may be lexically identical and differ only in tense or mood. In such cases it is normal to have ellipsis of all identical items except any that are necessary to express the contrast:

I hear it more clearly than I did (ie hear it)
I get up as early as I should (ie get up)
If the contrast lies in tense only, it may be expressed in the subordinate clause solely by an adverbial:

She'll enjoy it more than (she enjoyed it) last year
This provides the basis for the total ellipsis of the subordinate clause in examples like

You are slimmer (ie than you were)

## Note

In negative superordinate clauses, as can be replaced by so especially when there is total or considerable deletion in the subordinate clause:

He's not as naughty as he was
He's not so naughty (now)

### 11.41

## Noun phrase and comp-element

If we were to say:
There are more intelligent monkeys than Herbert
we would normally mean that Herbert is an intelligent monkey; that is, by placing the comparative adjective in front of the noun phrase, we
put the whole noun phrase in an intensive relation with the noun phrase in the comparative clause. On the other hand, if more and the adjective are placed after the noun, we readily admit the plausible interpretation that Herbert is a man:

There are monkeys more intelligent than Herbert

### 11.42

## 'Enough' and 'too'

There are comparative constructions with enough and too, which convey the contrasting notions of 'sufficiency' and 'excess', and which are related through negation. Paraphrase pairs may be constructed, using antonymous adjectives or adverbs, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { The grass is too short (to cut) } \\
\text { The grass isn't long enough (to cut) }
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { He's not too poor (to own a car) } \\
\text { He's rich enough (to own a car) }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The infinitive clause which follows the comp-element may be omitted if the context allows.

The negative force of too is shown in the use of non-assertive forms like any or anything; compare:

She's old enough to do some work
She's too old to do any work
Like other infinitive clauses, the subordinate clause in these constructions may have an expressed subject:

The blade moves too quickly for most people to see (it)
As in this example, the expressed subject permits also the optional expression of an object pronoun (here representing the blade). When the subject is not expressed, it may be identified with the superordinate subject or with an indefinite subject:

I've lived long enough to understand these things
The writing is too faint to read
With neither subject nor object expressed, ambiguity is possible:
The lamb is too hot $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { (for us) } \\ \text { (for it) }\end{array}\right]$ to eat $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { (it) } \\ \text { (anything) }\end{array}\right]$
Note
With gradable nouns, we have enough/too much of $a \ldots$ (cf 4.3 Note; 5.5 Note $a$ ); cf also 'He was fool enough to go without a coat'.
'So ... (that)' and 'such ... (that)'
The correlatives so ... (that) and such ... (that) are linked to too and enough, by paraphrase relations. For example:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { It flies fast enough to beat the speed record } \\ \text { It flies so fast that it can beat the speed record }\end{array}\right.$
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { It's too good a chance to miss } \\ \text { It's such a good chance that we mustn't miss it }\end{array}\right.$
It will be observed that in these paraphrases, the verb in the thatclause contains a modal auxiliary; when the modal auxiliary is absent, the so/such ... (that) construction has the more definitive meaning of result or outcome:

He was so wild that we let him escape
I so enjoyed it (or I enjoyed it so much) that I'm determined to go again The alternation between so and such depends on grammatical function. The that which introduces the comparative clause is sometimes omitted in informal English:

He polished the floor so hard you could see your face in it
The somewhat formal construction so/such ... as to plus infinitive clause is sometimes used in place of so or such followed by a that-clause:

His satires were so brilliant as to make even his victims laugh
The brilliance of his satires was such as to make even his victims laugh

## Note

An emphatic fronting of the comp-element, accompanied by inversion of subject and operator, is sometimes found in formal (especially literary) English:

To such lengths did she go in rehearsal that two actors walked out
So strange was his appearance that no one recognized him

## Comment clauses

### 11.44

Comment clauses are somewhat loosely related to a superordinate clause, and may be classed as disjuncts or conjuncts. In general, they may occur initially, finally, or medially, and have a separate tone unit:

The SMǏTHS, |as you probably KNÓW, |are going to AMÈRICA|
As the following list of types shows, comment clauses vary in form:
(1) Like a main clause:

At that time, I believe, labour was cheap
(2) Like an adverbial clause (introduced by as):

I'm a biologist, as you know
(3) Like a nominal relative clause as conjunct:

What's more, we lost all our belongings
(4) $T o$-infinitive clause as style disjunct:

I'm not sure what to do, to be honest
(5) -ing clause as style disjunct:

I doubt, speaking as a layman, whether television is the right medium
(6) -ed clause as style disjunct:

Stated bluntly, he has no chance of winning

## The verb phrase in dependent clauses

### 11.45

## The present tense with subordinators

To express future meaning, the present tense is used in preference to the auxiliary will/shall in certain types of adverbial clauses:
nut $\left\{\begin{array}{l}* \text { When } \\ * \text { Before } \\ * \text { If }\end{array}\right\} \begin{gathered}\text { he will arrive, the band will play the National } \\ \text { Anthem }\end{gathered}$
but $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { When } \\ \text { Before } \\ \text { If, }\end{array}\right\}$ he arrives, the band will play the National Anthem
The subordinators chiefly involved belong to the temporal and conditional (in part also, concessive) categories:

TEMPORAL: after, as, before, once, till, until, when (ever), as soon as
OTHER: if, unless, provided (that), given (that), assuming (that), presuming (that), even if, in case, as (manner), whatever, etc

Thus:
Even if tomorrow's match is cancelled, Newcastle will still be top of the league
He will come in case we need him
Next time I'll do as he says
Nominal that- and wh-clauses tend to contain present tense verbs when the main clause (as well as the subordinate clause) refers to the future; but when the main clause refers to the present, the future will is likely to be used in the subordinate clause. Contrast:

## I shall ask him what he wants tomorrow

The question is what he will want tomorrow

However, there are exceptional verbs like hope, suppose (in the imperative), and assume, after which the simple present can often be used as readily as will:

I hope that the parcel comes in time Let's assume our opponents win the election

## Note

There are two exceptions to the rule that will/won't cannot appear in if-clauses (and in some of the other types of clause mentioned above):
(i) Where will/won't has a volitional or habitual meaning, rather than a pure future meaning:

If you won't (='refuse to') help us, all our plans will be ruined
(ii) Where even though the if-clause refers to the future, the condition expressed by the whole sentence obtains in the present:

If he won't arrive before nine, there's no point in ordering dinner for him If it will make any difference, I'll gladly tend you some money
In both these sentences, the future contingency expressed in the if-clause determines a present decision.

### 11.46

## The modal past

The past tense is used, as already explained (11.25), in unreal conditional sentences:

If you knew him well, you would have a deep affection for him The corresponding superordinate verb phrase is would/should + infinitive, except when the past of another modal auxiliary is used:

If you knew him well, you could have a deep affection for him
Other constructions in which the modal past is used are illustrated below:
It's time you were in bed
He behaves as though he was/were a millionaire
It's not as though we were poor
Just suppose/imagine someone was/were following us
I'd rather we had dinner now
If only I had listened to my parents!
From each of these sentences a negative inference can be drawn: 'but you're not in bed', 'but he isn't a millionaire', etc. Unreal meaning in past time is indicated by had plus the -ed participle:

We could hàve got married today, if you'd really wanted to
If he had listened to me, he wouldn't have made the mistake
With past reference, the unreal meaning is more absolute than in the present, and amounts to an implied rejection of the condition: 'but in fact you didn't want to'; 'but in fact he didn't listen'. With present
and future reference, the meaning may be merely one of improbability and negative expectation:

If you listened to me, you wouldn't make mistakes ('... but I don't suppose you will listen to me')
11.47

Perfect aspect with 'since', etc
When since is used in a temporal sense, the perfect is used in the superordinate clause, also sometimes in the subordinate clause, in referring to a stretch of time up to (and potentially including) the present:

Since we have owned a car, we have gone camping every year (or possibly: ...we go camping, where own implies duration)
She has been talking to Robert ever since the party started (not: She is talking..., where start excludes duration)

The same applies to since as preposition and as prepositional adverb:
Scholars have been writing English grammars since the sixteenth century
After and when, in referring to a sequence of past events, can be followed either by a past perfect or by a simple past tense verb:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { After } \\ \text { When }\end{array}\right\}$ he $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { had returned } \\ \text { returned }\end{array}\right\}$ from work, his wife served dinner
All four of these are acceptable, and mean roughly the same. The only difference is that when with the simple past tense (probably the most popular choice) suggests that the one event followed immediately on the other. There may however be a contrast:

He went away when I $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { visited } \\ \text { had visited }\end{array}\right\}$ her
The variant with the past tense would normally mean 'as soon as I visited her' or 'at the time that I was visiting her', that with the past perfect 'after I had visited her'.

Note
If the verb phrase of the main clause is progressive in aspect, or contains a stative verb, when indicates the simultaneity, rather than successivity of the events:

When he returned from work, his wife was (working) in the kitchen.

### 11.48

Present subjunctive in conditional clauses, etc
The present subjunctive is used very occasionally and in rather formal use, as we have seen, in real conditional clauses and concessive clauses:

Whatever be the reasons for it, we cannot tolerate this disloyalty (cf Whatever may be the reasons...)

Clauses of concession and purpose may also very occasionally contain a verb in the subjunctive mood to express 'putative' meaning (sce 11.49):

Though he $\left\{\begin{array}{l}i s \\ b e\end{array}\right\}$ the Preṣident himself, he shall hear us
The subjunctive is also possible in that-clauses expressing wish, hope, or intention (though should would be more usual):

Congress has voted/decided/decreed/insisted that the present law be maintained

The present subjunctive is more common in AmE than in BrE , where it is rare outside legal style.

The past subjunctive were is used in formal clauses of hypothetical meaning, such as those introduced by if, as if, as though, though, and the imperative verbs suppose and imagine:

Suppose he were here ...
If the truth were known ...

### 11.49

## Putative 'should'

The modal auxiliary should is used quite extensively in that-clauses to express not a subordinate statement of fact, but a 'putative' idea. It can usually be replaced by the indicative without much difference of meaning. Compare:

I am surprised that he should feel lonely ( $=$ he feels)
I am told that he feels lonely ( $\neq$ he should feel)
The first sentence alludes to a report over which doubt may be allowed to linger, while the second accepts the report as a fact.

Other superordinate constructions which introduce a that-clause with should can be illustrated as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { It's a pity } \\ \text { I'm surprised } \\ \text { It's disgraceful } \\ \text { It's unthinkable } \\ \text { It worries me }\end{array}\right\}$ that he should resign
Most of these are constructions in which the that-clause is an extraposed subject. Notice that in the first two cases, despite the should, the event is assumed to have taken place already. This is because the 'factual' bias of the main clause construction overrides the doubt otherwise implicit in the should construction. Nonetheless, there is still a difference of feeling between I'm surprised that he should resign and I'm surprised that he has resigned: in the first, it is the 'very idea' of resignation that surprises; in the second, it is the resignation itself, as an assumed fact.

## Note

Putative should also occurs in some idiomatic questions and exclamations:
How should I know?
Why should he be resigning?
That he should dare to attack me!
Who should come in but the mayor himself!

### 11.50

## Direct and indirect speech

The difference between direct speech and indirect (or reported) speech is shown in:

He said: 'I am very angry' (DIRECT SPEECH)
He said that he was very angry (INDIRECT SPEECH)
Indirect speech subordinates the words of the speaker in a that-clause within the reporting sentence. In the case of direct speech, his words are 'incorporated' (in writing by quotation marks) within the reporting sentence and retain the status of an independent clause. Nevertheless, the 'incorporated' speech has in part the function of an element in the clause structure of the reporting sentence:

He said this $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$, namely 'I am very angry'
Structurally, the reporting clause, in direct speech, may be classed as a comment clause. It may occur before, within, or after the speech itself. Except when it occurs in initial position, there is likely to be an inversion of the subject and a reporting verb in the simple present or past tense:
'I am your friend,' $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { said John } \\ \text { John said } \\ \text { he said }\end{array}\right.$
Inversion is unusual and archaic, however, when the subject of the reporting clause is a pronoun: ... said he. The medial placing of the reporting clause is very frequent:
'As a result,' said John, 'I am very angry'

## Note

'Direct and indirect speech' will be used here as traditionally, but 'speech' must be allowed to include unspoken mental activity when the reporting verb may be think, believe, feel, etc; but of 11.56 . It should also be noted that indirect report frequently involves paraphrase or summary of the speech or thought it represents.

### 11.51

## Back-shift and other changes

Several changes are made in converting direct to indirect speech (subject to the exceptions in 11.52), and their effect is one of distancing. 1st and 2nd person pronouns are changed to 3 rd person:
' $I$ ll behave myself,' he promised
$\rightarrow$ He promised that he'd behave himself
'You are beautiful,' he whispered
$\rightarrow$ He whispered that she was beautiful
Frequently, there is a change from this/these to that/those, from here to there, and from now to then:
'I live here,' he explained $\rightarrow \mathrm{He}$ explained that he lived there
'I shall do it now,' he said $\rightarrow \mathrm{He}$ said that he would do it then
The most important alteration takes place, however, in the verb phrase: this is the change of tense that is referred to as BACK-SHIFT. When the reporting verb is in the past tense, verbs in the reported speech are changed as follows:

## DIRECT INDIRECT

(1) present
$\rightarrow$ past
(2) past
(3) present perfect $\} \rightarrow$ past perfect
(4) past perfect

Thus, if we move into the past for the reporting clause, there is a corresponding shift into the past (or if necessary, further into the past) in the reported clause. Examples of each part of the rule are:
(1) 'I am tired,' she complained
$\rightarrow$ She complained that she was tired
(2) 'The exhibition finished last week,' explained Ann
$\rightarrow$ Ann explained that the exhibition had finished the preceding week
(3) 'I've won the match already!' exclaimed our friend
$\rightarrow$ Our friend exclaimed that he had won the match already
(4) 'The whole house had been ruined,' said the landlord
$\rightarrow$ The landlord said that the whole house had been ruined
If, on the other hand, the reporting verb is in the present, there is no tense change:

She keeps saying, 'I am a failure'
$\rightarrow$ She keeps saying that she is a failure

### 11.52

## Exceptions to the distancing rules

The change to the more 'distant' meaning (eg to 3rd person pronouns) does not always take place, in that the use of forms appropriate to the reporting situation must take precedence over those appropriate to the reported speech situation. For example:
'You are wrong, John,' said Mary
$\rightarrow$ [John reporting] 'Mary said that $I$ was wrong'
Analogously, the rule of back-shift can be ignored in cases where the validity of the statement reported holds for the present time as much as for the time of utterance. Thus, while back-shift is obligatory in the first of the following examples, it is optional in the second:
'I am a citizen, not of Athens, but of the world,' said Socrates $\rightarrow$ Socrates said that he was a citizen, not of Athens, but of the world
'Nothing can harm a good man,' said Socrates
$\rightarrow$ Socrates said that nothing $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { could } \\ \text { can }\end{array}\right\}$ harm a good man

### 11.53

## Indirect statements, questions, exclamations, and commands

Our examples have so far been of indirect statements. Questions, exclamations, and commands are converted into indirect speech as follows:

INDIRECT QUESTION: dependent $w h$-clause or if-clause
INDIRECT EXCLAMATION: dependent $w h$-clause
INDIRECT COMMAND: $t o$-infinitive clause (without subject)
For example:
'Are you ready yet?' asked Joan (yes-no QUESTION) $\rightarrow$ Joan asked (me) whether I was ready yet
'When will the plane leave?' I wondered ( $w h$-QUESTION)
$\rightarrow \mathrm{I}$ wondered when the plane would leave
'What a hero you are!' Margaret told him (EXCLAMATION)
$\rightarrow$ Margaret told him what a hero he was
'Keep still!' she said to the child (COMMAND)
$\rightarrow$ She told the child to keep still
What has been said about back-shift applies to questions and exclamations as well as to statements. Indirect commands, in contrast, cannot incorporate back-shift, as they contain no finite verb. The reporting verb, in the case of indirect commands, has to be followed by an indirect object or prepositional object: for the indirect speech version of 'Sit down,' I snapped, one would write not *I snapped to sit down, but I snapped at him to sit down. With a verb like sneer one could render an indirect command with tell and an appropriate adverbial:
'Go back to the nursery,' he sneered
$\rightarrow$ He told them $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { sneeringly } \\ \text { with a sneer }\end{array}\right\}$ to go back to the nursery

## Note

Alternative questions are made indirect with whether ... or on a model similar to yes-no questions:

Are you satisfied or not? $\rightarrow$ I asked him whether or not he was satisfied

## The modal auxiliaries and indirect speech

Although He would go is not the past of He will go, it is the back-shifted form in indirect speech. So too with the other modal auxiliaries:
'May I go?' she asked $\rightarrow$ She asked if she might go
If a modal auxiliary in direct speech has no past tense equivalent (this includes auxiliaries which are already past, such as could, might, as well as must, ought to, need, and had better), then the same form remains in indirect speech:
'I would like some tea,' he said $\rightarrow$ He said (that) he would like some tea
The element of speaker involvement which is often present in the meaning of some modal auxiliaries (eg: may = 'permission') is naturally assigned in indirect speech to the subject of the indirect statement. Thus, John said that I might go would mean that John was giving me permission to go (corresponding to the direct 'You may go'), whereas I might go outside indirect speech would mean that I was considering the possibility of going.

Note
If the reporting verb phrase is modal and perfective, it counts as past for purposes of the back-shift rule. Compare:

He asks what John is doing He has asked what John is doing but

He may have asked what John was doing

### 11.55

## Free indirect speech

Free indirect speech is a half-way stage between direct and indirect speech, and is used extensively in narrative writing. It is basically a form of indirect speech, but ( 1 ) the reporting clause is omitted (except when retained as a parenthetical comment clause), and (2) the potentialities of direct-speech sentence structure (direct question forms, vocatives, tag questions, etc) are retained. It is therefore only the back-shift of the verb, together with equivalent shifts in pronouns, determiners, and adverbs, that signals the fact that the words are being reported, rather than being in direct speech:

So that was their plan, was it? He well knew their tricks, and would show them a thing or two before he was finished. Thank goodness he had been alerted, and that there were still a few honest people in the world!

Very often, in fiction, free indirect speech represents a person's stream of thought rather than actual speech. It is quite possible, therefore, that he thought would be the appropriate reporting slause to supply for the above passage, rather than he said.

## Transferred negation

There are several ways in which 'indirect speech' involving mental activity verbs (he thought, etc) differs from that where the reporting verb is one of language activity (he said, etc). A very important difference involves negation; thus, while both clauses can be made independently negative with say, etc:

He did not say that Mary was pretty
He said that Mary was not pretty
(so that these two sentences are sharply different in meaning), it is usual with think, believe, suppose, imagine, expect, etc for a superordinate negative to apply also in the subordinate clause. For this reason, the following pairs of sentences would normally be regarded as virtually synonymous:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { He didn't think that Mary was pretty } \\ \text { He thought that Mary wasn't pretty }\end{array}\right.$
$\{$ I don't suppose he has paid yet
I suppose he hasn't paid yet
The transfer of the negation can be seen clearly in the second pair above, with the non-assertive yet appearing in the subordinate clause even when the verb in this clause is not negated. Another indication is the form of the tag question in:

I don't suppose (that) he CÀRES, DÒES he?
(cf He doesn't CÀRE, DÒES he?)
The tag question in this sort of sentence is attached to the that-clause rather than to the independent clause, as is clear from the tag subject, he. Since a tag question with a falling tone contrasts in positive/negative terms with its main clause, however, we would expect DÒESn't he? in this context. That in fact a positive tag question occurs is thus evidence of the negativeness of the that-clause.

## Note

[a] Not all verbs in the semantic field of belief, uncertainty, etc, take transferred negation: I don't assume that he came $\neq 1$ assume that he didn't come
So too surmise, presume. Conversely, a few verbs outside the field of mental activity (for example, seem, happen) permit the transfer.
[b] A condensed sentence like I don't think so contains transferred negation, and is thus synonymous with I think not.

## TWELVE

## THE VERB AND ITS COMPLEMENTATION

## 12.1

This chapter will deal with units which complement the verb and which are, in general, obligatory in clause structure (but cf $7.3 f$, and also the possibilities for ellipsis discussed in 9.18 ff ). We have earlier ( 2.7 f ) distinguished between different categories of verbs with respect to their potentialities for complementation. We shall here discuss these categories in greater detail, concentrating in turn on intransitive verbs, intensive verbs, and transitive verbs. But before we do so, we shall consider cases where the main verb and one or more particles seem to combine as a multi-word verb.

## 12.2

## Intransitive phrasal verbs

One common type of multi-word verb is the intransitive phrasal verb consisting of a verb plus a particle, as exemplified in

The children were sitting down He is playing around

Drink up quickly
The plane has now taken off
When will they give in?

Get up at once
Did he catch on?
He turned up unexpectedly

Most of the particles are place adjuncts or can function as such. Normally, the particle cannot be separated from its verb (*Drink quickly up), though particles used as intensifiers or perfectives or referring to direction can be modified by intensifiers (Go right on).

A subtype of intransitive phrasal verb has a prepositional adverb as its particle, the particle behaving as a preposition with some generalized ellipsis of its complement:

He walked past (the object/place)
They ran across (the intervening space)
In some instances, the particles form the first element in a complex preposition:

Come along (with us/me)
They moved out (of the house)
Phrasal verbs vary in the extent to which the combination preserves the individual meanings of verb and particle. In instances like give in ('surrender'), catch on ('understand') and turn up ('appear'), it is clear that the meaning of the combination cannot be predicted from the meanings of the verb and particle in isolation.

## 12.3

## Transitive phrasal verbs

Many phrasal verbs can take a direct object:

We will set up a new unit
Find out whether they are coming
Drink up your milk quickly
They turned on the light
They gave in their resignation
He can't live down his past

They are bringing over the whole family
She is bringing up her brother's children
They called off the strike
I can't make out what he means
He looked up his former friends

As we see from the examples here and in 12.2, some combinations (drink up, give in) can be either transitive or intransitive, with or without a difference of meaning.

With most transitive phrasal verbs, the particle can either precede or follow the direct object:

They turned on the light $\sim$ They turned the light on
although personal pronouns cannot precede: They turned it on and not *They turned on it. The particle tends to precede the object if the object is long or if the intention is that the object should receive end-focus.

Many transitive phrasal verbs have prepositional adverbs:
They dragged the case along (the road)
They moved the furniture out (of the house)
In these examples the particles have literal meanings. We can contrast
She took in the box ('brought inside')
She took in her parents ('deceived')
As with the intransitives, transitive phrasal verbs vary in the extent to which they form idiomatic combinations. For example, the verb and particle in put out the cat preserve their individual meanings in that combination and in a wide range of other combinations (eg: put + down/outside/away/aside; take/turn/bring/push/send/drag + out ). There are fewer alternative combinations that the verb and particle in turn out the light can enter (turn + on/off/down/up; switch + on). Finally, in put off ('postpone') the verb and particle are fused into a new idiomatic combination, which does not allow for contrasts in the individual elements.

## Prepositional verbs

12.4

The preposition in a prepositional verb must precede its complement. Hence, we can contrast the prepositional verb call on ('visit') with the phrasal verb call up ('summon'):

They called on the man
They called on him
*They called the man on
*They called him on

They called up the man
*They called up him
They called the man up
They called him up

On the other hand, the prepositional verb allows an inserted adverb after the verb and a relative pronoun after the preposition:

They called early on the man *They called early up the man
The man on whom they called *The man up whom they called
In general, prepositional verbs, such as call on or look at, plus their prepositional complements differ from single-word verbs plus prepositional phrases, as in They called at the hotel and They called after lunch, in that they allow pronominal questions with who $(m)$ for personal noun phrases and what for non-personal noun phrases but do not allow adverbial questions for the whole prepositional phrase:

They called on the man $\sim$ Who(m) did they call on?
$\sim$ *Where did they call?
They looked at the picture $\sim$ What did they look at?
$\sim^{*}$ Where did they look?
They called at the hotel (or after lunch) $\sim$ *What did they call at (or after)? ~ Where (or when) did they call?
Many prepositional verbs allow the noun phrases to become the subject of a passive transformation of the sentence:

They called on the man $\sim$ The man was called on
They looked at the picture $\sim$ The picture was looked at
Other prepositional verbs do not occur in the passive freely, but will do so under certain conditions, such as the presence of a particular modal:

Visitors didn't walk over the lawn
~? The lawn wasn't walked over (by visitors)
Visitors can't walk over the lawn
~The lawn can't be walked over (by visitors)
Other examples of prepositional verbs: ask for, believe in, care for, deal with, live on, long for, object to, part with, refer to, write about.

Like phrasal verbs, prepositional verbs vary in their idiomaticity. Highly idiomatic combinations include go into (a problem), 'investigate', come by (the book), ‘obtain'.
12.5

A sentence like He looked at the girl can be given two analyses. In one, there is an intransitive verb (looked) followed by a prepositional phrase (at the girl) functioning as adverbial. In the other analysis, implied in the previous section, the prepositional verb looked at is a transitive verb and the girl is direct object.

ANALYSIS 1:

ANALYSIS 2:


Analysis 1 (verb + adverbial) accounts for the similarity of such a sentence to others having a single-word verb and adverbial with respect to relative clauses and the positioning of adverbs:

The girl $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { at whom he looked/(who(m)) he looked at } \\ \text { to whom he came } /(\text { who(m) }) \text { he came to }\end{array}\right.$
$\mathrm{He} \cdot\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { looked nervously at the girl } \\ \text { stood nervously near the girl } \\ \text { *watched nervously the girl }\end{array}\right.$
Analysis 2 (prepositional verb + direct object) accounts for the similarity of the sentence to others having a transitive single-word verb with respect to passivization:

The girl was $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { looked at } \\ \text { watched } \\ \text { *stood near }\end{array}\right.$
The two analyses are equally valid ways of looking at the same sentence, and account for different aspects of it. In this chapter, in which we are concerned with complementation of the verb, we adopt the second analysis and consider prepositional verbs to be transitive verbs.

## 12.6

## Phrasal-prepositional verbs

Some multi-word verbs consist of a verb followed by two particles:
He puts up with a lot of teasing ('tolerates')
As with prepositional verbs, we can analyse these as transitive verbs with the following noun phrase as direct object. They allow pronominal questions and under certain conditions can occur in the passive:

He can't put up with bad temper $\sim$ What can't he put up with?
$\sim$ Bad temper can't be put up with
for long

As with single-word transitives and prepositional verbs, we cannot insert an adverb immediately before the object:
*He puts up with willingly that secretary of his though it is possible to do so between the particles:

He puts up willingly with that secretary of his
We look forward eagerly to your next party
In relative clauses and questions, the particles are positioned after the verb:
The party we were looking forward to so eagerly
Who(m) does he put up with willingly?
or (less commonly) the final particle can be brought into initial position:
The party to which we were looking forward so eagerly
With whom does he put up willingly?
Like phrasal and prepositional verbs, these multi-word verbs vary in their idiomaticity.

Other examples of phrasal-prepositional verbs: break in on (the conversation), 'interrupt'; cut down on (expenses), 'curtail'; get away with (such behaviour), 'avoid being reprimanded or punished for'; look down on (somebody), 'despise'; look in on (somebody), 'visit'; look up to (somebody), 'respect'; walk out on (the project), 'abandon'.

## 12.7

## Intransitive verbs

There are some verbs that are always intransitive, ie can never take an object:

Your friends have arrived
Other verbs can be either intransitive:
He smokes every day The tomatoes are growing well or transitive, with or without a change in participant role:

He smokes cigars every day He is growing tomatoes
In this book we regard verbs that can be either intransitive or transitive as belonging to two categories. We consider the relation between, for example, the intransitive verbs smoke and grow and the transitive verbs smoke and grow to be that of conversion.

## Intensive complementation

## 12.8

## Copulas

There is intensive complementation of the verb when a subject complement is present. The verb in such a sentence is a 'copula' or 'linking verb'. The most common copula is be. Other copulas fall into two main classes, according to whether the role of the subject complement is that of current attribute or attribute resulting from the event described in the verb. The most common of these are listed below. Most of them are used only with a subject complement that is an adjective phrase or a noun phrase with gradable noun head. Those that are commonly used with a noun phrase as well are followed by '( N )'.
'Current' copulas: appear, feel (N), look (N), remain (N), seem (N), smell, sound, taste
'Resulting' copulas: become ( N ), get (chiefly informal), go, grow, turn ( N ); make ( N only)

## 12.9

Noun and adjective phrases as subject complement
The copulas which allow the widest range as subject complement are be for current attribute and become for resulting attribute:

John $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { was } \\ \text { became }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { a doctor } \\ \text { healthier }\end{array}\right.$
Like the other copulas, be is commonly used to introduce a characterization or attribute of the subject, as in the example just given, but with complement noun phrases it also commonly introduces an identification of the subject:

John was the doctor (that I mentioned)
The verb feel has two copula uses. In the meaning 'have a sensation' the subject must be personal and the complement an adjective or gradable noun:

He felt foolish/ill/a fool
In the meaning 'give a sensation', the subject is concrete but without other restriction, the complement being adjectival only:

The table felt rough

## Note

[a] Look requires a visual feature:
The pit looked a danger to health
The smell $\left\{\begin{array}{l}* \text { looked } \\ \text { seemed }\end{array}\right\}$ a danger to health
Turn is used to indicate a change of occupation or allegiance: He turned plumber/Democrat/ traitor/nasty. Go, when its complement is a noun phrase, seems to be restricted to change in political allegiance: He has gone Democrat/socialist. Adjectival complementation is restricted to a few items, eg: go mad/bald. Both turn and go are normally disparaging, and with both the indefinite article is omitted before a noun phrase.
[ $b$ ] Where the subject is a clause, the subject complement must be an adjective phrase or a generic noun modified by an adjective:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { That he didn't come } \\ \text { To see him there }\end{array}\right\}$ was $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { strange } \\ \text { a strange thing }\end{array}\right.$
Usually, of course, this structure has extraposition: It was a strange thing ...

### 12.10

## Predicative adjuncts

The only copula that allows an adverbial as complementation is be (5.42). The adverbials, termed predicative adjuncts in this function, are mainly place adjuncts:

The children are at the zoo/... are outside
but time adjuncts are also common with an eventive subject:
The party will be at nine o'clock/... will be tonight
Other types of predicative adjuncts:
The two eggs are for you ['recipient' adjunct]
The drinks are for the journey ['purpose' adjunct]
The increase in food prices this year was because of the drought ['cause' adjunct]
Transport to the mainland is by ferry ['means' adjunct]

## Complementation of adjective phrase as subject complement

### 12.11

## Adjective complementation by prepositional phrase

Some adjectives (at least when used in a particular sense) require complementation by a prepositional phrase, the preposition being specific to a particular adjective:

Joan is fond of them
They are conscious of their responsibility
We are bent on a vacation in Mexico
Other adjectives that must be complemented by a prepositional phrase include the following, which are listed together with the required preposition: intent on, reliant on, averse to, liable to, subject to, inclined to, (un)familiar with.

Many adjectives can take such complementation but are not obliged to. Usually, the prepositions are specific to a given adjective or to a given kind of complementation:

They were afraid $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { of him ('They feared him') } \\ \text { for him ('They were anxious about him') } \\ \text { of leaving the house }\end{array}\right.$
He was $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { angry } \\ \text { pleased }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { at Mary('s) getting married } \\ \text { with Mary for getting married } \\ \text { about the wedding }\end{array}\right.$
As these examples show, the complement of the preposition can be an -ing participle clause, whose subject, if introduced, may or may not be a genitive. As well as the stylistic choice there can be differences in semantic implication. Thus,

I am angry at Mary getting married
could imply anger at Mary because she has got married (cf: I am angry at Mary for getting married) rather than merely anger at the marriage (cf: I am angry at the fact that Mary got married), which would be the obvious interpretation of ... angry at Mary's ...

When -ed participial adjectives are used, the constructions have active analogues:

John is interested in English grammar ~English grammar interests John
We were worried about the situation $\sim$ The situation worried us
He was surprised at her behaviour $\sim$ Her behaviour surprised him
The verbs in the active have a causative feature, eg: The situation worried us $\sim$ The situation caused us to worry.

## Adjective complementation by finite clause

Finite clauses as complementation may have
(a) indicative verb: I am sure that he is here now
(b) putative should: I was angry that he should ignore me
(c) subjunctive verb: I was adamant that he be appointed (formal in BrE )

An indicative verb is used if the adjective is 'factual', ie concerned with the truth-value of the complementation. An indicative verb or putative should is used if the adjective is 'emotive', ie concerned with attitude. A subjunctive verb or should (sometimes putative, but often obligational) is used if the adjective is 'volitional', ie expressing indirectly some command. The subjunctive is more usual in AmE in such cases, while BrE prefers should.

The finite clause is commonly a that-clause, but factual adjectives admit wh-clauses as well: I'm not sure why he came, I'm not clear where she went. Clauses introduced by whether or (less commonly) if are used with factual adjectives if the adjective is negative or has a negative sense:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { I'm not sure } \\ \text { I'm doubtful }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { whether } \\ \text { if }\end{array}\right\}$ he is here yet
Personal subject + copula + adjective phrase + finite clause:
factual adjective: I am aware that he was late
emotive adjective: He is angry that $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { they should be late } \\ \text { they are late }\end{array}\right.$ I am amazed that $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { he should have got the post } \\ \text { he got the post }\end{array}\right.$
volitional adjective: He was $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { keen } \\ \text { insistent }\end{array}\right\}$ that $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { they be present (formal } \\ \text { in } \mathrm{BrE} \text { ) } \\ \text { they should be present }\end{array}\right.$.
With emotive adjectives, the complementation expresses cause. Participial adjectives in this construction are commonly emotive adjectives.

### 12.13

Adjective complementation by 'to'-infinitive clauses
We distinguish five main types of construction in which the adjective phrase is followed by a to-infinitive clause. They are exemplified in the following five sentences, which are superficially similar, though, as we shall see, only 2, 3; and 4 are wholly concerned with adjective complementation:
(1) Bob is splendid to wait
(2) Bob is slow to react
(3) Bob is furious to hear it
(4) Bob is hesitant to agree with you
(5) Bob is hard to convince

In Types 1-4, the subject of the sentence (Bob) is also the subject of the infinitive clause. We can therefore always have a direct object in the infinitive clause in these four types if the verb is transitive. For example, for Type 1 if we replace intransitive wait by transitive make, we can have Bob is splendid to make that for you.

Type 1 (Bob is splendid to wait) has an analogue with a construction involving extraposition: It is splendid of Bob to wait. As alternatives to the adjective phrase, we can use a noun phrase that has as its head a degree noun or a generic noun modified by an adjective: David must be (quite) a magician to find such an apartment, Bob is a splendid man to wait.

In Type 2 (Bob is slow to react), the sentence has an analogue in which the adjective is transformed into an adverbial:

Bob is slow to react $\sim$ Bob reacts slowly
In Type 3 (Bob is furious to hear $i t$ ), the head of the adjective phrase is an emotive adjective (commonly a participial adjective) and the infinitive clause expresses causation:

Bob is furious to hear it $\sim$ To hear it has made Bob furious $\sim$ It has made Bob furious to hear it
I was excited to be there $\sim$ To be there excited me $\sim$ It excited me to be there

In Type 4 (Bob is hesitant to agree with you), the head of the adjective phrase is a volitional adjective. Common adjectives in this type are eager, keen, willing, reluctant. Along with Type 3, this type often admits feel as the copula.

In Type 5 (Bob is hard to convince), the subject of the sentence is the object of the infinitive clause, which must therefore have a transitive verb ( ${ }^{*}$ Bob is hard to arrive). We distinguish two subtypes:
(a) There is an analogue with a construction in which the adjective is complement to the infinitive clause:

Bob is hard to convince $\sim$ To convince Bob is hard $\sim$ It is hard to convince Bob
The adjectives used in this subtype are chiefly hard, difficult, impossible, easy, convenient. Unless there is ellipsis, we cannot omit the infinitive clause, and hence there is no semantic relation between the sentences Bricks are hard to make and Bricks are hard.
(b) There are no analogues of the kind that we have exemplified: The food is ready to eat (*To eat the food is ready), and we can generally omit the infinitive clause: The food is ready.

As with Type 1, we can use a noun phrase as an alternative to the adjective phrase: Bob is a hard man to convince; Bob is a pleasure to teach. In both (5a) and (5b), the subject of the sentence can be the complement of a preposition in the infinitive clause: He is easy to talk to, The paper is flimsy to write on.

See also 12.19 Note $b$.

## Transitive complementation

### 12.14

Monotransitive verbs require a direct object, which may be a noun phrase, a finite clause, or a non-finite clause (infinitive or participle clause). Prepositional verbs and phrasal-prepositional verbs do not admit as direct object that-clauses (whether that is retained or omitted) or infinitive clauses. We illustrate the possibilities and restrictions with the prepositional verb approve of:
> the meeting
> what had been decided
> Tom approved of meeting her
> *(that) they should meet
> *to meet her

However, the restriction involving that-clauses applies only if the that-clause is direct object, and hence the preposition can be retained in the passive (That they should meet was approved of), even in extraposition, where the preposition immediately follows the passive verb phrase (It was agreed to eventually that they should meet again soon).

## Note

Certain transitive verbs expressing causation of movement have an adverbial following the direct object, normally an adjunct of place:

The hostess showed me to the door He saw Mary home
John put the car into the garage
Mary placed/set a vase on the table
We kept them out of trouble

## Noun phrases as direct object

12.15

Direct objects are typically noun phrases. It is usually possible for the direct object of an active sentence to become the subject of a passive sentence, with the subject of the active sentence as the prepositional complement in an optional by-phrase:

The boy caught the ball $\sim$ The ball was caught (by the boy)
It is, however, usual to omit the by-phrase, often because it is irrelevant or unknown, as in

All the doors and windows have been locked and bolted
The Prime Minister was attacked last night during the debate
or because it is redundant in the context, as in
Jack fought Michael last night and Jack was beaten
The passive transformation is blocked when there is co-reference between subject and object, ie when there are reflexive, reciprocal, or possessive pronouns in the noun phrase as object:

John could see $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Paul } \\ \text { himself }\end{array}\right\}$ in the mirror
$\sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Paul } \\ { }^{*} \text { Himself }\end{array}\right\}$ could be seen in the mirror
We could hardly see each other in the fog
$\sim{ }^{*}$ Each other could hardly be seen in the fog
The other waitress wiped $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { the tables } \\ \text { her hands }\end{array}\right.$
$\sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { The tables } \\ * \text { Her hands }\end{array}\right\}$ were wiped by the other waitress

## Note

[a] A shift of meaning may accompany shift of voice in verb phrases containing auxiliaries that have more than one meaning, eg: shall, will, and can:

John cannot do it $\sim$ It cannot be done (by John)
In the active sentence can would normally be interpreted as expressing ability, whereas in the passive sentence it is interpreted as expressing possibility.
[b] With dynamic verbs we can distinguish between 'actional' passives, illustrated above in this section, and 'statal' passives. The latter express a state:
The house is already sold
Corresponding actives require an aspectual shift to the perfect:
Someone has already sold the house (*Someone already sells the house)
A sentence such as They were married is ambiguous between an actional interpretation (They were married in church yesterday) and a statal interpretation (They were married when I last heard about them).

### 12.16

A small group of transitive verbs, the most common of which is have, normally do not allow a passive transformation of the sentence:

They have a nice house
He lacks confidence
The coat does not fit you

Will this suit you?
John resembles his father

These verbs are sometimes considered to form a separate category of non-transitive verbs taking noun phrases as their complementation. They include 'reciprocal' verbs such as resemble, look like, equal (two times three equals six), agree with, mean ('Oculist' means 'eye-doctor'); verbs of 'containing' or their opposite, such as contain (The library contains a million books), hold (The auditorium holds over a thousand people), comprise, lack; and verbs of 'suiting', such as suit, fit, become (This dress becomes her). Contain and hold occur in a similar sense in the passive but without a by-phrase: A million books are contained in that library.

## Finite clauses as direct object

Like finite clauses as complementation of adjective phrases, finite clauses as direct object may have an indicative verb, putative should, or a subjunctive verb, depending on the class of the superordinate verb:
(a) factual superordinate verb, with indicative subordinate verb:

They agree that she is pretty
I know how he did it
He forgot why they complained
(b) emotive verb, with indicative verb or putative should:

I regret that $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { she should worry about it } \\ \text { she worries about it }\end{array}\right.$
(c) volitional verb, with subjunctive verb or should (not clearly differentiated between its putative and obligational uses):

I proposed that he $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { admit all applicants } \\ \text { should admit all applicants }\end{array}\right.$
Factual verbs that are used to convey an indirect question are followed by clauses with whether or (less commonly) if:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\begin{array}{l}\text { He asked } \\ \text { He doubted } \\ \text { He didn't know }\end{array}\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { whether } \\ \text { if }\end{array}\right\}$ they had arrived
A verb may belong to more than one class. For example, He suggested that she went is ambiguous: if suggested is a factual verb, she went is a factual report, whereas if it is a volitional verb, she went is a suggested action. Similarly, within the class of factual verbs, say may be used with both a that-clause and (more commonly in the negative or in a question) a whether/if clause: I didn't say that/whether they had arrived.

Examples of the three classes of verbs are listed.
(a) factual verbs: admit, agree, answer, believe, declare, deny, expect, hope, insist, know, report, say, see, suggest, suppose, think, understand factual verbs commonly followed by whether/if: ask, discuss, doubt, find out, forget, (not) know, (not) notice, (not) say, wonder
(b) emotive verbs: deplore, prefer, regret
(c) volitional verbs: command, demand, insist, order, propose, recommend, suggest

## Non-finite clauses as direct object

### 12.18

Among non-finite clauses as direct object, we distinguish between those with a subject and those without a subject, and within each type between infinitive and participle clauses:


### 12.19

## Non-finite clauses without subject

In non-finite clauses without an overt subject the verb is either an infinitive preceded by to or an -ing participle (but cf Note c). The implied subject is normally the subject of the superordinate clause. There are verbs which take
(1) only an infinitive clause:

$$
\text { John longed }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { to do } \\
* \text { doing }
\end{array}\right\} \text { homework }
$$

(2) only a participle clause:

$$
\text { John denied }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { having said } \\
* \text { to have said }
\end{array}\right\} \text { this }
$$

(3) either an infinitive or a participle clause:

$$
\text { John began }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { to write } \\
\text { writing }
\end{array}\right\} \text { a letter }
$$

Where both constructions are admitted, there is usually felt to be an aspectual difference that influences the choice. The participle construction generally implies 'fulfilment' and the infinitive construction 'potentiality':

He started $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { speaking and kept on for more than an hour } \\ \text { to speak but stopped because she objected }\end{array}\right.$
Another factor influencing the choice is that the participle tends to express the progressive aspect:

I heard the door $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { slamming all night long } \\ \text { slam just after midnight }\end{array}\right.$

The progressive aspect may also influence a preference for the participle after verbs of beginning, continuing, and ending, when multiple activities are involved:

He began $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { opening all the cupboards } \\ \text { to open the cupboards }\end{array}\right.$
While some verbs in this semantic group allow both constructions (begin, continue, cease, start), others allow only the participle construction (finish, go on, keep (on), stop).

For the three verbs forget, remember and regret, there is a temporal (and perhaps also modal) difference between the two constructions. The infinitive construction indicates that the action or event takes place after the mental process denoted by the verb has begun, while the reverse is true for the participle construction:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { I remembered to fill out the form ('I remembered that I was } \\ \text { to fill out the form and then did so') }\end{array}\right.$
I remembered filling out the form ('I remembered that I had filled out the form')
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { I forgot to go to the bank ('I forgot that I was to go to the } \\ \text { bank and therefore did not do so') }\end{array}\right.$
I forgot (about) going to the bank (rare without about; 'I forgot that I went to the bank')
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { I regret to tell you that John went away ('I regret that I am } \\ \text { about to tell you that John went away') } \\ \text { I regret telling you that John went away ('I regret that I told } \\ \text { you that John went away') }\end{array}\right.$
For one small group of verbs (deserve, need, require, and, less commonly, want), the choice involves a difference in voice, the participle construction corresponding to a passive infinitive construction:

Your shoes need $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { cleaning } \\ \text { to be cleaned }\end{array}\right.$
We list some common verbs according to the non-finite clauses that they allow, omitting the three small groups that we have discussed above:
verbs with infinitive only: agree, arrange, ask (see Note d), choose, decide, demand, deserve, expect, hope, learn, long, manage, mean, offer, pretend, promise, refuse, threaten, want, wish
verbs with participle only: deny, dislike, enjoy, fancy, finish, (cannot) help, keep (on), don't mind, miss, put off, risk, cannot stand, stop, suggest
verbs with infinitive or participle (mainly emotive verbs or verbs expressing striving. or lack of striving): cannot bear, delay, hate, intend, like, love, neglect, omit, plan, prefer, try

There is in general no passive for sentences whose object is a nonfinite clause without a subject. The exceptions are with a few verbs (notably agree, arrange, decide) and then only if there is extraposition:

They decided to meet in London $\sim$ It was decided to meet in London

## Note

[a] With verbs like need, the subject of the superordinate clause is not the implied subject of the participle clause, but rather its implied direct object: Your shoes need cleaning implies that you or someone needs to clean the shoes.
[b] We might consider here also several verbs with infinitive clauses which are not direct objects. With appear, happen, and seem, the infinitive clause is more plausibly seen as part of the subject: He appears to like the show ~ That he likes the show appears (true). The quasi-adverbial function of the main verb can be shown by the paraphrase $H e$ apparently likes the show. There are analogies with such adjectives as sure, certain, bound in relation to infinitive clauses: He is certain to like the show $\sim$ That he will like the show is certain $\sim H e$ will certainly like the show.
[c] The verb help can be followed by a construction with the bare infinitive: $I$ helped her (to) do it. Otherwise, the bare infinitive is found only in a few set phrases, eg: make do, make believe, (live and) let live, let go.
[d] Some factual verbs will permit as direct object a non-finite indirect question, but not of the yes-no type: He asked/inquired how to get there. Cf: He arranged/forgot when to do it.

## Complex transitive complementation

### 12.20

## Non-finite and verbless clauses with subject

When a clause as object in a monotransitive sentence (a) is non-finite or verbless, and (b) has its subject expressed, this subject behaves as though it alone were the direct object of the superordinate verb; it can therefore be the subject in a passive transformation. Compare (1a) and (2a) with (1b) and (2b):
(1a) Everyone expected that Mary would marry John
That Mary would marry John was expected by everyone (*Mary was expected by everyone would marry John)
(1b) Everyone expected Mary to marry John
Mary was expected by everyone to marry John
(*Mary to marry John was expected by everyone)
(2a) John thought that Mary was exceptionally clever
(2b) John thought Mary exceptionally clever
Mary was thought exceptionally clever
It is this divisibility of an essentially clausal object that is the outstanding characteristic of complex transitive complementation.

## 'To'-infinitive clauses with subject

12.21

Two classes of verb have to be distinguished as taking complex transitive complementation: factual and non-factual. With factual verbs the
subordinate clause normally has a stative verb and (especially when the subordinate verb is other than be) a finite construction is preferred in ordinary usage to the non-finite, except that the latter provides a convenient passive form. The attribute of be in this construction is required to be 'current':
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { John believed that the stranger was a policeman } \\ \text { John believed the stranger to be a policeman } \\ \text { The stranger was believed to be a policeman }\end{array}\right.$
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { The professor assumed that the student knew some French } \\ \text { The professor assumed the student to know some French (formal) }\end{array}\right.$
The student was assumed to know some French
Other common factual verbs: feel, find, imagine, know, suppose, think.
The non-factual verbs with this non-finite construction express a causative, volitional or attitudinal relationship with the subordinate clause. There is no restriction on the class of verbs in the non-finite clause and no stylistic restriction on its use:

John intended that Mary should sing an aria
John intended Mary to sing an aria
Mary was intended to sing an aria
With some of the superordinate verbs no finite-clause construction of this type is possible: notably, get, want, like:

John wanted Mary to play the piano
(*that Mary should play the piano)
Other common non-factual verbs: cause, expect, hate, mean.
When the subject of the subordinate clause is identical with that of the superordinate one, the non-finite construction is possible with factual and causative verbs only if the reflexive is expressed (as it commonly is with get):

I believed that I had won
I believed myself to have won (rare)
*I believed to have won
With volitional and attitudinal verbs, however, co-referential subjects are readily allowed but the subordinate subject cannot be expressed in the non-finite clause (cf 12.19):

I intended that I should go
I intended to go

## Note

A few verbs, get, hate, like, want, do not have a corresponding passive, while a few others, in particular say, occur only in the passive form of the construction:

He was said to come from Ireland ~*They said him to come from Ireland

### 12.22

Prepositional verbs with for use for to introduce a to-infinitive clause:
He arranged for Mary to come at once

The infinitive construction is therefore a direct object of the prepositional verb, which may be emotive or volitional. Some common verbs with this construction: ask, call, long, plan, wait.

## Note

Prepositional verbs that are ditransitive allow another object (perhaps also introduced by a preposition) to precede the infinitive clause:

He $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { telephoned } \\ \text { arranged with }\end{array}\right\}$ John for Mary to come at once
Cf: He telephoned John/He arranged with John for another meeting.

### 12.23

## Bare infinitive clauses with subject

Three causative verbs take a bare infinitive in their infinitive clause: have ('cause'), let, make:

They had/let/made Bob teach Mary
Some verbs of perception take the bare infinitive in the active: feel, hear, notice, observe, see, smell, watch. The verbs of perception also occur with the -ing participle clause (12.24):

I watched Bob teach(ing) Mary
In the passive, the bare infinitive is replaced by the to-infinitive: Bob was made to clean his room, They were heard to shout something. This does not apply to have and let, which have no passive, except for perhaps as in He was let go. Only let has a passive of the infinitive clause: They let Mary be taught (by Bob). With the verbs of perception, there is a passive with being (12.24): I watched Mary being taught (by Bob). For the passive corresponding to the infinitive clause after have and see as in I had Bob teach Mary $\sim I$ had Mary taught (by Bob), see 12.25.

### 12.24

## '-ing' participle clauses with subject

Verbs taking an -ing participle clause fall into two classes: those which permit the subordinate subject to be genitive (predominantly emotive verbs with personal nouns or pronouns) and those which disallow the genitive.

Genitive optional:
I dislike him/his driving my car
With this type, the subject of the subordinate clause cannot be the subject of the superordinate clause in the passive: ${ }^{*} \mathrm{He}$ is disliked (by $m e$ ) driving my car. When the superordinate and subordinate subjects are co-referential, the subordinate subject is not expressed: I dislike driving my car.

Genitive disallowed:

$$
\text { I found }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { him } \\
{ }^{*} \text { his }
\end{array}\right\} \text { driving my car }
$$

With this type, the subject of the subordinate clause can be the subject of the superordinate clause in the passive: He was found driving my car. When the superordinate and subordinate subjects are co-referential, the subordinate subject is expressed by the reflexive: I found myself driving my car.

Where there is a choice between -ing participle or infinitive (whether bare or to-infinitive), there is usually felt to be an aspectual difference that influences the choice (12.19):

I hate the door $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { slamming all night long } \\ \text { to slam just after midnight }\end{array}\right.$
Verbs taking a non-finite clause with subject may have
(1) only an -ing participle clause:

I started Bob cleaning the car
(2) either an -ing participle or a bare infinitive clause:

I watched Bob $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { doing his homework } \\ \text { do his homework }\end{array}\right.$
(3) either an -ing participle or a to-infinitive clause:

I hate Bob $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { working in the garden } \\ \text { to work in the garden }\end{array}\right.$
We list common verbs according to whether they permit or disallow the genitive, and within each class, we note the verbs which, in addition to the -ing construction, permit the infinitive construction, with or without $t o$ :
genitive optional: (1) -ing participle only: (cannot) afford, enjoy, forget, (not) mind, regret, remember, resent, risk, (cannot) stand; (2) -ing participle or to-infinitive: dislike, hate, like, love, prefer genitive disallowed: (1) -ing participle only: catch, find, keep, leave, start, stop; (2) -ing participle or bare infinitive: have ('cause); verbs of perception - feel, hear, notice, observe, see, smell, watch; -ing participle or to-infinitive: get, informal (I got Bob cleaning/to clean his room)

### 12.25

'-ed' participle clauses with subject
We can distinguish between three types of construction involving -ed participle with subject:
> causative/volitional verb: He got the house painted
> factual verb expressing an event: He saw the house painted
> factual verb expressing a current state: He found the house painted

Some of the causative/volitional verbs have analogous finite clauses with a subjunctive verb or should (12.17): He ordered that the house, (should) be painted. Similarly, the factual verbs have analogous finite clauses with an indicative verb: He saw the house painted $\sim H e$ saw that the house was being painted. He found the house painted $\sim$ He found that the house was painted. Have can be either causative or factual: thus He had two teeth pulled out is ambiguous between 'He caused two teeth to be pulled out' and 'He suffered the loss of two teeth'.

Common verbs of the three types are:
causative/volitional: get, order, have ('cause'), want
factual, expressing event: see, have ('suffer')
factual, expressing state: find, keep, leave
The factual verbs allow passivization:
The tourists found the chairs occupied
$\sim$ The chairs were found occupied (by the tourists)

## Verbless clauses with subject

### 12.26

In both the -ing and -ed clauses just considered, it is reasonable to see the non-finite clauses in many cases as resulting from ellipsis of infinitival be:

I hate him (to be) driving my car
They found the chairs (to be) occupied
With complementation by verbless clauses, we can also see underlying be clauses:

I consider $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { that John is a good driver } \\ \text { John to be a good driver } \\ \text { John a good driver }\end{array}\right.$
The two elements of such verbless clauses are thus in an intensive subject-complement relation, but since the whole construction is itself the object in the superordinate clause, we do not depart from the tradition of describing them as object and object complement respectively. As with other transitive sentences, the 'object' can be the subject in a passive transformation (John is considered a good driver), and as with other intensive clauses, the complement element can usually be realized by either a noun phrase or an adjective phrase:

He made the girl $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { his secretary } \\ \text { much happier }\end{array}\right.$
When the object complement is an adjective it may be a 'current' or a 'resulting' attribute. Verbs taking a current attribute include: call, consider, declare, find, have, keep, leave, like, prefer, think, want:

I called him stupid
I always have my coffee hot

Verbs taking a resulting attribute: get, make, paint, as well as call, declare, etc, in their formal 'performative' use:

I made her very angry
I declare the meeting open
Some combinations of verb and adjective resemble transitive phrasal verbs in that the adjective can precede or follow the noun phrase and (like the particle) cannot precede a personal pronoun:

She put the tablecloth straight
She put it straight
She put straight the tablecloth
*She put straight it

She put the tablecloth out
She put it out
She put out the tablecloth
*She put out it

Likewise, the adjective cannot be separated from the verb by an adverb as adjunct:

She quickly put the tablecloth straight
*She put quickly the tablecloth straight
*She put the tablecloth quickly *She put the tablecloth quickly straight

She quickly put the tablecloth out
*She put quickly the tablecloth out out

Make is commonly the verb in such combinations: make clear (the reason), make possible (the meeting), make plain (the difference). Among adjectives, open, loose, free, and clear are particularly common: push open, keep loose, shake free, leave clear. In many cases, there is a close meaning relationship between verb and adjective: cut short, wash clean, drain dry, pack tight.

The adjective retains its potentialities for modification:
He pushed the door wide open
She didn't wash the shirts as clean as Mary did

### 12.27

Many of the verbs mentioned in 12.26 as taking adjective phrases as object complement will also admit noun phrases (exceptions include get, have and put). When the object complement is a noun phrase it can, as with the adjective phrase, be 'current' or 'resulting'. In general, however, the noun phrase as current attribute is uncommon and somewhat formal (unless it is indefinite with a gradable noun head and hence with an adjectival quality):

They thought John the leader (rather uncommon)
They thought John a fool
As resulting attribute, on the other hand, the noun phrase is freely used:

They $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { elected } \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { made } \\ \text { appointed } \\ \text { named }\end{array}\end{array}\right\}$ John $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { (the) chairman } \\ \text { (the) ambassador to Peru }\end{array}\right.$

They made John a useful mechanic
The verbs appoint, crown, elect, and consider are commonly used with an alternative as construction:

They elected him (as) their leader
The following verbs are among those that can have complex complementation only with as or (less commonly) for: accept as, class as, describe as, intend as, interpret as, know as, mistake for, recognize as, regard as, take as/for, treat as, use as; for example: They recognized John as intelligent/their spokesman.

Most verbs taking a noun phrase as object complement will also admit an adjective phrase; outstanding exceptions include verbs of appointing such as appoint, choose, elect, name.
Note
The object complement may precede the 'direct object' when the latter is lengthy or requires special emphasis:

They will elect chairman anyone willing to serve
Limitedly, an analogous inversion can occur with the -ing and -ed clauses of $12.24 f$. Cf also 12.3.

## Ditransitive complementation

12.28

## Noun phrase as both indirect object and direct object

Ditransitive complementation involves two objects that are not in intensive relationship: an indirect object (normally animate), which is positioned first, and a direct object (normally concrete):


Indirect objects can be omitted without affecting the meaning or function of the rest of the sentence:

He gave the girl a doll $\begin{cases}\sim & \text { He gave a doll } \\ \chi & \text { He gave the girl }\end{cases}$
He bought the girl a white hat $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\sim \mathrm{He} \text { bought a white hat } \\ \nsim \mathrm{He} \text { bought the girl }\end{array}\right.$
They can usually be replaced by a corresponding prepositional phrase, which normally follows the direct object:

He gave a doll to the girl
He bought a white hat for the girl
We list some common verbs which allow the indirect object to be replaced by a prepositional phrase, the preposition concerned being indicated:
ask (a question) of (John), bring to, do (a favour) for, do (a disservice) to, find for, give to, leave for/to, lend to, make for, offer to, owe to, pay for, pour for, promise to, read to, save for, show to, teach to, tell to, throw to

A few verbs disallow the variant with a prepositional phrase: allow, refuse, wish. With allow and wish, it would be exceptional to have either of the noun phrases omitted.

One group of verbs (chiefly ask, owe, pay, teach, tell, show) taking ditransitive complementation allow either object to be omitted:

$$
\text { I paid John the money } \sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { I paid John } \\
\text { I paid the money } \\
\text { I paid the money to John }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Note

[a] The verb give allows considerable flexibility: the direct object can be abstract and the indirect object inanimate, though in such cases the latter has no variant with a prepositional phrase:

He gave the car a wash ('He washed the car')
$\sim{ }^{*} \mathrm{He}$ gave a wash to the car
Sentences with some ditransitive verbs have two passives:
He gave the girl a doll $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\sim \text { The girl was given a doll } \\ \sim\end{array}\right.$
Of these two passives, the first is. the more common. The second is usually replaced by the corresponding prepositional phrase:

A doll was given to the girl
[b] The verb make admits several different constructions:
monotrans: She made a cake
ditrans: She made him a cake ( $\sim$ a cake for him)
complex trans: She made him a good husband ( $\sim$ him into a good husband) intensive: She made a good wife
intensive with 'indirect object': She made him a good wife ( $\sim$ turned out to be a good wife to/for him)

### 12.29

## Ditransitive prepositional verbs

Ditransitive verbs whose direct object must be introduced by a preposition (ie ditransitive prepositional verbs) normally allow only one passive, with the indirect object as subject:

We reminded him of the agreement
$\sim \mathrm{He}$ was reminded of the agreement
They differ from most ditransitive verbs (cf 12.31) in frequently allowing the indirect object to be expressed alone: We reminded him (of the agreement).

Common verbs of this type enter into constructions of the form
accuse $X$ of $Y$, where with most of the verbs X is usually a person and Y is usually a thing:
charge with, compare to, congratulate on, convince of, deprive of, inform of, introduce to, pinish for, refer to, remind of, rob of, sentence to, treat to

But there are notable exceptions, such as explain $X$ to $Y$, where X would normally be a thing and Y a person.

With several verbs (eg: blame, provide, supply), either of the noun phrases in the complementation can follow the verb immediately, the other requiring a preposition:

She blamed John for the damage $\sim$ She blamed the damage on John
They provided the homeless with blankets $\sim$ They provided blankets for the homeless
They supplied the terrorists with guns $\sim$ They supplied guns for/to the terrorists

### 12.30

## Idiomatic expressions consisting of verb + noun phrase + preposition

Some verbs form an idiomatic unit when combined with certain noun phrases followed by certain prepositions and in this respect resemble many prepositional verbs (12.4). There are two passive forms of the sentence, since either of two noun phrases can become the subject of a passive sentence:

They had made good use of the house
$\sim$ Good use had been made of the house
$\sim$ The house had been made good use of (informal)
Other examples of the latter kind of passive (chiefly informal) are:
Mary realized she was being made fun of
Her beauty was made much of
Pretty girls will always be taken notice of
The children were taken good care of
The following list includes some common idioms consisting of $\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{NP}+$ prep:
catch sight of give place to give way to keep pace with lose sight of lose touch with lose track of
make allowance for make fun of
make a fuss over/about make room for
make use of
pay attention to
put an end to
put a stop to
set fire to
take account of take advantage of
take care of
take note of
take notice of

## Noun phrases as indirect object + finite clauses as direct object

With some verbs the indirect object is obligatory:
John convinced me that he was right
$\sim$ *John convinced that he was right
With other verbs, it can be omitted:
John showed me that he was honest
$\sim$ John showed that he was honest
Common verbs in this type of construction are listed according to whether the indirect object is obligatory or optional.
indirect object obligatory: advise, assure, convince, inform, persuade, remind, tell
indirect object optional: ask (+ indirect question), promise, show, teach, warn

The indirect object often occurs without the direct (cf 12.29).
The sentence can be passivized, with the indirect object as subject of the passive sentence: I was convinced that he was right. The verbs show and tell allow also the direct object to become subject of the passive sentence, though normally there is extraposition: That he was an honest man was shown (to me) ~It was shown (to me) that he was an honest man.

Some verbs require a prepositional phrase introduced by to instead of the indirect object. They all allow the omission of the prepositional phrase:

John mentioned (to me) that they were sick
They allow a passive form with the direct object becoming subject of the sentence, though normally there is extraposition: That they were sick was mentioned (to me) (by John) $\sim$ It was mentioned (to me) (by John) that they were sick. Common verbs used in this construction include admit, announce, confess, declare, explain, mention, point out, remark, report, say, state, suggest.
12.32

Noun phrases as indirect object + non-finite clauses as direct object
Many of the superordinate verbs in 12.31 will allow the clausal direct object to be a to-infinitive clause:

They persuaded John $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { that he should see me } \\ \text { to see me }\end{array}\right.$

This is possible only when the indirect object is identical with the subject of the direct object clause: thus, They persuaded John that Mary should see me has no corresponding form with a non-finite clause as direct object. The subject of the non-finite clause can become the subject of a passive superordinate clause:

John was persuaded to see me
Not all verbs taking a finite clause allow the non-finite clause as direct object but among the common verbs that permit both constructions we should mention ask (with wh-indirect questions), persuade, remind, teach, tell and warn. There are several verbs which permit the non-finite clause but which do not (or do not freely) admit the finite clause; for example, ask ( $=$ 'request'), encourage, force, help, and order:

Mary helped John to carry the bag
(*Mary helped John that he might carry the bag)
There is a superficial similarity between certain complex transitive and ditransitive examples:

> complex trans: He wanted Mary to teach Bob
> ditrans: He persuaded Mary to teach Bob

The difference can be seen when the subordinate clause is made passive:

| He wanted Bob to be taught by Mary | $[3,=1]$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| He persuaded Bob to be taught by Mary | $[4, \neq 2]$ |

This difference depends on the fact that, with complex transitive verbs, the infinitive clause (Mary to teach Bob) is direct object and Mary is not itself a constituent of the superordinate clause. With the ditransitive verb persuade, however, Mary as indirect object is indeed a separate constituent (the subject of the infinitive clause in this instance being only implied). In [4], this indirect object function is taken over by Bob, and hence the radically changed meaning.

## Note

When a wh-clause is object to a verb of stating, the subject is identical with the indirect object; with verbs of asking, however, it is identical with the superordinate subject:

He told them where to go (= where they should go)
He asked them where to go (=where he should go)

## THIRTEEN

## THE COMPLEX NOUN PHRASE

## 13.1

Just as the sentence may be indefinitely complex (11.1), so may the noun phrase. This must be so, since sentences themselves can be reshaped so as to come within noun-phrase structure. For example, the following sentences - simple and complex - can become one simple sentence with a very complex noun phrase as subject:
The girl is Mary Smith ..... [1a]
The girl is pretty[1b]
The girl was standing in the corner ..... [1c]
You waved to the girl when you entered ..... [1d]
The girl became angry because you waved to her ..... [1e]
The pretty girl standing in the corner who became angry
because you waved to her when you entered is Mary Smith ..... [2]

Moreover, starting from [2], we could unhesitatingly reconstruct any of the sentences listed in [1] - and in fact we could not understand the noun-phrase subject of [2] unless we recognized its component parts as they are set out in [1]. Yet [2] has introduced many changes. We have suppressed all or part of the verbs in [1b] and [1c] (different in tense and aspect); we have put the complement pretty of [1b] before the noun girl; we have replaced the girl of [1e] by who. The purpose of the present chapter is to state conditions governing such changes.

## 13.2

In describing complex noun phrases, we distinguish three components:
(a) The head, around which the other components cluster and which dictates concord and other kinds of congruence with the rest of the sentence outside the noun phrase. Thus, we can have [1], [2], and [3], but not [4]:

The pretty girl standing in the corner ... is ...
The pretty girls standing in the corner ... are ...
He frightened the pretty girl standing in the corner
${ }^{*} \mathrm{He}$ frightened the pretty lampshade standing in the corner

That is, there are no constraints affecting frighten and the pretty ... standing in the corner but only frighten and the head lampshade.
(b) The premodification; which comprises all the items placed before the head - notably adjectives and nouns. Thus:

The pretty girl
Some pretty college girls
(c) The postmodification, comprising all the items placed after the head - notably prepositional phrases, non-finite clauses, and relative clauses:

The girl in the corner
The girl standing in the corner
The girl who stood in the corner

## 13.3

## Restrictive and non-restrictive

Modification can be restrictive or non-restrictive. That is, the head can be viewed as a member of a class which can be linguistically identified only through the modification that has been supplied (restrictive). Or the head can be viewed as unique or as a member of a class that has been independently identified (for example, in a preceding sentence); any modification given to such a head is additional information which is not essential for identifying the head, and we call it non-restrictive.

In example [2] of 13.1, the girl is only identifiable as Mary Smith provided we understand that it is the particular girl who is pretty, who was standing in the corner, and who became angry. Such modification is restrictive. By contrast, if a man (in a monogamous society) says

Come and meet my beautiful wife
the modification beautiful is understood as non-restrictive. Again,
Mary Smith, who is in the corner, wants to meet you
has a non-restrictive relative clause since Mary Smith's identity is independent of whether or not she is in the corner, though the information on her present location may be useful enough. In these examples, the modification is inherently non-restrictive, since the heads in question - being treated as unique - will not normally admit restriction. But any head can be non-restrictively modified-

The pretty girl, who is a typist, is Mary Smith
Here the only information offered to identify the girl as Mary Smith is the allusion to her prettiness; the mention of her work as a typist is not offered as an aid to identification but for additional interest.

Modification at its 'most restrictive' tends to come after the head: that is, our decision to use an item as a premodifier (such as silly in The silly boy got lost) often reflects our wish that it be taken for granted and not be interpreted as a specific identifier.

## 13.4

## Temporary and permanent

There is a second dichotomy that has some affinities with the distinction between restrictive and non-restrictive but rather more with the contrast
of non-progressive and progressive in predication, generic or specific reference in determiners, or permanent and temporary in agentials.* Modification in noun-phrase structure may also be seen as permanent or temporary, such that items placed in premodification position are given the linguistic status of permanent or at any rate characteristic features. Although this does not mean that postmodification position is committed to either temporariness or permanence, those adjectives which cannot premodify have a notably temporary reference. Thus The man is ready would be understood as having reference only to a specific time and this corresponds to the non-occurrence of *The ready man. On this basis, we see that timidity and fear are contrasted in part according as the first is seen as permanent, the second as temporary:

A man who is timid $\sim$ A timid man
A man who is afraid $\sim{ }^{*}$ An afraid man
Just as some modifiers are too much identified with temporary status to appear in pre-head position, so there can be modification constrained to pre-head position because it indicates permanent status. Compare original in the original version and his work is quite original; in the latter, it would permit adverbial indication of time span (now, always, ...), as well as use in premodification.

## Postmodification

## 13.5

## Case in the relative pronoun

Case is used to indicate the status of the relative pronoun in its clause. There are two situations to consider. First, if the pronoun is in a genitive relation to a noun head, the pronoun can have the form whose:

The woman whose daughter you met is Mrs Brown
(The woman is Mrs Brown; you met her daughter)
The house whose roof was damaged has now been repaired
(The house has now been repaired; its roof was damaged)
In examples like the latter where the antecedent head is non-personal, there is some tendency to avoid the use of whose (by using, for example, the roof of which), presumably because many regard it as the genitive only of the personal who.

Secondly, with a personal antecedent, the relative pronoun can show the distinction between who and whom, depending on its role as subject of the relative clause or as object or as prepositional complement:

The girl who spoke to him
The girl to whom he spoke
The girl who(m) he spoke to
The girl who(m) he met

[^4]It will be noticed that when the governing preposition precedes its complement as in the rather formal [2], the choice of whom is obligatory. When it does not, as in the more informal [3], or when the relative pronoun is the object, as in [4], there is some choice between who or whom, the latter being preferred in written English and by some speakers, the former being widely current informally

## 13.6

## Relative pronoun and adverbial

The relative pronoun can be replaced by special adjunct forms for place, time, and cause:
That is the place where he was born
That is the period when he lived here
That is the reason why he spoke

There are considerable and complicated restrictions on these adjunct forms, however. Many speakers find their use along with the corresponding antecedent somewhat tautologous - especially [3] - and would prefer the $w h$-clause without antecedent.

That is where he was born
That is when he lived here
That is why he spoke
If how is used, such clauses cannot in any case have an antecedent noun:

That is how he spoke
Moreover, there are restrictions on the antecedent nouns that can occur in [1-3]. With [3], reason is virtually alone, and with [1] and [2], it is also the most general and abstract nouns of place and time that seem to be preferred. Thus while

The office where he works ... The day when he was born .. are acceptable to most users of English, others would prefer a prepositional phrase in each case:

The office $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { at which ... (formal) The day }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { on which ... (formal) } \\ \text { which ... at }\end{array} \text { which ... on }\right.\end{array}\right.$
or one of the less explicit forms that we shall now. be considering (The office he works at, The day he was born).

## Restrictive relative clauses

## Choice of relative pronoun

13.7

The most explicit forms of relative pronoun are typically used in non-restrictive relative clauses. In restrictive clauses, frequent use is made
of a general pronoun that which is independent of the personal or non-personal character of the antecedent and also of the function of the pronoun in the relative clause:

The boy that is playing the piano ... (or who)
The table that stands in the corner ... (or which)
The boy that we met ... (or who $(m)$ ) [3]
The table that we admire ... (or which)
The boy that the dog ran towards ... (or towards whom)
The table that the boy crawled under ... (or under which)
Provided the relative pronoun is not the subject of the relative clause, as in [1] and [2], a further option exists in relative clause structure of having no relative pronoun at all: the clause with 'zero' ( $\varnothing$ ) relative pronoun. The examples [3-6] could take this form:

The boy we met ... (who(m), that)
The table we admire ... (which, that)
The boy the dog ran towards ... (towards whom, who(m)/that ... towards)
The table the boy crawled under ... (under which, which/that ... under)

Some choice exists in placing a preposition which has a $w h$-pronoun as its complement (13.5); there is no such choice with that and zero, where the preposition must be postposed.

The choices are summarized in the diagram:



The $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { man at whom } \\ \text { table at which }\end{array}\right\}$ I glanced

## Note

Choices are not only connected with relative formality. Some prepositions cannot be postposed (*the meeting that I slept during). Who is often preferred to that when it is subject and when the antecedent is personal (people who visit me); but that is preferred to who $(m)$ when it is object, in part perhaps to avoid the who/whom choice (people that $I$ visit) When the verb in the relative clause is be, the complement pronoun must be that or zero (John is not the man he was). This example illustrates one of the
most favoured uses of zero: ie when the pronoun is object or complement, the subject is pronominal, and the relative clause is short. When the antecedent is long and complex, $w h$-pronouns are preferred:

I have interests outside my daily professional work which give me great pleasure

## 13.8

Just as that and zero are available when the relative pronoun is dominated by a preposition, so they can be used when the relative pronoun is part of a place, time, or cause adjunct. With place adjuncts, the preposition must usually be expressed:

This is the garden (that) he sunbathes in
This is the university (that) he works at
But with the time adjuncts, omission of the preposition is usual whether the pronoun is that or zero:

This is the time (that) he normally arrives (at)
Monday was the day (that) he left (on)
In many cases, indeed, omission of the preposition is obligatory, especially when the antecedent is itself the head of a time adjunct phrase.

He worked the whole time (that) he lived there
But when (less frequently and more formally) the pronoun is which, the preposition must be expressed in these instances and it would be usual to make it precede the pronoun (cf 13.6):

This is the time at which he normally arrives
Monday was the day on which he left
With cause and manner adjuncts, the usual pronoun is that or zero, and there is no preposition:

This is the reason (that) he came
This is the way (that) he did it
But with manner adjuncts, it would not be abnormal to find which with a preposition in a more formal style:

This is the way in which he did it

## 13.9

## Quantified heads

Beside the noun phrase the girls that he knew, we may have one in which the head is made quantitatively indefinite with the predeterminer such, the relative pronoun that being replaced by as:

Such girls as he knew were at the party
Compare: As many girls as he knew ... A further connection with comparative sentences can be seen in:


The loose non-restrictive relationship is often semantically indistinguishable from coordination (with or without conjunction) or adverbial subordination, as we indicate by paraphrases of the examples below. The repertoire of pronouns is limited to the $w / h$-items:

Then he met Mary, $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { who invited him to a party } \\ \text { and she invited him to a party }\end{array}\right.$
Here is John Smith $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { who }(m) \text { I mentioned the other day } \\ ; \text { I mentioned him the other day }\end{array}\right.$
He got lost on Snowdon, $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { which was enveloped in fog } \\ \text { when it was enveloped in fog }\end{array}\right.$
He got lost on Snowdon, $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { which he was exploring } \\ \text { while he was exploring it }\end{array}\right.$

## Note

As a determiner, which appears in non-restrictive clauses that are introduced especially by temporal adjuncts, but this is largely in formal style:

He emigrated in 1840, at which time there was much hardship and unrest

### 13.11

## Sentential relative clauses

One type of non-restrictive clause has as its antecedent not a noun phrase but a whole clause or sentence or even sequence of sentences. As with the clauses in 13.10, the relationship frequently resembles coordination, but these clauses are also very much like disjuncts. For example:

He admires Mrs Brown, which $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { surprises me } \\ \text { I find strange }\end{array}\right.$
$C f$ 'and this surprises me'; 'to my surprise'.
Quite often, which is used in these clauses as a determiner of factive nouns which represent the antecedent clause or sentence:

The train may have been held up, in which case we are wasting our time.

### 13.12

## Appositive clauses

The appositive clause resembles the relative clause in being capable of introduction by that, and in distinguishing between restrictive and nonrestrictive. It differs in that the particle that is not an element in the
clause structure (subject, object, etc) as it must be in a relative clause. It differs also in that the head of the noun phrase must be a factive abstract noun such as fact itself, proposition, reply, remark, answer, and the like. For example:

The belief that no one is infallible is well-founded
I agree with the old saying that absence makes the heart grow fonder

As with apposition generally, we can link the apposed units with be (where the copula typically has nuclear prominence):

The belief is that no one is infallible (... ǏS ...)
The old saying is that absence makes the heart grow fonder
Or we may replace deverbal nouns like belief by the corresponding verb plus object clause: He believes that no one is infallible. It will be noticed that these restrictive examples have the definite article before the head noun: this is normal but by no means invariable (except with a few nouns referring to certainty, especially fact):

A niessage that he would be late arrived by special delivery
Plural heads are also rare with appositive postmodification and are regarded as unacceptable, for example, with belief, fact, possibility.

## Note

Non-restrictive appositive clauses can less easily resemble relative clauses since irrespective of non-restrictiveness they still involve the particle that, in sharp contrast with nonrestrictive relative clauses:

This fact, that that is obligatory, should be easy to remember

## Postmodification by non-finite clauses

### 13.13

## '-ing' participle clauses

Postmodification of the noun phrase is possible with all three of the non-finite clause types, and the correspondence between restrictive relative and non-finite clauses will be illustrated.

The man who
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { will }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { write } \\ \text { be writing }\end{array}\right. \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { writes } \\ \text { is writing } \\ \text { wrote } \\ \text { was writing }\end{array}\end{array}\right\}$ the obituary is my friend

The man writing the obituary is my friend
But not all -ing forms in non-finite postmodifiers correspond to progressive forms in relative clauses. Stative verbs, which cannot have
the progressive in the finite verb phrase, can appear in participial form:

He is talking to a girl resembling Joan ('who resembles Joan' not '*who is resembling Joan')
It was a mixture consisting of oil and vinegar ('that consisted ..')

### 13.14

## '-ed’ participle clauses

Consider now the different versions of the following:
The only car that $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { will be repaired } \\ \text { is (being) repaired } \\ \text { was (being) repaired }\end{array}\right\}$ by that mechanic is mine
The only car (being) repaired by that mechanic is mine
Again, the latter will be interpreted, according to the context, as equivalent to one of the former. Thus:

The only car $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { repaired } \\ \text { being repaired } . \\ \text { repaired } \\ \text { repaired }\end{array}\right]$ by that mechanic $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { next wtek } \ldots \\ \text { now ... } \\ \text { on Tuesdays } \\ \text { before he left }\end{array} . .\right.$.
Another example:
Any coins found on this site must be handed to the police ('that are found ...' or, more precisely, 'that may be found ...')

The antecedent head is identical with the deleted subject of the -ed postmodifying clause as it is with the -ing construction, but in this case the participle concerned is as firmly linked with the passive voice as that in the -ing construction is linked with the active. Hence, with intransitive verbs, there is no -ed postmodifier corresponding exactly to a relative clause:

The train which has arrived at platform one is from York
*The train arrived at platform one is from York

### 13.15

## Infinitive clauses

The non-finite clause in
The next train to arrive was from York
could, in a suitable context, have precisely the same meaning as the relative clause which arrived. But the subject of an infinitive clause need not be the antecedent. It may be separately introduced by the for-device or it may be entirely covert:

The man for John to consult is Wilson
The man to consult is Wilson
where the latter non-finite clause could be understood, according to context, as '(The man) that you/he, etc should consult' or 'that everyone should consult'. Still more elliptically, the infinitive clause may omit also an entire adjunct phrase, as in

The time to arrive is 8 pm
A good place to stay is the White Hart
where a fairly common alternative is to introduce the relative pronoun and retain the infinitive clause:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text {... time at which to arrive ... } \\ \text {. place at which to stay ... }\end{array}\right\}$ (the subject obligatorily absent)
Compare the way in which to do it beside the way to do it.
Finally it should be noted that voice and mood are variable, the latter covertly:

The time to arrive (= at which you should arrive)
The case to be investigated (=that will or is to be investigated)
The money to buy food (= with which you may buy)
The procedure to be followed (=which must or should or will be followed)

### 13.16

## Non-restrictive postmodification

Non-restrictive postmodification can also be achieved with non-finite clauses:

The apple tree, swaying gently in the breeze, had a good crop of fruit ('which was swaying ...')
The substance, discovered almost by accident, has revolutionized medicine ('which was discovered ...')
This scholar, to be seen daily in the British Museum, has devoted his life to the history of science ('who can be seen ...''

These clauses can be moved to initial position without change of meaning, but in that case they can no longer be expanded into finite relative clauses. Indeed, they have an implicit semantic range beyond that of a relative clause. Thus the non-finite clause in this example:

The man, wearing such dark glasses, obviously could not see clearly could be a reduction of a relative clause 'who was wearing ...' or of a causal clause 'because he was wearing ...' or of a temporal clause such as 'whenever he wore ...'

[^5]
## Appositive postmodification

Appositive postmodification is fairly common by means of infinitive clauses. A restrictive example:

The appeal to join the movement was well received
which would correspond to the finite that people should join the movement. A corresponding non-restrictive example:

This last appeal, to come and visit him, was never delivered
There are cases of non-finite postmodification where no corresponding finite apposition exists:

Any attempt to leave early is against regulations
(*... that one should leave early ...)
He lost the ability to use his hands
In all these examples, the construction obliges us to infer the (often indefinite) subject of the infinitive clause from the context. But a subject may be explicitly introduced by a prepositional device:

The appeal for John to join ...
Any attempt by John to leave ...
Note
On -ing clauses in appositive structures, see 13.19.

## Postmodification by prepositional phrases

13.18

## Relation to more explicit modifiers

A prepositional phrase is by far the commonest type of postmodification in English: it is three or four times more frequent than either finite or non-finite clausal postmodification. The full range of prepositions is involved:

The road to Lincoln A tree by a stream
The house beyond the church

Two years before the war
A man from the electricity board This book on grammar
including the complex prepositions:
Action in case of fire
Passengers on board the ship and including those having participial form:

A delay pending further inquiry
Among the prepositions less commonly used in postmodification we should mention like in the sense 'resembling': 'The man like John is over there'. But it is common and fully acceptable in the sense 'such as':

A man like John would never do that

It is natural to relate such prepositional postmodifications to be sentences ('the man in the corner' $\sim$ 'the man is in the corner'), though in some instances more seems to be ellipted than the verb be. For example, we presumably need to regard

The university as a political forum
as related to a somewhat fuller predication:
The university is $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { acting } \\ \text { regarded }\end{array}\right\}$ as a political forum
Again, although there is no problem with
The present for John cost a great deal
(The present is for John)
we cannot interpret so straightforwardly
The man for the job is John (= the right man for the job ...) Again, it is not through be sentences that we must understand

The man with a red beard The girl with a funny hat but rather through have sentences ('The man has a red beard').

### 13.19

## The 'of'genitive

It is with have sentences that we find the most obvious resemblance when we turn to the commonest prepositional postmodification of all, the of-phrase:

A man of courage $\sim$ The man has courage
But many relationships find expression through the of-genitive, and one that deserves brief consideration here is the appositive relation which in fact resembles a be sentence:

The pleasure of your company $\sim$ Your company is a pleasure
Where the postmodification has an -ing clause, the subject may have to be inferred from the context or it may be identified with a premodifier of the head:

The hope of winning a prize ( $=\mathrm{X}$ hoped that X would win a prize).
John's hope of winning a prize (=John hoped that he would ...)
But a separate subject may be introduced:
John's hope of $\operatorname{Mary}(' s)$ winning a prize (= John hoped that Mary would ...)
On Mary versus Mary's here, see 11.18. Where the postmodification has a deverbal noun, a specified 'subject' must, of course, be genitive:

John's hope of Mary's arrival ( $=$ John hoped that Mary would arrive)

## Restrictive and non-restrictive

Prepositional phrases may thus be non-appositive or appositive, and in either function, they can be restrictive or non-restrictive:

This book on grammar (non-appositive, restrictive)
This book, on grammar, (non-appositive, non-restrictive)
The issue of student grants (appositive, restrictive)
The issue, of student grants, (appositive, non-restrictive)
But we must mention some limitations. The second example in each case is rare and rather awkward: non-restrictive appositives would more usually be without a preposition, as in

The issue, student grants
and would thus have the primary form described in 9.40 ff . On the other hand, if the ambiguous noun phrase

The issue of student grants
had its non-appositive meaning (objective of: 'someone issued student grants'), non-restrictive function would be rare and unnatural, plainly suggesting an awkward afterthought.

### 13.21

## Position and varied relationship

As with non-finite postmodifiers when non-restrictive, so with prepositional phrases, the non-restrictive function merges with adverbial expressions, compare

The children $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { in the room } \\ \text { on the bus }\end{array}\right\}$ talked and laughed loudly
which means 'Those children who were ...'
The children, $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { in the room } \\ \text { on the bus }\end{array}\right\}$, talked and laughed loudly
which may mean 'The children, who (by the way) were ...' or, on the other hand, 'The children, now that they were (safely ...)'. It is rather this latter implication that becomes uppermost if the prepositional phrase is moved into initial position:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { In the room, } \\ \text { On the bus, }\end{array}\right\}$ the children talked and laughed loudly
Again, the prepositional phrase in the following is poised between interpretation as non-restrictive postmodifier and as adverbial:

Money, in aid of the refugees, was collected from students and staff

In the former interpretation, the money collected was in and of the refugees, whereas in the latter, the act of collecting money was in aid of the refugees, since in this case the adverbial modifies the whole predication just as it would in initial position:

In aid of the refugees, money was collected ...

### 13.22

## Deverbal noun heads

We should not, however, exaggerate the difference between the prepositional phrase as adverbial and the prepositional phrase as postmodifier. The second of these should rather be regarded as a special instance of the first, depending for its interpretation on our ability to relate it to a sentence in which it is adjunct. In the following, for instance,
(a) A quarrel broke out in the morning over plans for the future both the prepositional phrases are introduced as adjuncts. If we wish to refer again to the quarrel, these adjuncts may now become postmodifiers:
(b) The quarrel in the morning ruined their friendship
(c) The quarrel over plans for the future was the reason for his resignation

The relation of postmodifier to adjunct may be even clearer if instead of (a) we take a sentence in which quarrel occurs as a verb:
(d) They quarrelled in the morning over plans for the future to which we also relate (b) and (c) but in this case through conversion of the verb. Such conversion should be distinguished from the process whereby (d) could become a non-finite clause as subject of sentences like (b) or (c):

Their quarrelling over plans for the future was the reason for his resignation

The subject of this sentence is a clause rather than a noun phrase, as we can see from the fact that in such cases adjective modification is often inadmissible. By contrast, a deverbal head will not permit premodifying adverbs:

The violent quarrel over plans for the future
*Their safe arriving in Cairo

[^6]13.23

Minor types of postmodification
We come now to some relatively minor types of postmodification. These are (a) adverbial modification; (b) the postposed adjective; and (c) the postposed 'mode' qualifier. For example,
(a) The road back was dense with traffic
(b) Something strange happened last night
(c) Lobster Newburg is difficult to prepare

In (a) we recognize some such sentence as 'The road which leads back (to London)', from which all but the subject and an important adjunct have been dropped. Similarly 'The way (which leads) in (to the auditorium)', 'The people (who are sitting) behind'
-In (b), we have in fact two subtypes. The first has been illustrated. The indefinite pronouns such as anybody, someone can be followed but not preceded by adjective modification. The pronouns concerned are the any-, some-, no- series plus one or two others (cf: what else, who next, etc). But we are not free to postpose with indefinites all modifying items that can be preposed with ordinary noun heads:
A party official is but not *Somebody party is waiting
waiting

Even adjectives need generally to be 'permanent' and hence eligible equally for attributive and predicative use; thus

Somebody timid rather than *Somebody afraid
The other subtype in (b) consists chiefly of the sprinkling of noun plus adjective phrases (modelled on French) like blood royal, heir apparent. These are of little importance in themselves, being infrequently used (though our ability to form names like Hotel Majestic suggests that they are more than mere fossils) and it is likely that the native speaker feels them to be very similar to compound nouns. Nevertheless, beside this subtype, there is a similar but much more general phenomenon. When a head is non-restrictively modified by a coordinated string of adjectives, it is common to postpose them:

A man, timid and hesitant, approached the official,
though the potential mobility of the string allows it to be detached from the noun phrase altogether. Even a restrictively modifying adjective can be postposed if it is itself modified (by an adjunct, not by the intensifier very):

A man always timid is unfit for this task (cf: *A man very timid)
This is particularly common where the modification is of a 'temporary' nature. Thus beside The finest available car, we have The finest car (currently) available.

With (c). we again encounter a French model: Lobster Newburg. Though virtually confined to cuisine, it is moderately productive within these limits, perhaps especially in AmE. In BrE one finds veal paprika and many others, but there is some resistance to this type of postposition with other than French lexical items, as in pâté maison, sole bonne femme.

Though technically a prepositional phrase phenomenon, expressions involving à la clearly belong here. It appears in culinary formations
like chicken à la king, and also (informally or facetiously) to designate style:

Another play à la Osborne has appeared, though I forget who wrote it

## Premodification

### 13.24

## Types of premodifying item

Holding constant a lexical frame (his ... cottage) and non-restrictive function, we have the following range of premodifying items:
(a) ADJECTIVE

I visited his delightful cottage
(His cottage is delightful)
(b) PARTICIPLE

I visited his crumbling cottage
(His cottage is crumbling)
I visited his completed cottage
(His cottage has been completed)
(c) $-S$ GENITIVE

I visited his fisherman's cottage
(The cottage belonged to a fisherman)
It should be noticed that if we had used a more normal genitive example (his uncle's cottage) we would have changed the relationship of his.
(d) NOUN

I visited his country cottage
(His cottage is in the country)
(e) ADVERBIAL

I visited his far-away cottage
(His cottage is far away)
(f) SENTENCE
(?) I visited his pop-down-for-the-weekend cottage (cf His cottage is ideal to pop down to for the weekend)

This type is largely playful and familiar. Somewhat more generally used are noun phrases which can be interpreted either as having a sentence as premodifier or as being object (usually of know) in an embedded noun clause:

He asked I don't know HÒW many people

## Premodification by adjectives

A premodifying adjective, especially when it is the first item after the determiner, can itself be premodified in the same way as it can in predicative position:

His really quite unbelievably delightful cottage
Some intensifiers tend however to be avoided with premodifying adjectives. Thus the predicative phrase in His cottage which is so beautiful would seem a little affected in premodification: His so beautiful cottage. With indefinite determiners, so would be replaced by such:

A cottage which is so beautiful $\sim$ Such a beautiful cottage
Or else so plus adjective would be placed before the determiner: So beautiful a cottage.

There is resistance also to transferring clause negation to a structure of premodification, and this is possible only in limited circumstances (usually not plus intensifier or negative affix) ${ }^{\text {- }}$

The dinner was not $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { very pleasant } \\ \text { unpleasant }\end{array} \sim\right.$ The not $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { very pleasant } \\ \text { unpleasant }\end{array}\right\}$ dinner

## Premodification by participles

## ‘-ing' participles

### 13.26

Everything here depends on the potentiality of the participle to indicate a permanent or characteristic feature. To a lesser extent, gradability (especially as indicated through intensification by very) is involved.

She has a very interesting mind
shows interesting as fully adjectival despite the direct relation to the verb interest:

Her mind interests me very much
But an item can be a premodifier and yet disallow very:
A roaring bull (*very roaring)
And the converse can be true:
The man was very $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { reassuring } \\ \text { shocked } \\ \text { surprised }\end{array}\right.$ ?He was a $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { reassuring } \\ \text { shocked } \\ \text { surprised }\end{array}\right\}$ man
This last example will illustrate the crucial significance of the 'permanence' characteristic; such participles can freely premodify nouns such as look, smile:

He greeted me with a very $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { reassuring } \\ \text { shocked } \\ \text { surprised }\end{array}\right\}$ expression
The man himself cannot have shock or surprise attributed permanently to him, but a particular look can of course be permanently associated with such a value. So too we may speak of a smiling face rather than of a smiling person. It is thus necessary to realize that we are not here concerned with particular participles so much as with their contextual meaning. A wandering minstrel is one habitually given to wandering, but if we saw a man wandering down the street, we could not say
*Who is the wandering man?
Again, someone who told good stories could be $a$ (very) entertaining person but one could not say this of someone who happened, at the moment of speaking, to be entertaining his friends with a good story.

### 13.27

As we have noted before (13.4), the indefinite article favours the habitual or permanent, the definite article the specific or temporary. Thus
?The approaching train is from Liverpool
is strange (especially in BrE ) but not
He was frightened by an approaching train
where we are concerned perhaps with what is characteristic in 'approaching trains'. Similarly, ?The barking dog is my neighbour's, compared with the quite normal, I was wakened by a barking dog. On the other hand, after an indefinite head has been postmodified by an -ing clause, the -ing participle can premodify the same head plus definite article•

A proposal offending many members ... $\rightarrow$ The offending proposal ...
In addition, the definite article may be used generically and hence evoke the same generality and permanence as the indefinite:

The beginning student should be given every encouragement

## '-ed' participles

### 13.28

Much of what has been said of -ing participles applies to -ed participles also, but there are additional complications. In the first place, the -ed participle can be active or passive, but as with postmodification (13.14) the active is rarely used in premodification. Contrast

The immigrant who has arrived with *The arrived immigrant
The vanished treasure ('The treasure which has vanished') and $A$ retired teacher are exceptional, but exceptions are somewhat more general when an active participle is adverbially modified:

The newly-arrived immigrant
Our recently-departed friend

Within the passive we must distinguish the statal from the actional or true passive; a statal example:

Some complicated machinery $\sim$ The machinery is complicated (*The machinery was complicated by the designer)
Here belong also born and some uses of hidden, married, troubled, darkened, etc, but in premodification they must either have 'permanent' reference or be adverbially modified: a married man, a newly-born child, a carefully-hidden spy. The last example illustrates a noteworthy general contrast between. -ing and -ed participles. Beside the similarity in postmodification

A spy, carefully hidden in the bushes, $\}$
A spy, carefully hiding in the bushes, $\}$
the latter unlike the former resists premodification
*A carefully-hiding spy

### 13.29

Most -ed participles are of the agential type and naturally only a few will easily admit the permanent reference that will permit premodifying use. We may contrast

The wanted man was last seen in Cambridge
(The man goes on being wanted by the police)
*The found' purse was returned to its owner
(The purse was found at a particular moment)
But a lost purse is grammatical, because although a purse is no longer regarded as 'found' after it has been retrieved, a purse will be regarded as 'lost' throughout the period of its disappearance. So too: the defeated army, a broken vase, a damaged car, its relieved owner. But not: ${ }^{*} a$ sold car, ${ }^{*}$ the mentioned article, ${ }^{*} a$ built house, ${ }^{*} a$ described man.

But there are exceptions which suggest that the semantic and aspectual factors are more complicated than here described. For example, although a sum of money can go on being needed, one does not normally say *the needed money. Modified by adverbs, of course, the starred examples become acceptable: a recently(-)sold car, etc.

Finally, modifiers in eed may be directly denominal and not participles at all: the vaulted roof, a fluted pillar, a wooded hillside. But constraints occur (perhaps dictated merely by semantic redundancy), such that there. is no *a powered engine, ${ }^{*} a$ haired girl, ${ }^{*}$ a legged man, though we have a diesel-powered engine, a red-haired girl, a long-legged man.
13.30

## Premodification by genitives

A noun phrase like a fisherman's cottage is ambiguous: the cottage belongs to a fisherman or belonged to a fisherman (or resembles the cottage of a fisherman). As distinct from a delightful cottage or a completed cottage,
the determiner need not refer forward to the nead: more usually, it refers only to the genitive. If the latter, then any intermediate modifiers between the determiner and the genitive must also refer only to the genitive. Thus

These nasty women's clothing
where these must predetermine the plural women's and the phrase must mean 'the clothing of these nasty women' and not 'the nasty clothing of these women' which would require the order These women's nasty clothing. If the former ('the clothing of ...') then an intermediate modifier will be interpreted as referring to the head. Thus

This nasty women's clothing
would mean 'this nasty clothing belonging to (or designed for) women'. Ambiguous instances are however common: an old man's bicycle (contrast: a man's old bicycle) could mean 'the bicycle belonging to an old man' or 'an old bicycle designed for a man' (or even 'a bicycle designed for an old man').

## Premodification by nouns

### 13.31

Noun premodifiers are often so closely associated with the head as to be regarded as compounded with it. In many cases, they appear to be in a reduced-explicitness relation with prepositional postmodifiers:

The question of partition $\sim$ The partition question
The door of the cupboard $\sim$ The cupboard 'door
A village in Sussex $\sim$ A Sussex village
But not all noun premodifiers have prepositional phrase analogues:
Bernard Miles was both actor and producer $\sim$ The actor-pro'ducer

### 13.32

Attention must be drawn to two important features in noun premodifications.
(1) Plural nouns usually become singular, even those that otherwise have no singular form:

The leg of the trousers $\sim$ The 'trouser leg
But while singularization is normal it is by no means universal (cf: arms race), especially with noun premodification that is not hardening into a fixed phrase or compound: The committee on promotions $\sim$ The pro'motions committee.
(2) According to the relationship between the two nouns, the accent will fall on the premodifier or the head: for example, An iron 'rod but $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ war story. The conditions under which the latter stress pattern is adopted are by no means wholly clear but they are also connected with the conventionalizing of a sequence in the direction of compounding.

A notable constraint against making postmodifying phrases into premodifying nouns is the relative impermanence of the modification in question. Thus while The table in the corner will readily yield The corner table, we cannot do the same with

The girl in the corner (spoke to me) $\sim^{*}$ The corner girl ...
We must insist again that this is not a property of the lexical item (in this instance, corner) but of the semantic relation. Premodification confers relative permanence which befits the assignment to a corner of a table or even a waitress, but not a girl as such.

## Multiple premodification

### 13.33

## With single head

The three types of multiple modification specified in 13.24 apply to premodification also. More than one premodifier may be related to a single head, with no grammatical limit on the number:

His brilliant book $\sim$ His last book $\sim$ His (...) book $\sim$ His last brilliant (...) book

This is however misleading in giving the impression that the multiple modifiers constitute an unordered and coordinate string. It usually follows a recursive process:

His book $\rightarrow$ His brilliant book $\rightarrow$ His [last (brilliant book)]
We would here mean that of several brilliant books we are speaking only of his last one; by contrast

His book $\rightarrow$ His last book $\rightarrow$ His [brilliant (last book)]
indicates that his last book was brilliant without commitment to whether any of his others were. In some instances, however, we do indeed have multiple modifications in which no priority among modifiers need be assumed; to these we may give separate prosodic emphasis or introduce commas in writing:

## His LÁST BRÍLLIANT BÒOK

or formally coordinate them. Thus there would be little difference between
His forceful, lucid remarks and His lucid (and) forceful remarks
When such coordinated modifiers relate to properties that are normally thought to conflict, the coordinator will probably not be and:

His handsome but dirty face His dirty but handsome face
13.34

With multiple head
Modification may apply to more than one head:

The new table $\} \sim$ The new table and chairs
The new chairs
The multiple head thus produced can now be subject to recursive or coordinate modification:

The new table and chairs $\rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { The beautiful new table and chairs } \\ \text { The new (but) ugly table and chairs }\end{array}\right.$
If we coordinated learned papers and books as in (He wrote) learned papers and books, we would suggest that learned applies to both papers and books. To clarify, we can either re-order (books and learned papers) or introduce separate determiners (some learned papers and some books).

### 13.35

## With modified modifier

We have already seen two types of modification with modified modifier:
His really quite unbelievably delightful cottage (13.25)
These nasty women's clothing (13.30)
In a third type, the noun premodifier can be itself premodified by either adjective or a noun and, if the latter, this can in turn be similarly premodified:

The office furniture $\rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { The small office furniture } \\ \text { The tax office furniture }\end{array}\right.$
The property tax office furniture

The house property tax office furniture

It should be noted, however, that if we were to introduce an adjective in this last noun phrase, already clumsy and improbable, (i) it would have to come immediately after the determiner, and (ii) it would normally be interpreted as relating directly to the head furniture rather than to house, the only other possibility:

The \{pleasant [<(house property) tax $\rangle$ office] furniture\}
This is not to say however that obscurity cannot exist or that noun premodifiers can modify only the next following noun. Consider $A$ new giant size cardboard detergent carton, where size does not premodify cardboard and cardboard does not premodify detergent but where the linear structure is rather:

A 〈new \{(giant size) [cardboard (detergent carton)]\}〉

## Other complexities in premodification

A friendship between a boy and girl becomes $A$ boy and girl friendship.
A committee dealing with appointments and promotions can readily be described as The appointments and promotions committee, while one whose business is the allocation of finance can be The allocation of finance committee.

A noun phrase in which there is noun premodification can be given the denominal affix which puts it into the 'consisting of class of adjectives while retaining the noun premodifier; hence, from party politics we have a party political broadcast.

Similarly, a noun phrase having a denominal adjective may itself take a denominal affix to become a premodifier in a noun phrase. For example, beside cerebral palsy (='palsy' of the cerebrum), we have cerebral palsied children which has the structure:
\{[(cerebral palsy)ed] children\} and not *[cerebral (palsied children)]

## Relative sequence of premodifiers

### 13.37

DENOMINAL AND NOMINAL
The item that must come next before the head is the type of denominal adjective often meaning 'consisting of', 'involving', or 'relating to', and this can be preceded by a wide range of premodifying items:

$$
\text { the }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { extravagant } \\
\text { pleasant } \\
\text { only } \\
\text { London }
\end{array}\right\} \text { social life } \quad \mathrm{a}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { serious } \\
\text { city } \\
\text { mere } \\
\text { United States }
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} \text { political } \\
\text { problem }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Next closest to the head is the noun premodifier, already exemplified with London and city in the foregoing examples. When two nouns premodify, one which corresponds to the head as object to verb will follow one relating to material or agency:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a } \left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { detergent } \\
\text { cardboard }
\end{array}\right\} \begin{cases}\text { container } \\
\text { carton }\end{cases} \\
& \text { a cardboard detergent }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { container } \\
\text { carton }
\end{array}\right. \\
& \mathrm{my}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { ciga'rette } \\
\text { gas }
\end{array}\right\} \text { lighter } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { my gas ciga'rette lighter } \\
\text { not } *_{\text {my cigarete gas lighter }}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 13.38

Classes of adjectives
Next before a noun modifier, the most important class of items is the adjective of provenance or style:
a French trade delegation Gothic church architecture
and preceding this type is the participle:
a carved Gothic doorway some interlocking Chinese designs
Preceding the participle, we have adjectives of colour:
a black dividing line
a green carved idol
These are preceded by adjectives of age, together with the premodifiers and postmodifiers that these and other freely gradable adjectives may have:
an old blue dress
a very young physics student
a really very elderly trained nurse a large enough lecture room

Next comes the large class that we may call 'general', except that between 'general' and colour (and usually all other modifiers to the right) comes the diminutive unstressed use of little. Thus, not *an old little blue ornament, but:

See Fig 13:1 which illustrates the relative positions of items in premodification.

## Note

There are many qualifications to the foregoing. The 'general' adjectives, for example, are not placed randomly but comprise several subclasses. We would prefer a small round table to ?a round small table; several thick even slices to several even thick slices; a fierce shaggy dog to a shaggy fierce dog; a tall angry man to an angry tall man; a brief hostile glance to a hostile brief glance. Evaluative or subjective adjectives frequently precede those that are relatively objective or measurable; size often precedes shape; within size, height often precedes girth. 'General' adjectives are themselves preceded by semantically weak items like nice, by non-predicable items like mere, by quantifiers, numerals, determiners and associated closed-system items.

Deter-general age colour participle provenance noun denominal head miners

| the the | hectic extravaga |  | . |  |  | London | social <br> social | life life |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a <br> a some | intricate | old | grey | crumbling crumbling interlocking | Gothic Chinese | church church |  | tower tower designs |
| $\stackrel{a}{\text { his }}$ | small heavy | new | green | carved |  | jade | moral | idol <br> responsi- <br> bilities |

Fig. 13:1 Examples of premodification sequence

## Discontinuous modification

### 13.39

It is not uncommon for the noun phrase to be interrupted by other items of clause structure. Note for instance the time adjunct between the head and postmodifier in the following:

You'll meet a man tomorrow carrying a heavy parcel
There are more striking examples.
I had a nice glass of beer but in an ugly glass
This is not as contradictory as it may seem, since it is only in the second noun phrase that glass is premodified by an adjective; in the first, it is better to regard glass of beer as a complex unit modified as a whole but with glass being less a concrete noun than a unit of measure. So too with a weak cup of tea, and phrases of the form kind/sort of $N$ which take premodifiers plainly related to $N$ rather than sort, both in semantics and in concord:

A big awkward sort of carton
?These big awkward kind of cartons

### 13.40

Discontinuous modification more aptly applies to examples like the following:
Comparable facilities to ours
Different production figures from those given earlier
The prepositional phrases here do not directly relate to the head (as they do in roads to London, people from the village) but to the premodifying adjective: 'facilities comparable to ours', 'figures different from those'. Compare also The tall man that I saw with The first man that I saw ( $=$ 'The man that I saw first'); 'An attractive scheme financially' ( $=$ 'A scheme which is financially attractive').

Most discontinuities, however, are brought about by interpolating a parenthesis or the finite verb of the sentence (where the noun phrase is subject) between the head and the postmodifier; and the usual motive is to correct a structural imbalance as in 'The story is told that he was once a boxer', or to achieve a more immediate clarity as in:

The woman is by the DÒOR, who sold me the TÍCKets and told me the play doesn't begin till THRÉE

## FOURTEEN

## FOCUS, THEME, AND EMPHASIS

## 14.1

In previous chapters, particularly Chapter 7, we have seen how English sentences are built up from various phrase types which serve a range of constructional functions within the grammar (subject, verb, adverbial, etc). We have also seen ( 7.9 ff ) how the elements which have these functions have also a different kind of function (a participant role) describable in terms such as 'agentive', 'recipient', 'attribute'. In this final chapter, we come to a third way in which one may view these parts of the sentence: as items which can be manipulated within the structure of sentences for different kinds of prominence. There are three kinds to be considered: focus, theme, and emotive emphasis. Studying these aspects of linguistic structure makes one aware of language as a sequentially organized communication system, in which judicious ordering and placing of emphasis may be important for the proper understanding of the message and its implications. For illustrative purposes, we generally use independent clauses which constitute simple sentences.

## Information focus

## 14.2

## End-focus and contrastive focus

We start by considering how the English language organizes a spoken message into units of information, as signalled by intonation. Each tone unit represents a unit of information, and the place where the nucleus falls is the focus of information. As the clause is the unit of grammar that most closely corresponds to the tone unit, the best way to consider the positioning of the information focus is to relate it to clause structure, taking examples in which clause and tone unit correspond in extent.

The neutral position of focus is what we may call END-FOCUS, that is (generally speaking) chief prominence on the last open-class item or proper noun in the clause:

Dylan Thomas was born in SWÀNsea
Special or contrastive focus, however, may be placed at earlier points, and so may fall on any of the non-final elements of the clause. For example:

Focus at S:
[Who was born in Swansea?] Dylan THÒMas was (born in Swansea)
Focus at V :
[Dंylan Thomas was married in Swansea, wasn't he?] NÒ, he was BÒRN in Swansea

Focus at $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$ :
[I hear you're painting the bathroom blue.] NÒ, I'm painting the LİVing-room blue
Focus at A:
[Have you ever driven a Cadillac?] YÈS, I've ÒFten driven one Contrastive focus can also be signalled by placing the nucleus on a final item which normally would not have end-focus; for instance, on closedsystem items like pronouns and prepositions:

Who are you working FÒR? (not with)
He was speaking to MÈ (not to $y o u$ )

## Note

The principle that focus normally comes at the end of a tone unit explains why a parenthesis (which is normally bordered by tone-unit boundaries) can be used rhetorically to throw emphasis on a word immediately preceding it:

And THis, | in SHORT, | is why I reFÚSED |

## 14.3

## Contrastive focus on words and syllables

The above examples show that whichever element is contrastive receives nuclear prominence on its last fully stressed syllable. Intonation can also focus more narrowly on a particular word of a phrase, rather than phrase of a clause:

DỲLan Thomas was born in 1914 (not ÉDward Thomas) I put them ÒN the bed (not ÚNder it)
or even on PART of a word, with a contrastive shift from normal word-stress:

I'm afraid that BǓreaucracy can be worse than AÙtocracy
Normally word-stress, and hence nuclear prominence, would fall on the second syllable: bü'reaucracy and au'tocracy.

## 14.4

## Given and new information

Focus is related to the difference between GIVEN and NEW information; that is to say, between information already supplied by context and information which has not been prepared for in this way. The focus, signalled by the nucleus, indicates where the new information lies, and the unit carrying such information has the nucleus in final position. Hence if the nucleus falls on the last stressed syllable of the clause (according to the end-focus principle), the new information could, for example, be the entire clause, or the predication of the clause, or the last element of the clause. In the following sentence, we mark three possible
extents of new information in the same sentence; each of the three questions indicates how much is already assumed by speaker and audience before the reply is made:

Whole clause is new (neutral information focus):
NEW
[What's on today?] We're going to the RÀCes
Predication is new:
NEW
[What are we doing today?] We're going to the RÀCes
Final adverbial is new:
NEW
[Where are we going today?] We're going to the RÀCes
By contrast, where the new item comes earlier in the clause, the prosodic form is distinctive:

## NEW

[Who's going to the races?] WE are going to the races

## 14.5

## Variation in the scope of new information

Different interpretations are also possible when the nucleus occupies the terminal part of a complex non-final element. Compare:

NEW
William WÒRDSworth is my favourite English PÓet (not John Keats)

NEW
William WÒRDSworth is my favourite English PÓet (not William Shakespeare)
If the nucleus comes on the final word, either the whole phrase or only the final part of it may be 'new'. 'New', therefore, may be varied in scope from a whole clause to a single word, or even to a single syllable.

## Note

[a] The second half of the complex fall-plus-rise pattern represents subsidiary information. eg: Pass me my CÒAT, JÓHN (where John is assumed to be present, although he has not been actually mentioned).
[b] Pre-final focus is habitual in some colloquial sentences, where the assumed 'givenness' of the final item derives from cultural norms; eg

The KÈTtle's boiling The MìLKman called
Is your FÁTHER at home? (Contrast Is your father OÚT?)
[c] There may be more than one contrasted element in the same clause. In the following there are three-

> DY̌Lan Thomas was born in nineteen-fourTĚEN in SWÀNsea, but HƯGH Thomas was born in eighteen-eighty-THREE in ÀNGlesey

## 14.6

## Focus on the operator

One type of focus so far ignored is focus on the operator, which often has the function of signalling contrast between positive and negative meaning. Where the verb phrase is without an itt... that can function as operator, $d o$ is introduced:
[A: I thought John worked hard.] B: But he DìD work hard.
[A: Why haven't you had a bath?] B: I HÀVE had a bath.
[A: Look for your shoes.] B: I ÀM looking for them.
[A: Surely he can't drive a bus?] B: NÒ, but he CÀN drive a CǍR.
When the operator is positive, the meaning is 'Yes in contrast to No'; when the operator is negative, the meaning is the opposite contrast:

So you HÀVEn't lost, after ÀLL! ('I thought you had')
The operator emphasizes positiveness or negativeness when it bears the focus (as it normally does) in elliptical replies:
[A: Have you seen my books?] B: No, I HÅVEn't.
[A: Does this bell work?] B: Yes, it DÒES.
With a rise or fall-rise intonation, focus on past and future auxiliaries often puts contrastive emphasis on the tense rather than on the positive/negative polarity:

He owns - or DǏD own - a Rolls RÒYCE
We've sold OÙT, but we WĬLL be getting some
Similarly, the nucleus on auxiliaries such as may and ought to often signals a contrast between the supposed real state of affairs, and a state of affairs thought desirable or likely:

The opinion polls MǍY be right ('but I suspect they're not')
My purse OUGHT to be HÈRE ('but it probably isn't')

## 14.7

## Ellipsis and substitution

We have referred to the use of the operator in elliptical replies (14.6). In general, an important reason for ellipsis is to focus attention on new information by avoiding repetition of given information:

I haven't spoken to your brother yet, but I will later today ( = will speak to your brother later today)
A: When are you seeing her? B: Tomorrow.
( $=$ I'm seeing her tomorrow)

A similar effect is achieved by substituting pro-forms for given information:
Give Joan the red cup and take the blue one for yourself (the blue one = the blue cup)
Susan won a prize last year and will do so again this year (will do so = will win a prize)

Ellipsis and substitution are useful in unambiguously marking the focus of information in written English, where intonation is absent.

## Voice and reversibility

## 14.8

## Voice, end-focus, and end-weight

Three factors contribute to the presentation of the content of a clause in one particular order rather than another. One is the tendency to place new information towards the end of the clause - the principle of end-focus (14.2). Another is the tendency to reserve the final position for the more complex parts of a clause or sentence - the principle of end-weight. Since it is natural to express given information briefly (eg by pronoun substitution), these two principles work together, rather than against one another.

A third factor is the limitation of possible clause structures to those outlined in 7.2, with their associated sets of participant roles (7.9 ff). These restrictions determine, for example, that an 'agentive' role cannot be expressed by an object or complement, but only by the subject, or by the agent of a passive clause. From this, one sees the importance of the passive voice as a means of reversing the normal order of 'agentive' and 'affected' elements, and thus of adjusting clause structure to end-focus and end-weight:

## A: Who makes these chairs? B: They're made by Ercol.

A finite clause as subject is readily avoided by switching from the active to the passive voice, in accordance with the principle of end-weight:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { That he was prepared to go to such lengths astounded me } \\ \text { I was astounded that he was prepared to go to such lengths }\end{array}\right.$

## 14.9

## Converses

Quite apart from the grammatical contrast between active and passive, the language possesses other grammatical or lexical means for reversing the order of roles:
> $\{$ An uncle, three cousins, and two brothers benefited from the will The will benefited an uncle, three cousins, and two brothers
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { An unidentified blue liquid was in the bottle }\end{array}\right.$
The bottle contained an unidentified blue liquid
A red sports car was behind the bus
The bus was in front of a red sports car
The items or sequences in italics are converses; ie they express the same meaning, but with a reversal of the order of participants. The second sentence in each case is generally preferable, since the element with the definite determiner, containing given information, would normally not take terminal focus.

## Theme and inversion

### 14.10

## Theme

The initial unit of a clause (with the exception of initial adverbials referred to in 14.11 Note) may be called its THEME. Apart from the last stressed element of clause structure (that which most naturally bears information focus), the theme is the most important part of a clause from the point of view of its presentation of a message in sequence.

The expected or 'unmarked' theme of a main clause is
(1) Subject in a statement: He bought a new house
(2) Operator in a yes-no question: Did he buy a new house?
(3) Wh-element in a wh-question: Which house did he buy?
(4) Main verb in a command: Buy a new house

The theme may be characterized as the communicative point of departure for the rest of the clause.

The two communicatively prominent parts of the clause, the theme and the focus, are typically distinct: one is the point of initiation, and the other the point of completion. The theme of a clause is 'given information' more often than any other part of it. Yet the two can coincide; for instance, when the focus falls on the subject:
[Who gave you that magazine?] BÌLL gave it to me

### 14.11

## Thematic fronting or 'marked theme'

One may take as theme of a clause some element not usually assuming that function. Elements placed initially for thematic prominence vary in style and effect.

In informal speech, it is quite common for an element to be fronted with nuclear stress, and thus to be 'marked' (or given special emphasis) both thematically and informationally:
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ as theme:
JOÈ his NÁME is
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}$ as theme:
RelaxÀtion you call it!
$\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$ as theme:
Really good CÒCKtails they made at that hoTÉL
It is as if the thematic element is the first thing that strikes the speaker, and the rest is added as an afterthought. The possible insertion of a comma suggests that the non-thematic part is almost a tag (14.36) in status: Joe, his name is.

A second type of marked theme is found in rhetorical style, and helps to point a parallelism between two units in the clause and two related units in some neighbouring clause of contrasting meaning:

Prepositional complement as theme:
His FÀCE I'm not FÓND of (but his character I despise)
$\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$ as theme:
...but his CHÅRacter I desPÌSE
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ as theme:
RĪCH I MÀY be (but that doesn't mean I'm happy)
Predication as theme:
(I've promised to do it,) so 'do it I SHÀLL
A as theme:

## In LONdon I was BÓRN, and in LŌNdon I'll DİE

Such clauses often have double information focus, one nucleus coming on the theme, and the other on a later (usually terminal) part of the clause.

One may thirdly distinguish examples characteristic of written English, and in which the marked theme seems to have the negative function of ensuring that end-focus falls on the most important part of the message: -

Most of these problems a computer could take in its stride To this list may be added ten further items of importance

[^7]14.12

SUBJECT-VERBINVERSION
Here comes the bus ( $\mathrm{A} V \mathrm{~S}$ ).
There, at the summit, stood the castle in its medieval splendour (A A V S A)
In went the sun and down came the rain ( $\mathrm{A} V \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~A} V \mathrm{~S}$ ) Equally inexplicable was his behaviour towards his son (C V S) 'Go away!' said one child; 'And don't come back!' growled another. (... V S, ... V S)

This type of inversion is mainly found in clauses of Types SVA and SVC where a normally post-verbal element is so tied to the verb that when that element is 'marked' theme the verb is 'attracted' into presubject position. The last example illustrates a different type of inversion, with verbs of saying.

## Note

Adverbial there in the second example is stressed, and so is distinguished from the unstressed existential there (14.19 ff), which can also appear in preverbal position.

### 14.13

SUBJECT-OPERATOR INVERSION

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { So absurd was his manner that everyone stared at him } & {[1]} \\
\text { Far be it from me to condemn him } \\
\text { Under no circumstances must the switch be left on } \\
\text { Hardly had I left before the quarrelling started } & {[2]} \\
\text { I worked and so did the others } & {[4]} \\
\text { Well may he complain of the misfortunes that have befallen him } \\
\text { (formal) } \\
\text { Throwing the hammer is champion William Anderson, a shepherd } \\
\quad \text { from the Highlands of Scotland }
\end{array}
$$

The inversion of [6] is decidedly literary in tone, and unlike the preceding examples, is optional. Normal subject-verb order, with the adverb following the auxiliary, would usually be preferred. Example [7] is a journalistic type of inversion, in which the predication is fronted in order to bring end-focus on a complex subject.

### 14.14

## Theme in subordinate clauses

In subordinate clauses, the usual items occurring as theme are subordinators, $w$-elements, and the relative pronoun that. Other items occur as theme only in idiomatic or literary constructions of minor importance:

Should you change your plan ..
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Keen though I am ... } \\ \text { Say what you will of him ... }\end{array}\right\}$
The first example is a conditional clause, and the others are conditionalconcessive clauses.

## Cleft and pseudo-cleft sentences

### 14.15

## CLEFT SENTENCES

A special construction which gives both thematic and focal prominence to a particular element of the clause is the cleft sentence, so called because it divides a single clause into two separate sections, each with its own verb.

Most cleft sentence statements begin with the pronoun it followed by the verb be, which in turn is followed by the element on which the focus falls. From a single clause such as John wore his best suit to the dance last night, it is possible to derive four cleft sentences, each highlighting a particular element of the clause:

S as focus:
It was JÒHN who/that wore his best suit to the DÁNCE last night
$\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$ as focus:
It was his best SÙIT (that) John wore to the DÁNCE last night $\mathrm{A}_{\text {time }}$ as focus:

It was last NìGHT (that) John wore his best suit to the DÁNCE
$\mathrm{A}_{\text {place }}$ as focus:
It was to the DÀNCE that John wore his best SÚIT last night
The cleft sentence unambiguously marks the focus of information n written English, where intonation is absent. The highlighted element has the full implication of contrastive focus: the rest of the clause is taken as given, and a contrast is inferred with other items which might have filled the focal position in the sentence. Thus each of the above sentences has an implied negative, which can be made explicit, as in the following examples:

It wasn't Jim; but John, who/that ...
It wasn't to the theatre, but (to) the dance ...
Apart from $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$, and A , the two less common clause elements $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}$ can marginally act as the focal element of a cleft sentence:
$\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{i}}$ as focus:

It was John (that) he gave the book
(but It was John (that) he gave the book to, or It was to John (that) he gave the book, with focus on John as prepositional complement, is more likely)
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}$ as focus:
It's dark green that we've painted the kitchen
V does not occur at all as focus, but the restriction is sometimes circumvented by using the verb in a non-finite form and substituting $d o$ for it in the second part of the sentence:
?It's teach(ing) that he does for a living

## Note

The introductory part of a cleft sentence is largely restricted to It is or It was though other forms of be occur

It must have been his brother that you saw

### 14.16

## THE 'RELATIVE CLAUSE' IN CLEFT SENTENCES

The finat part of the clause, after the focal element, is obviously close in structure to a restrictive relative clause: pronouns used in relative clauses (who, that, 'zero' pronoun) are also used to introduce cleft sentences, and they can be fronted, even from a position in a prepositional phrase:

It's the girl that I was complaining about (not the boy)
There are differences, however, from relative clauses, in that the $w h$-forms are rare in comparison with that and zero. Characteristic intonation is also different:

It was the DÒG I gave the WÁTer to ( $d o g$ is focus in cleft sentence)
It was the dog I gave the WÀTer to ( $d o g$ is head of postmodified noun phrase)

A further difference is that the focal element in cleft sentences may be an adverbial:

It was because he was ill (that) we decided to return
It was in September (that) I first noticed it
A $w h$-pronoun cannot be used at all in cleft sentences where the focal element is an adverbial.

## Note

[a] The cleft sentence structure can be used in questions, exclamations, and subordinate clauses

Was it for this that we suffered and toiled?
Who was it who interviewed you?
What a glorious bonfire it was you made!
He told me that it was because he was ill that they decided to return
[b] The focusing function of the cleft sentence may be compared with that of the additive and restrictive adverbs too, only, etc.

Like the cleft sentence proper, the pseudo-cleft sentence makes explictt the division between given and new parts of the communication. It is an SVC sentence with a $w h$-relative nominal clause as subject or complement. The following are virtually synonymous:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { It's a good rest that you need most } \\ \text { A good rest is what you need most }\end{array}\right.$
The pseudo-cleft sentence occurs more often, however, with the whclause as subject:

What you need most is a good rest
And it is less restricted than the cleft sentence in that, through use of $d o$ as pro-form, it permits marked focus to fall on the verb or predication:

What he's done is (to) spoil the whole thing
What John did to his suit was (to) ruin it
The complement or 'focus' of these sentences is normally in the form of an infinitival clause (with or without to).

Progressive or perfective aspect in the original sentence is regularly represented in the wh-clause of the pseudo-cleft sentence. With the progressive, the aspect is equally reflected in the non-finite clause and this is quite often the case also with the perfective:

He is ruining his health
$\rightarrow$ What he is doing is ruining his health
He has ruined his health
$\rightarrow$ What he has done is ruined his health
In other respects, the pseudo-cleft sentence is more limited than the cleft sentence. Only with what-clauses does it freely commute with the cleft sentence construction. Clauses with who, where, and when are sometimes acceptable, but mainly when the wh-clause is subject-complement:

The police chief was who I meant
Here is where the accident took place
But whose, why, and how, for example, do not easily enter into the pseudo-cleft sentence construction.

### 14.18

## Sentences of the pattern 'She's a pleasure to teach'

There is a type of construction that gives the emphasis of thematic position in the main clause to the object or prepositional object of a nominal clause. The item so fronted replaces anticipatory it as subject of the main clause (cf 14.23 ff ):

To teach her, is a pleasure $\rightarrow$ It's a pleasure to teach her

$$
\rightarrow \text { She's a pleasure to teach }
$$

It's fun for us to be with Margaret $\rightarrow$ Margaret is fun for us to be with

There is a similar construction for be sure and be certain, seem and appear, be said, be known, etc. In these cases, however, the corresponding construction with anticipatory it requires a that-clause, and it is the subject of the nominal clause that is fronted:

It seems that you've made a mistake
$\rightarrow$ You seem to have made a mistake

## Existential sentences

### 14.19

Existential sentences are principally those beginning with the unstressed word there, and are so called because when unstressed there is followed by a form of the verb be, the clause expresses the notion of existence:

There is nothing healthier than a cold shower ('Nothing healthier exists than a cold shower')
There is a regular relation of equivalence between existential clauses with there $+b e$ and clauses of the standard types. The equivalence applies, however, only if the clause of the normal pattern has (1) an indefinite subject, and (2) a form of the verb be in its verb phrase. We may derive existential clauses from regular clauses by means of a general rule-
subject + (auxiliaries) $+b e+$ predication
$\rightarrow$ there + (auxiliaries) + be + subject + predication
The subject of the original clause may be called the 'notional' subject of the there-sentence, so as to distinguish it from there itself, which for most purposes is the 'grammatical' subject.

Existential there is a device for leaving the subject position vacant of content; there may be regarded as an empty 'slot-filler'. As we have seen (14.10) the subject of a clause is thematic in typically conveying given information. But when the subject is an indefinite noun phrase, it introduces new information. Hence, in sentences like $A$ book is in the cupboard there is a certain awkwardness, which may be avoided by introducing there and postponing the indefinite noun phrase to a non-thematic position: There is a book in the cupboard.

Examples of the seven clause types (7.2) are:
SVC: Something must be wrong $\rightarrow$ There must be something wrong
$S V A$ : Was anyone around? $\rightarrow$ Was there anyone around?
$S V$ : No one was waiting $\rightarrow$ There was no one waiting
SVO: Plenty of people are getting promotion $\rightarrow$ There are plenty of people getting promotion
SVOC: Two bulldozers have been knocking the place flat $\rightarrow$ There have been two bulldozers knocking the place flat

SVOA: A girl is putting the kettle on $\rightarrow$ There's a girl putting the kettle on
SVOO: Something is causing her distress $\rightarrow$ There's something causing her distress

Passive versions also occur:
$S V_{\text {pass }}:$ A reception was held in his honour $\rightarrow$ There was a reception held in his honour
$S V_{\text {pass }} C$ : No shops will be left open $\rightarrow$ There'll be no shops left open

## Note

[a] The 'bare existential' sentence simply postulates the existence of some entity or entities. It has a simple clause structure there $+b e+$ indefinite noun phrase:

Undoubtedly, there is justice
[b] The rule that existential sentences should have an indefinite noun phrase as 'notional subject' prevents the derivation of sentences like *There's the money in the box from The money is in the box. This limitation can be waived, however, in answers to existential questions (actual or implied):

A: Is there anyone coming to dinner?
B: Yes, there's Harry and there's also Mrs Jones
Also acceptable is the indefinite exclamatory the followed by the superlative as in:
There's the oddest-looking man standing at the front door!
[c] Existential there occurs widely in dependent clauses:
Let me know if there's anyone waiting
It is also fronted as subject in a type of sentence discussed in 14.18:
There appears to be something wrong with the engine

### 14.20

## Existential sentences with 'relative clauses'

There is an important additional type of existential sentence which consists of there $+b e+$ noun phrase + clause resembling a postmodifying clause (cf 14.16). Such sentences can be related to sentences of basic clause types without the two restrictions mentioned in 14.19: the verb need not be a form of the verb be, and although there must be an indefinite element, it need not be subject:

Something keeps upsetting him
$\rightarrow$ There's something (that) keeps upsetting him
I'd like you to meet some people
$\rightarrow$ There's some people (that) I'd like you to meet
It is interesting that the pronoun that can be omitted even when it is subject of the 'relative clause', something not permissible according to the rule for normal relative clause formation.

## Existential sentences with verbs other than 'be'

We have finally to consider a less common, more literary type of existential clause in which there is followed by a verb other than be-

There exist similar medieval crosses in different parts of the country There may come a time when Europe will be less fortunate Not long after this, there occurred a revolution in public taste

This construction, which may be accounted for by a simple rule $\mathbf{S}+\mathbf{V} \rightarrow$ there $+\mathbf{V}+\mathbf{S}$ (where $\mathbf{S}$ is indefinite), is equivalent in effect and style to subject-verb inversion after an initial adverbial. One may notice that the there can be freely omitted in sentences of the structure $\mathrm{A}_{\text {place }}+$ there $+\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{S}$ :

In front of the carriage (there) rode two men in uniform
The notional subject of the sentence, again, usually has indefinite meaning, and the verb is selected from verbs of existence, position and movement (lie, stand, come, etc).

### 14.22

## Existential sentences with 'have'

Corresponding to the type of existential sentence discussed in 14.19 (there $+b e+\mathrm{S}+$ predication) there is a type in which the thematic position is not 'empty', but is filled by a noun phrase subject preceding the verb have (or especially in BrE , have got):

He has several friends in Paris
(cf There are several friends (of his) in Paris; Several friends (of his) are in Paris - Type SVA)
I have two buttons missing (on my jacket)
(cf There are two buttons missing ...; Two buttons are missing ... Type $S V$
They had a few supporters helping them
(cf There were a few supporters helping them; A few supporters were helping them - Type $S V O$ )

The subject of have refers to a person, thing, etc, indirectly involved in the existential proposition. Often the subject's role is that of 'recipient'; but the nature of the 'recipient's' involvement in the sentence can be very vague, and the more specific meanings of have (eg possession) are not necessarily implied. A sentence such as My friend had his watch stolen, in fact, indicates not possession, but lack of possession. The relation of the subject to the rest of the clause can often be expressed by other means, eg by a genitive:

He has a brother in the navy
(= There is a brother of his in the navy; A brother of his is in the navy)

Unlike the there-existential clause, the have-existential clause can have a 'notional subject' with definite meaning:

He has his eldest son in a boarding school
The car had its roof damaged
(cf *There was its roof damaged)
Furthermore, sentences with an underlying clause structure $S V A$ often have a pronoun prepositional complement which refers back to the subject of have:

He had his wife working for him
(cf His wife was working for him)
The trees had loads of apples on them
Have-existential sentences can also contain relative and infinitive clauses:
I've something I've been meaning to say to you
(cf There's something ...)
He has a great deal to be thankful for
The infinitive clause cannot have a subject introduced by for in this construction, as its semantic function has already been appropriated by the subject of have; contrast:

There's a great deal for him to be thankful for

## Postponement

## Extraposition

### 14.23

There are devices that have the effect of removing an element from its normal position, and placing it towards or at the end of the sentence. These devices of postponement serve the two principles of end-focus (14.2) and end-weight (14.8).

We use the term EXTRAPOSITION for postponement which involves the replacement of the postponed element (especially a nominal clause) by a substitute form.

### 14.24

EXTRAPOSITION OF A CLAUSALSUBJECT
A clausal subject is often placed at the end of the sentence, and the subject position is filled by the anticipatory pronoun it. The resulting sentence thus contains two subjects, which we may identify as the postponed subject (the clause which is notionally the subject of the sentence) and the anticipatory subject ( $i t$ ). A simple rule for deriving a sentence with subject extraposition from one of more regular ordering is:
subject + predicate $\rightarrow$ it + predicate + subject

But it is worth emphasizing that for clausal subjects, extraposition is more usual than the basic position before the verb-

SVC It's a pity to make a fool of yourself (cf To make a fool of yourself is a pity)
SVA It's on the cards that income tax will be abolished $S V \cdot$ It doesn't matter what you do
SVO: It surprised me to hear him say that
SVOC It makes her happy to see others enjoying themselves
$S V_{\text {pass }}$. It is said that she left for Europe yesterday
$S V_{\text {pass }} C \cdot$ It was considered impossible for anyone to escape

### 14.25

EXTRAPOSITION OF PARTICIPLE AND OTHER CLAUSES
Most kinds of nominal clause may be extraposed. A notable exception is the nominal relative clause; thus Whoever said that was wrong cannot be rendered *It was wrong whoever said that. Extraposition of a participle clause is possible:

It was easy getting the equipment loaded (cf Getting the equipment loaded was easy)
but is not very common outside informal speech. Informal examples frequently involve negative + use/good:

It's no use telling him that
It wouldn't be any good trying to catch the bus

## Note

[a] For certan constructions which have all the appearance of clausal extraposition (It seems/appears/happened/chanced/etc), the corresponding non-extraposed version does not occur. For example, there is no sentence *That everything is fine seems to correspond with It seems that everything is fine. In such cases, we may say that the extraposition is obligatory
$[b]$ Clauses with extraposed subject must be distnguished from superficially simailar clauses in which it is a personal pronoun or empty 'prop' subject It's geod to eat (eg 'This fish is good to eat'); II's lovely weather to go fishing

### 14.26

extraposition of a clausal object
In SVOC and SVOA clause types, nominal clauses can or must undergo extraposition from the position of object:
$\operatorname{SVOC}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { I find it exciting working here } \\ \text { (cf I find working here exciting: Working here is ex- } \\ \text { citing) } \\ \text { He made it his business to settle the matter }\end{array}\right.$
$\operatorname{SVOA}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{I} \text { owe it to you that she invited me } \\ \text { (cf I owe my invitation to you) } \\ \text { Something put it into his head that }\end{array}\right.$
Something put it into his head that she was an opera singer

## Postponement of object in 'SVOC' and 'SVOA' clauses

When the object is a long and complex phrase, final placement for end-focus or end-weight is often preferred in SVOC and SVOA clausetypes, but there is no substitution by it:
[A] Shift from $\mathrm{S} V \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}$ order to $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{V} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$ order:
He pronounced unimportant anything that did not concern him
[B] Shift from $S V O_{d} A$ to $S V A O_{d}$ :
I confessed to him the difficulties I had found myself in
We heard from his own lips the story of how he had been stranded for days without food

### 14.28

## Order of direct objects, indirect objects, and particles

There is a free interchange, provided there are no pronouns involved, between the two orderings
(a) $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}+$ prepositional phrase
(b) particle $+\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}+$ particle

The choice between the two is generally determined by the principles of end-focus and end-weight:
(a) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { The twins told mother all their SÈCrets } \\ \text { The twins told all their secrets to MOOther }\end{array}\right.$
(b) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { He gave all his heirlooms aWÀY } \\ \text { He gave away all his HĖIRlooms }\end{array}\right.$

### 14.29

## Discontinuous noun phrases

Sometimes only part of an element is postponed. The most commonly affected part is the postmodification of a noun phrase, the postponement resulting in a discontinuous noun phrase:

A rumour circulated that he was secretly engaged to the Marchioness (cf A rumour that ... circulated)
The time had come to decorate the house for Christmas
The noun phrase can be a complement or object, as well as subject:
What business is it of yours? (cf What business of yours is it?)

We heard the story from his own lips of how he was stranded for days without food

Discontinuity often results, too, from the postponement of postmodifying phrases of exception:

All of us were frightened except the captain

### 14.30

## Pronouns in apposition

In many cases, the postponed elements undergo postponement no doubt because their length and complexity would otherwise lead to an awkwardly unbalanced sentence. With another type of noun phrase, however, it is clearly to give end-focus rather than end-weight that the postponement takes place. This is the noun phrase with an emphatic reflexive pronoun (himself, etc) in apposition:

He himSĖLF told me $\rightarrow$ He told me himSĖLF
Did you yourSÉLF paint the portrait? $\rightarrow$ Did you paint the portrait yourSÉLF?

As the emphatic reflexive pronoun frequently bears nuclear stress, the postponement is necessary here if the sentence is to have end-focus. The postponement is possible, however, only if the noun phrase in apposition with the pronoun is the subject $\cdot$
$I$ showed Ian the letter myself
*I showed Ian the letter himself
(but of I showed Ian himself the letter)

## Note

With some other cases of pronominal apposition, it is customary to postpone the second appositive to a position immediately following the operator rather than to the end of the sentence:

They're none of them experts
They don't either of them eat enough
We've all made up our minds
Similarly both and each.
14.31

## Structural compensation

As part of the principle of end-weight in English, there is a feeling that the predicate of a clause should where possible be longer than the subject, thus a principle of structural compensation comes into force. With the $S V$ pattern, one-word predicates are rare, and there is a preference for expressing simple present or past actions or states by some other, circumlocutory means. For example, the verb sang is very
rarely used as a predicate in itself, although semantically complete. We may easily say He sang well or He was singing, but would rarely say simply He sang.

A common means of 'stretching' the predicate into a multi-word structure is the progressive aspect, as we have just seen. Another is the construction consisting of a verb of general meaning (have, take, give, etc) followed by an 'effected object'. The curt He ate, He smoked, or He swam can be replaced by He had a meal, He had a smoke, He had a swim. Similarly, the habitual use of the present or past in He smokes and He smoked can be expressed by an SVC structure: He is/was a smoker.

## Emotive emphasis

### 14.32

Apart from the emphasis given by information focus and theme, the language provides means of giving a unit purely emotive emphasis. We have noted in various chapters a number of features of this type. They include exclamations, the persuasive do in commands, interjections, expletives, and intensifiers, including the general clause emphasizers such as actually, really, and indeed. A thorough study of emotive expressions would take us into the realms of figures of speech such as simile, hyperbole, and irony. Here we confine ourselves to two devices which fall squarely within the province of grammar.

### 14.33

Stress on operators
If an auxiliary is stressed or given nuclear prominence, the effect is often to add exclamatory emphasis to the whole sentence:

That WÌLL be nice! What ÁRE you DÒING? We HÀVE enjoyed ourselves!

Auxiliary do is introduced where there would otherwise be no operator to bear the emphatic stress:

You DÒ look a wreck. He 'does look PÅLE. You 'did give me a FRǏGHT.

This device is distinct from that of placing information focus on the operator (14.6). In the first place, emotive emphasis on the operator is not necessarily signalled by pitch prominence: ordinary sentence stress can have a similar effect. Secondly, emotive emphasis has no contrastive meaning; by saying That WİLL be nice, for example, we do not imply that now or in the past things have been the opposite of nice. Further
intensification, if desired, can be achieved by placing an emphasizer such as really or certainly in front of the operator: It really does taste nice.

### 14.34

## Non-correlative 'so' and 'such'

In familiar speech, and especially perhaps in the speech of older women, stress is also applied to the determiner such and to the adverb so, to give exclamatory force to a statement, question, or command:

He's SÙCH a nice man!
Why are you 'such a BÀBY?
Don't upSÈT yourself 'so!
Again, for extra emphasis, the exclamatory word so or such may be given nuclear prominence: I'm SO PLÉASED. So and such in statements are almost equivalent to how and what in exclamations:

They're "such delightful children!
What delightful children they are!

## Note

Other words of strong emotive import may take a nuclear tone for special emotive force
I WìSH you'd LÍsten! I'm TĖRribly SÓRry!

## Reinforcement

14.35

Reinforcement by repetition and pronouns
Reinforcement is a feature of colloquial style whereby some item is repeated (either completely or by pronoun substitution) for purposes of emphasis, focus, or thematic arrangement. Its simplest form is merely the reiteration of a word or phrase for emphasis or clarity:

It's far, far too expensive
I agree with every word you've said - every single word
A reinforcing pronoun is sometimes inserted, in informal speech, within a clause where it substitutes for an initial noun phrase:

This man I was telling you about - he used to live next door to me
The speaker may insert the pronoun because the initial phrase is too long and unwieldy to form the subject of the sentence without awkwardness or danger of confusion or because he cannot in the act of speaking think of any way of continuing without restructuring the sentence and therefore decides to make a fresh start.

Noun phrase tags
The opposite case arises when a noun phrase tag is added to the end of a sentence in informal speech, clarifying the meaning of a pronoun within it:

They're all the SÀME, these yóung péople
The tag generally occurs in a separate tone unit, with a rising tone. It can be inserted parenthetically, as well as placed finally:

He's got a good future, your brother, if he perseveres
An operator is added to the noun phrase for greater explicitness in some dialects. We have therefore a tag statement rather than a tag noun phrase:

That was a lark, that was!
He likes a drink now and then, Jim does
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complement $2.5 \mathrm{f} ; 7.3 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{C}, \overparen{\mathrm{j}} ; \mathrm{ic} \mathrm{c}$ also object
complement, subject compiement
complementation of adjective $5.5 ; 12.11$-13
complementation of verb $7.3 ; 12.1$
complete 8.14 Note $a$
completely, maximizer 8.14
complex ellipsis 9.22
complex preposition 2.12 Note; 6.4
complex pro-forms for predicate 10.30-34
complex sentence $7.1 ; 11.1$
complex transitive complem^ntation 12.20-27
complex transitive verb 2.7
complex verb phrase 3.17
compound subordinators 11.7
compounds and premodification $13.31 f$
compounds, plural of 4.37
compromisers $8.16 f$
conceivably, minimizer 8.17 Note
concerning 6.42 Note b
concessive clause see adverbial clause
concessive conjuncts 8.50 ; $10.21 f$
concord 2.2; 7.18-30
between subject and complement 7.27
between subject and object 7.28
between subject and verb 7.18-26
of number 7.18-25
of person 7.26
pronoun concord $7.29 f$
concrete noun 2.16; 4.3
conditional clause see adverbial clause
conditional-concessive clause $11.23 \mathrm{ff}, 27 \mathrm{f}$
confetti 4.56
conjoin 9.7
conjunct $5.22,48 ; 8.2,50-53$
and preceding conjunction 8.53
as correlative 8.52
extended scope of 9.24
conjunction 2.12 ; $11.7 f$
and conjunct 5.48
considering that 11.7
contents 4.34
contingent adjective clause 5.10
contrastive focus 14.2-6
converses 14.9
co-occurrence restrictions on adverbials 8.13, $15,19,22,25$
coordinating conjunction see coordinator
coordination 9.7 ff ; 11.1
and modification in noun phrase $13.23 f$, $33 f, 36$
and subordination 9.8, 15
clausal and phrasal 9.9, 26
in relation to concord 7.18 Note $c, 21,23$
of adjectives 9.31, 13.33
of adverbials 9.31
of adverbs 8.8, 26
of demonstratives 9.28
of more than two clauses 9.15
of noun phrases 9.27-30, 13.34
of possessive pronouns 9.28 Note
of postmodifiers 13.23
of prepositional phrases 9.31
of subordinate clauses 9.13 Note, 14
see also asyndetic coordination, combinatory coordination; phrasal coordination; quasi-
coordinators; segregatory coordination, syndetic coordination
coordinator 9.7, 9-15
copulas 12.8
co-reference between subject and object 12.15
corps 4.55
corpus 4.48 Note
correlatives $8.11,32,529.16 f ; 117$
corrigendum 4.50
could see can
count nouns 4.2-4, 19
countability 4.2-4
crab 4.44
crisis 4.52
criterion 4.53
curriculum 4.50
customs 4.34
czar 4.25
d see have, will
'd (better) see had (better)
'd rather see would rather
$\operatorname{Dad}(d y) 4.25$
dare $3.14 f, 46$
dawn/daybreak 4.21
declarative 2.20
declarative question 7.49
declarative sentence see statement
deer 4.44
definite and indefinite $3.23 ; 4.20 ; 13.4$,
definite and indefinite articles 4.5-9, 20-30
definite article in existential sentences 14.19
Note $b$
degree see gradability
demonstrative 2.12; 4.90
denominal adjectives $5.17,20 ; 13.37$
dependent clause $2.5 ; 7.1$; 11.1 functions of 11.10
deserve 12.19
desideratum 4.50
designation apposition 9.42
despite 6.38
determiners 4.5-7
determiners and noun phrase $4.16-30 ; 13.4,9$ 25, 27
diabetes 4.21
diagnosis 4.52
dialects $1.2 f$
dimension-type 6.7
diminishers $8.16 f$
dinner 4.21
direct object $2.5 f, 7.2,8,14 f, 12.3,14-32$
direct speech 11.50
direction adjuncts $8.24-28$
discontinuity $14.29-30$
discontinuous modification 13.39
discourse reference $10.36-41$
diseases, names of 4.32
disjunct 5.22; 8.2, 46-49
extended scope of 9.24
see also attitudinal disjuncts, style disjuncts
distributive expressions 4.8
ditransitive complementation 12.28-32
ditransitive verb 2.7; prepositional 12.29
do, as operator 2.4 , pro-form $2.17,3.11,10.29$ -
34 ; in cleft sentences 14.15 , in imperatives
$7.60 f$, in negation 7.33, in questions 7.44,

52; in pseudo-cleft sentences 14.17; with emphasis 14.6
do it 10.30-34
do so 10.30-34
do that 10.30-34
doctor 4.25
double genitive see genitive
double negative 7.38
double, predeterminer 4.8
doubling of consonants before inflections 3.7
down $6.14 f, 20$
downtoners 5.33-39; 8.12, $16 f$
dozen, zero plural 4.45
dregs 4.34
dual gender 4.60
duck 4.44
duke 4.25
during 6.21
dusk 4.21
dwarf 4.39
dynamic 2.8, 16; 4.16; adjectives 5.19 ; verbs 3.27
each 7.25; 9.34; determiner 4.5; pro-form 10.27; universal pronoun 4.91
each other 4.86
earlier 8.31 Note $a$
earnings 4.34
echo 4.36
-ed clause 11.3
in noun phrases 13.14
in pseudo-cleft sentences 14.17
with subject 12.25
-ed participle 3.2, 6
'effected' object 7.14-16
either 4.94; $8.10 ; 9.16 ; 10.12,27,34$; adverb
7.35; determiner 4.5; pro-form 10.27; pronoun 7.24
either ... or 7.23, 26
elder, eldest 5.35
elect 12.27
element in clause 2.5; 7.2-17
element realization types 2.11
elf 4.39
elk 4.44
ellipsis 4.52
ellipsis 9.1-6
and co-occurrence of auxiliaries 9.21
and new information 14.7
and sentence connection 10.8, 29, 43-46
dependent on linguistic context 9.2-5
in adverbial non-finite clause 9.3
in adverbial verbless clause 9.3
in adverbial finite clause 9.2
in comparative clause 11.39
in coordination 9.13, 18-25, $27 f, 29 f$
in dialogue 10.43-46
in postmodifying participle clause 9.4
in supplementing clause 9.5
not dependent on linguistic context 9.6 of adverbial 9.24
of auxiliary 9.18-22
of complement $9.20,23$
of complement of prepositional phrase 9.25
of determiner $9.29 f$
of head of noun phrase $9.25 f, 27$
of initial word (s) 9.6
of object 9.20, 23
of postmodifier 9.30
of predication 9.20
of premodifier $9.29 f, 31$
of subject $9.13,18,20,22 f$
of verb 9.20-22
with adjective as head of noun phrase 5.6
see also complex ellipsis
elliptic genitive see genitive
else, conjunct 8.53; postmodifier 5.28 Note
embargo 4.36
emotive adjectives $12.12 f$
emotive emphasis 14.34-36
emotive verbs 12.17, 22
emperor 4.25
emphasizers $8.12 f, 15$; adjectives 5.14 ; adverbs 5.23, 33, 39
-en plural 4.41
enclitic negation and operator 7.34
end-focus 14.2-6, 8, 11, 24, $28 f$
end-weight 14.8, $23 f, 27 f$
endearments 7.32
English, the 4.18
enough 4.5, 13, 95; 5.5, $23 f ; 11.42$
entrails 4.34
enumerative conjuncts $8.50 ; 10.10$
equative conjuncts $8.50 ; 10.11$
equivalence apposition 9.40
-er ... than 11.7, 37 ff
erratum 4.50
evaluative adjectives 13.38
even 8.10
even if, even though 11.23, 26, 45
evening 4.21
eventive noun 7.12; 12.10
ever 7.35; 8.35 Note; intensifier 5.27 Note $c$
-ever 11.28
every 7.25; determiner 4.5
every-series 4.91; 7.25
except, except for, excepting 6.40
except that 11.7
exclamation 7.62
exclamatory adjective sentences 5.11
exclamatory question 7.55
exclusives 8.8
exemplification apposition 9.46
existential sentence 14.19-22; with have 14.22
extensive verb 2.7
extraposition 9.43 Note $b ; 14.18$, 23-26
extremely, maximizer 8.14 Note $b$
factive nouns and noun phrase 13.12
factual adjectives 12.12
factual verbs 12.17, 19 Note $d, 21,25$
fall 4.21
fall-plus-rise 14.5 Note $a$
far, farther, farthest 5.35, 38
Father 4.25
faux pas 4.55
feel 12.9, 23
few, a few 7.39; quantifier 4.13
few, fewer, fewest, pronoun 4.93, 95
finally, enumerative conjunct 10.10
finite clause 11.3; see also clause
finite clause as adjective complementation
12.12 ; as object $12.17,31$
finite verb phráse 2.11; 3.16
first, ordinal 4.12
first and foremost 10.10
fish 4.44
flamingo 4.36
flannels 4.33
flounder 4.44
flu, (the) 4.21
focus 4.48
focus 14.2-7
focus of negation 7.41
focus on the operator 14.6
focusing adjuncts $8.8-11$
foot 4.40, 45
for 9.9-15; as sentence connecter 10.24
for, preposition 6.22, 26, 29, 36, 38, 44; 7.6;
12.27; and postmodification 13.18
for, with infinitive clauses $11.3,17 ; 12.22$
for a start 10.10
for certain 8.13
for one thing ... for another (thing) 10.10
for sure 8.13
for fear (that) 11.31 Note
forget 12.19
form-classes 2.12-16
former, for noun phrase reference 10.40
formula 4.49
formulae 7.63
formulaic adjuncts 8.23
formulaic subjunctive 3.38
forum 4.50
free indirect speech 11.55
from 6.9, 20, 27, 30
fronting see theme
funds 4.34
fungus 4.48
further, furthest 5.35, 38
future 3.28-36
gallows 4.46
galore 5.5 Note b
games, names of 4.32
ganglion 4.53
gender 4.58-65
generall;, style disjunct 8.48 Note $a$
generic reference 4.16 ff
genitive 4.66-77; 6.37; and noun phrase
4.70-77; 13.30; choice of the of-genitive
4.73; choice of the s-genitive 4.72; descriptive
4.70; double 4.77; elliptic $4.75 f$; group
4.74; inflected $4.67 f$; in -ing clauses 12.11,

24 ; local 4.76; meanings of 4.70 ; objective
4.70; of origin 4.70; possessive 4.70, 87;
subjective 4.70 ; pronouns $4.79,86,88,96$
gentry 4.35
genus 4.48
geographical names and articles $4.29 f$
get 7.5; 12.21 Note, 27
give 12.28 Note $a$
given and new information $14.4 f, 10$
glasses 4.33
go, copula 12.9 Note $a$
good, comparispn of 5.35
goods 4.34
goose 4.40
gradability 4.3 Note; $5.19,33 f ; 8.15 ; 13.26$, 38
graffiti 4.56
grammatical concord see concord
great, non-predicative 5.14 Note $b$
greens 4.34
gross, zero plural 4.45
group genitive see genitive
grouse 4.44
guts 4.34
had/d better/best 3.45
half 4.39; predeterminer 4.7
halo 4.36
handkerchief 4.39
hardly 7.39; $8.16 f, 35$ Note
hate 12.21 Note
have 3.12 ; 6.37 ; 10.30 Note $a ; 12.23,25,27$
aspect auxiliary $3.19,22,24$
in existential sentences 14.22
have got to 3.44
have to 3.44, 46
he $4.83 ; 7.30$
head, zero plural 4.45
head 2.11; of noun phrase 13.2
headquarters 4.34, 46
heads 4.34
hear 12.23
Hebrides, the 4.30
help 12.19 Note $c$
her(s) 4.83, 87
here, for sentence reference 10.37
idiomatic uses 8.28 Note $a$
pro-förm for place adjunct 10.28
hero 4.36
herring 4.44
herself $4.84 f$
hierarchical relationship
of place adjuncts 8.27
of time frequency adjuncts 8.36
of time when adjuncts 8.33
him 4.83
himself $4.84 f$
his 4.83, 87
holidays 4.34
home 4.21
hoof 4.39
hospital 4.21
house 4.39
how, as premodifier 5.34; in direct questions
7.62 in exclamations 5.277 .63
however, conjunct 8.53
how long (for) 8.34
hundred 4.45, 97
hypothesis 4.52
hypothetical condition 11.25
hypothetical past tense 11.46
$I$, pronoun 4.79-82
identical, for noun phrase reference 10.38
identification apposition 9.43
if 9.26 Note; 11.7, 15, 23-25, 45; 12.12, 17
if only 11.25 Note $a$
ill, non-attributive 5.18, 35 Note
illnesses 4.21
imperative 7.57-61
imperative sentences see commands
impersonal subject: see it
in 6.7f, 20-22
in (actual) fact 10.23
in addition 8.10
in case 11.31 Note, 45
in front of 6.10
in order that/to 11.7, 31
in reality 10.23
in spite of 6.38
in that 11.7
(in) that way 10.28
incidentally 10.13
included 9.39
including 9.39
inclusion apposition 9.46
indeed, emphasizer 8.13 Note $b$
indefinite see definite
indefinite expressions of amount $4.13 f, 92-95$; 7.24
indefinite pronoun 4.78, 91-97; $7.24 f, 30,35 f f$
indefinite quantitative 4.13 f
independent clause 7.1; 11.1
index 4.51
indicative verb $12.12,17,25$
indirect + direct noun phrase object 12.28
indirect command 11.53
indirect exclamation 11.53
indirect object $2.6 ; 7.2,6,16 ; 12.28 f, 31 f$
indirect question 11.53
indirect (reported) speech 3.21, 46; 11.50-55
inferential conjuncts $8.50 ; 10.17$
infinitive clause 11.3 ; adverbial 11.35 ; and prepositions 6.2
infinitive, in noun phrase 13.15
inflection of adjectives and adverbs 5.35-38
influenza 4.21
information unit 14.2
information focus see focus
-ing clause $11.35,44$, as extraposed subject
14.25 ; in pseudo-cleft sentences 14.17 , in noun phrases 13.13; nominal 11.18 ; with subject 12.23 f ; without subject 12.19
-ing participle 3.2, 5
inherent adjectives 5.13, 19
initiator 5.49
inside 6.7 Note
instead 10.20
institutionalization 1.5
institutions 4.21
instrument adjuncts 8.19, 21
'instrumental' subject 7.10
intensification of negative 7.36
intensifiers 8.12-18, $12.2,14.32$
adverbs 5.23-27, $33 f, 39$
and their homonyms 8.18
intensifying adjectives $5.14,20,41$
intensive complementation 12.8-13
intensive relationship 7.6, 27
and apposition 9.36
intensive verb 2.7; 7.2
interjection 2.12
interrogative sentence see questions
into 6.8
intonation and punctuation for apposition 9.37; in negative sentences 7.41 ; in questions 7.44, 47-49, 52-56
intransitive verb $2.7 ; 7.10 ; 12.2,5,7$
inversion $2.2 f ; 11.9,25$ Note $d ; 14.12$-14
in subordinate clauses 14.14
inversion of subject and operator $8.5,11$, $9.16 f ; 11.9 ; 14.13$; of subject and operator with negation $7.37,39$; of subject and operator with questions $7.44,52$; of subject and verb $8.28 ; 14.12$
Irish English 1.6
irregular lexical verbs 3.10
it 4.81 , anticipatory subject $14.18,25$; ('prop') 7.13 ; as subject of cleft sentence 14.15 ; empty 14.25 Note $b$; for sentence reference 10.37; pro-form for place adjunct 10.28
its 4.81
itself 4.84
judge 4.25
just as ... so 11.33
just, restrictive 8.10 f ; time adjunct 8.31

## kibbutz 4.57

kind of 5.27 Note $b ; 8.16 ; 13.39$
kindly, formulaic adjunct 8.23
knickers 4.33
knife 4.39,
lady 4.25
larva 4.49
last but not least 10.10
last, ordinal 4.12
last $(l y)$, enumerative conjunct 10.10
lately 8.34
later 8.31 Note
latter, for noun phrase reference 10.40
lay 3.8
leaf 4.39
least 5.31, 38
less 5.31, 38
less ... than $11.7,37 f$
lest 11.31 Note
let 7.59; 12.19 Note c, 23
let's 7.59-61
letters 4.34
lexical equivalence and sentence connection 10.3, 47
lexical verbs 3.1, 3-10
lexicon 1.5
libretto 4.56
life 4.39
like (that) 10.28, 38; (this) 10.38; verb 12.21 Note
limiter adjectives $5.15,20,41$
limiters 8.8-11
little, comparison 5.38
little, a little 4.13, 93, 95; 7.39; 8.16
'll see will
loaf 4.39
local genitive see genitive
'locative' subject 7.12
locus 4.48
lodgings 4.34
logical connecters of sentences 10.9-24
long, time duration adjunct 8.34 Note $b$
look, copula 12.9 Note $a$
looks 4.34
Lords, the 4.34
louse 4.40
lunch 4.21
main clause 11.1
main verb as theme 14.10
make 12.19 Note $c, 23,26,28$ Note $b$
$\operatorname{man} 4.40$
mandative subjunctive 3.38
manner adjuncts $8.19 f$
manners 4.34
many, pronoun 4.95; quantifier 4.13
marked theme see theme
mass noun see non-count noun
matrix 4.51
maximizers $8.14 f$
may/might 3.14, 46; 7.42, 50
me 4.79, 83
meals 4.21
means 4.34, 46
means adjuncts 8.19, 21
measles, (the) 4.21
measure expressions $4.14 f ; 5.34$
medium 4.50
memorandum 4.50
mere 5.14 Note
merely, restrictive $8.10 f$
metaphorical use of prepositions 6.20

Midlands, the 4.30
midnight 4.21
might see may
million 4.45, 97
mine 4.87
minimizers 8.16
modal auxiliaries $3.14,17,40-47$; and indirećt speech 11.54 ; in questions 7.50 ; negation 7.42 modal past 3.39
'mode' qualification 13.23
modification of adverb $5.24 ; 8.8,14$ Note $a$,
21 Note, 23; of prepositional phrase 5.25;
see also postmodification, premodification
monotransitive complementation 12.14-27
monotransitive verb 2.7
mood 3.37-47
moose 4.44
more 5.31-33, 36-39; 8.14 Note $b$
more than 7.23 Note $b ; 9.35$
more ... than 11.7, 37-41
morning 4.21
morphology of verbs 3.3-15
most 5.31-33, 36-39; 8.14 Note b
Mother 4.25
motto 4.36
mouse 4.40
mouth 4.39
Mr/Mrs 4.25
much, amplifier 8.15 Note $b$; comparison of 5.38; pronoun 4.95
multiple modification in noun phrase see postmodification, premódification
multiple negation 7.38
Mum(my) 4.25
mumps, (the) 4.21
must(n't) 3.14, 44, 46; 7.42, 50; 11.54
mutation plurals 4.40
my 4.79, 87
myself $4.84 f$
name 12.27
names 4.23-30; 7.32
namely 9.39, 41
national standards of English 1.5-7
nationality words 4.18
near (to) 6.10 Note
nearly 8.16
nebula 4.49
necessity 3.42, $44 f, 47$
need( $n$ 't) $3.14 f, 46 ; 7.42,50 ; 11.54 ; 12.19$
negation 2.20; 7.33-42; in commands 7.60;
in questions 7.46, 55; of auxiliaries 3.11-15;
of auxiliary and of main verb 7.42 ; of
preposition 6.9, 32; transferred 11.56; see
also: neither, no, nor, not, clause negation,
focus of negation, scope of negation
Negro 4.36
neither 4.5, $94 ; 7.23 f, 26,35 ; 8.10 ; 9.16 f, 34$; 10.12, 27, 34

Netherlands, the 4.30
never 7.35, minimizer 8.17 Note (2); tıme
frequency adjunct $8.35 f$
new information $14.4 f, 10$
news 4.32
newspapers, names of $4.29 f$, headlines 7.65
next, enumerative conjunct 10.10 ; in temporal
series 10.6 ; ordinal 4.12
night 4.21
no, determiner 4.5; $7.36 f$, reaction signal 10.44
no-series, pronoun $4.92 f$
no matter wh- 11.28
no one 7.35 Note, 38
no sooner ... than 11.7
nominal clause $11.13-19$, as vocative 7.32
nominalization 2.16
non-assertive $2.20 ; 7.35,45 ; 11.25,42$
non-attributive adjectives 13.4 ; see also adjective, predicative only
non-count nouns 4.2-4, 19
non-finite clause $11.3 f$; as object 12.18-25, 32;
in the noun phrase 14.18
non-finite verb phrase 2.11; 3.16
non-gradable verbs 8.15
non-inherent adjectives $5.13,16,19$
non-predicative adjectives 13.38 Note; see also adjective, attributive only
non-progressive 2.8; 3.27
non-restrictive $13.3,10,16,20$
non-restrictive apposition 9.37, 40-46
non-restrictive relative clause 13.10 ; and coordination 9.35
none 4.94, 97; 7.24; pro-form 10.27
noon 4.21
nor $8.10 ; 9.16 f, 34 ; 10.12,34$
not $7.33-42$; in questions 7.46 ; negative proform 10.35
notice 12.23
notional concord 7.19-21, 24
notwithstanding 6.38
noun 2.12, $15 f$; in -ics 4.32; in -o 4.36, 56 ; classes of $4.2-4$; invariable in $-s 4.32,46$
noun phrase $2.11,4.1,13.1 f$
and other relationships 13.22
as subject complement 12.9
as direct object $12.14-16,28$
as time adjunct $6.25 f$
discontinuity 13.39 ; 14.29
head of 13.2
reference 10.38 -42
tag 14.36
noun premodifying in noun phrase $13.31 f$
now (that) 11.7
now, transitional conjunct 10.13
nowadays 8.31
nuclear prominence $14.2-5,33$
nucleus 4.48
number 4.31-57, 82; 7.18-30
numerals $4.96 f$
oasis 4.52
oath 4.39
oats 4.34
object $2.5 f, 7.2,8 f$
complement $2.6,7.2 f, 12.26 f$
restrictions 12.14 ; omission of 7.3
see also direct object, indirect object
objective case $4.79,83$
objective genitive 4.70
obligation 3.42-45
obligatory adjuncts 8.25
observe 12.23
odds 4.34
of 6.32 Note $b, 37,42$ Note $a, 43$, and the
genitive in postmodification 4.69-77, 13.19
indicator of appositıon $9.39 ; 13.19$
off 6.9
old, comparison of 5.35
on $6.7 f, 21,32$ Note $a, 42$
on account of 6.27
on condition that 11.24
on the contrary 1020
on tile one hand ... on the other hand 8.50
Note; 10.20
on to, onto 6.8
on top of $6.10 ;!0.10$
once, conjunction $11.7,21,45$
one, numeral $4.11 f, 96 f$; pro-form 2.17
pronoun 4.96
one another 4.86
only 7.39; 8.9, 11
open class 2.15
open-class quantifiers $4.14 f$
operator $2.3 f$, as predicate pro-form 10.29-34,
as theme 14.10; emphatically stressed 14.33 ,
focus on 14.6 ; in tags 14.36
optional elements $2.10 ; 7.4$
or 7.23, 26; 9.9-15, 16, 26
as sentence connecter 10.18
indicator of apposition 9.39
order of auxiliaries 3.17 ; of premodifiers 13.37
ordinals 4.12
as temporal adjectıves 10.6
for noun phrase reference 10.41
organisms, higher 4.64
orthography 1.4
other, ordinal 4.12, 86, 96
ought(n't) to $3.14,45 f ; 7.42,1154$
our(s) 4.79
ourselves 4.84
out of $6.9,20,27,43$
outside 6.7 Note
outskirts 4.34
over 6.10-13, 15-18, 22
ovum 4.50
owe 12.28
ox 4.41
pains 4.34
pajamas 4.33
pants 4.33
parallelism 14.11
parenthesis 4.52
participant roles 7.9-17
participial adjectives $5.46 f$; 12.11-13
participle 2.16; 3.2-6; in noun phrase $13.13 f$, 26-29; 'dangling' or 'unattached' 11.35
participle clause 11.3; see also: -ed clause, -ing clause
participle compound 5.47 Note $a$
particle $12.2 f, 6 ; 14.28$
particularization apposition 9.46
particularizers 8.8
particulars 4.34
partitive 4.15, 70, 77, 92
parts of speech 2.12-16
passive 6.3, 33, 7.5; 12.4-6, 15-16, 19-21, 23-26, 28 Note $a, 29-32$; in existential sentences 14.19 ; in relation to end-focus and endweight 14.8 ; restrictions on 12.15 ; verb phrase 3.17; see also actional passive, statal passive
past, preposition 6.15, 20
past perfect 3.24; 11.51
past tense 3.21-26; 11.46, $51 f$
path 4.39
patois 4.55
pay 12.28
'pendant' participle 11.35
penny 4.34 Note
people 4.35
perception verbs 12.23
perfect 8.14 Note $a$
perfect progressive 3.26
perfective aspect $3.22 ; 11.47 ; 13.28 f$
perfective particle 8.25 ; 12.2
perfective verb phrase 3.17
perfectly, maximizer 8.14 Note $a$
'permanent' modification 13.4, 26-29
permission $3.40 f, 47$
personal adjectival heads 4.18; $5.6 f$
personal names (with or without titles) 4.25; $7.31 f$
personal nouns (masculine, feminine) 4.59
personally, style disjunct 8.48 Note $b$
persuasive imperative (with do) 7.61
phenomenon 4.53
phrasal coordination 9.26-32; order in 9.32
phrasal-prepositional verb $12.6,14$
phrasal verb $12.2 f, 14$
pike 4.44
pincers 4.33
place adjectives and sentence connection 10.8
place adjuncts $8.24,29,45 ; 12.10,14$ Note, extended scope of 9.27
place adverbs 2.9
and noun phrase postmodification 5.28 , 13.23
and sentence connection 10.8
plague, the 4.21
plaice 4.44
plane 4.21
plateau 4.54
please, formulaic adjunct 8.23

## pliers 4.33

plural 4.31-57; 7.18-30; foreign 4.47-57; irregular 4.38-57; regular $4.36 f$; zero 4.42-46; invariable 4.31-33
position adjuncts $8.24-29$
in relation to subject and object 8.29
position
of adjuncts 8.45
of adverbials, defined 8.3
of amplifiers 8.14
of auxiliary verbs 3.17
of conjuncts 8.51
of disjuncts 8.47, 49
of downtoners 8.16
of emphasizers 8.13
of focusing adjuncts 8.9-11
of formulaic adjuncts 8.23
of place adjuncts 8.28
of process adjuncts 8.19
of subject adjuncts $8.22-23$
of time adjuncts $8.30-43$
of time duration adjuncts 8.34
of time frequency adjuncts $8.35-38$
of time when adjuncts 8.31-33
of viewpoint adjuncts 8.7
positive 2.20
positive orientation in questions 7.45
positive prepositions 6.8
possessive pronouns 4.87 ; 12.15
possibility $3.40 \mathrm{f}, 47$
possibly, minimizer 8.17 Note 1
postdeterminers 4.10
postmodification 13.2
by adjective 13.23
by adverbial $5.28 ; 13.23$
by clause $13.5-17$
by 'mode' qualifier 13.23
by non-finite clause 13.13-17
by prepositional phrase 13.18-20
by relative clause 13.5-11
multiple 13.33-38
postponement 14.23-30; of object 14.27, of
postmodification 14.29 ; of reflexive pronouns
14.30
postposed prepositions 6.3; in questions 7.51
postpositive adjectives $5.4 f ; 13.23$
potato 4.36
pound, zero plural $4.45^{\prime}$ Note
predeterminers 4.7-9
predicate 2.2
predication 2.3
predicative adjectives see adjective, predicative
predicative adjuncts $8.25,43 ; 12.10$
premises 4.34
premodification 13.2, 24
by adjective $13.25,37 f$
by adverbial $5.29 ; 13.24$
by genitive $4.72 ; 13.30$
by noun 5.45 ; 13.31 f
by participle $13.26-29$
by sentence 13.24
multiple 13.33-38
of adjective by adjective 5.6 f
of adjective by adverb $5.6,8,23,39$
of adjective by very $5.2,23,42$ Note $b, 46 f$
of comparatives and superlatives 5.39
premodified 13.35
structure of $4.7-15 ; 13.37 f$
preposition 2.12; 6.1
prepositional adverbs 6.5; $12.2 f$
prepositional complement 6.2
prepositional genitive 4.69
prepositional phrase 2.11 ; 6.2
as adjunct 6.27-36
as adjective complementation 12.12
as disjunct and conjunct 6.38-41
as equivalent of indirect object 12.28
as postmodifier 6.37; 13.18-20
of accompaniment 6.35
of cause 6.27
of concession 6.38
of destination 6.8, 11, 28
of exception 6.40
of 'having' 6.37
of ingredient or material 6.43
of instrument $6.32 f$.
of manner 6.31
of means 6.32
of negative condition 6.41
of place 6.7-20
of purpose 6.28
of reaction 6.45
of reason 6.27
of recipient 6.29
of reference 6.39
of respect or standard 6.44
of stimulus 6.34, 45
of subject matter 6.42
of support and opposition 6.36
of time 6.21-26
of time, omission of preposition in $6.25 f$
prepositional verbs $12.4-6,14,22,29$
present perfect 3.21
present subjunctive $3.37 f ; 11.25$ Note $b, 48$
present tense 3.20 ; referring to future 3.31 f ; 11.45
presently 8.31
president 4.25
presuming (that) 11.45
prison 4.21
process adjuncts 2.9 ; 8.19-21, 45
pro-forms 2.17
for adverbials 10.28
for noun phrases $10.26 f, 39$
for predicate and predication 10.29-35
progressive aspect $2.8,16 ; 3.17,19$
pronouns 2.12, 17; 4.78-95
assertive 4.92
case 4.79
concord $7.29 f$
demonstrative 4.90
gender 4.81
indefinite 4.78, 91-97; $7.24 f, 30,35 f f$
interrogative 4.89
negative 4.94
number 4.82
person 4.80
personal 4.83
possessive 4.87
reciprocal 4.86; 12.15
reflexive $4.84 f ; 12.15 ; \cdot 14.30$
reinforcing use of 14.35
relative 4.88 ; 13.5-8
universal 4.91
pronunciation 1.2
'prop' it 7.13; 14.25 Note [b]
proper nouns 4.2, 23-30
provided (that), providing (that) 11.7, 24, 45
proximity principle in concord 7.19, $24 f$
pseudo-cleft sentence 14.17
purely and simply 8.8
purely, restrictive 8.10
put 12.27
putative meaning 11.49 ; see also should
pyjamas 4.33
quantification and noun phrase 4.13-15; 13.9
quantifiers $4.13-15,93-95 ; 8.18,38$
quarters 4.34
quasi-agents 6.34
quasi-coordinators 9.35
questions $2.18 f ; 7.44-56$
see also focus of interrogation, tag question
quite 5.27
radius 4.48
rarely 7.39
rather 5.27; intensifier 8.16
rather than 9.35; 11.7, 34
're see be
reaction signals 5.49
real and unreal condition 11.25
really 10.23
recently 8.34
'recipient' role 2.6; 7.11
reciprocal pronouns $4.86 ; 12.15$
recursiveness 13.33
reduced relative clause $9.4,41 ; 13.1$
reference and the articles $4.16-30$
reflexive pronouns $4.84 f ; 12.15,21 ; 14.30$
reformulation apposition 9.44
reformulatory conjuncts $8.50 ; 10.18$
refuse 12.28
regards 4.34
regional variation $1.2 f$
regret 12.19
regular verbs 3.3-9
reindeer 4.44
reinforcement 14.35
reinforcing conjuncts $8.50 ; 10.11$
relative clauses $13.5-11$
in existential sentences 14.20
in relation to cleft sentence 14.16
nominal 7.18; 11.16; 14.25
prepositions in 6.3
with sentential antecedent 13.11
relative pronouns 4.88; 13.5-8
and adverbial 13.6
choice of 13.7 f
in cleft sentences 14.16
remains 4.34
remember 12.19
repetition 5.33; 14.35
replacive conjuncts $8.50 ; 10.18$
require 12.19
respective(ly) 9.34
restrictive 13.3, 7-9, 13-15, 20
apposition 9.37, 47-49
result conjuncts 10.16
resultative use of prepositions 6.16
rhetorical question 7.56
riches 4.34
round $6.14 f$
's see be, have
salmon 4.44
same, for noun phrase reference 10.38
savings 4.34
say 12.21 Note
scales 4.33
scarcely 7.39; $8.16 f$
scarf 4.39
school 4.21
sciences, names of 4.32
scissors 4.33
scope of negation $7.38,40$
Scots 1.6
sea 4.21
seasons 4.21
see 12.23
seeing (that) 11.7, 30
seem 12.19 Note b
segregatory coordination $9.33 f$
seldom 7.39
self 4.39, 84
selfsame, for noun phrase reference 10.38
semantic blends in adverbs 8.20 Note
sentence or clause reference 10.37
sentence, simple 7.1
sentence-structure types $2.10 ; 7.2$
sentential relative clause 13.11
series 4.46
several 4.95 ; quantifier 4.13
$s$-form of the verb $3.2,5,8$
shall/should 3.14, 29, 33, 42, 46; 7.42, 50
she 4.79-83; 7.29 f
sheaf 4.39
shears 4.33
sheath 4.39
sheep 4.44
sheer 5.14 Note a
shelf 4.39
shorts 4.33
should, in if-clauses 11.25 Note b
putative 3.42 ; $11.17,49 ; 12.12,17,25$
see also: shall/should
show 12.28, 31
sick 5.18 Note
similarly, additive 8.10
simple finite verb phrase 3.16
simple past 3.21
simple preposition 6.4
simple present 3.20
simple sentence 7.1
simple subordinators 11.7
simply, restrictive $8.10 f$
since, conjunction $11.7,21,29 f, 47$;
preposition and adverb $6.23 ; 8.34$
singular 4.31-57, 82; 7.18-30
situational reference 4.20
smell 12.23
so, conjunct $8.53 ; 9.10,13$
intensifier $5.5,27 ; 14.34$
intensifier with anaphoric reference 10.41
pro-form 2.17
pro-form for clause 10.35
pro-form for predication 10.30-35
so as 11.7
so ... as 11.7, 43
so (...) do 10.30-34
so long as 11.7, 24
so that 9.9-15
so (...) (that) 11.7, $31 f, 43$
solo 4.56
some 7.35; 10.27; determiner 4.5
some-series, pronoun 4.92; 7.35
sooner than 11.7, 34
sort of 5.27 Note $b ; 8.16 ; 13.39$
South African English 1.7
spaghetti 4.56
species 4.46
specific reference $4.16 f, 20-22$
spectacles 4.33
spelling 1.4; 3.5-9; 4.36
spirits 4.34
'split infinitive' 11.4 Note $b$
spring, noun 4.21
stadium 4.50
stairs 4.34
Standard English 1.4-7
statal passive 12.15 Note $b$
statement 7.43
stative $2.8 f, 16$; adjectives 5.19 ; verbs 3.27 ;
4.16; 12.21
still, time adjunct $7.35 ; 8.40$
stimulus 4.48
stone, zero plural 4.45
stratum 4.50
street, names in 4.28
strictly (speaking) 10.22
structural compensation 14.31
structural parallelism and sentence connection 10.47
style 11.4, 35 ; and the noun phrase 13.5-8
style disjuncts 8.47-48, as sentence connecters 10.14, 22
subject $2.2,5 ; 7.2,5,8-15$
adjuncts $8.22 f$
anticipatory 14.24
as theme 14.10
case in -ing clauses 11.18; 12.11, 24
complement 2.6; $7.2 f, 6 ; 12.8 f$
implied 11.35
subject-operator and subject-verb inversion
see inversion
subjective genitive 4.70
subjunctive 3.38 ; 7.63 ; 11.25 Note $b, 48 ; 12.12$, 17, 25
subordinate clause 2.5; 11.1
subordinating conjunction see subordinator
subordination 11.1
indicators of 11.6-9
subordinator 9.8-15, 26; $11.7 f$
substitution and new information 14.7; and sentence connection 10.25-35
substitution apposition 9.43 Notes $a$ and $b$
such 10.38, 41
emphatic 5.27; 14.34
such as 9.39
such ... as 11.7, 43; 13.9
such (...) (that) 117, 43
suds 4.34
summation plurals 4.33
summative conjuncts $8.50 ; 10.14$
summer 4.21
sunrise/sunset 4.21
superordinate clause 111
supper 4.21
supplementing clause 9.5
suppose 11.48
supposing (that) 117
surroundings 4.34
suspenders 4.33
syllabus 4.48
symposium 4.50
syndetic coordination 9.7
synopsis 4.52
tableau 4.54
tag 14.11; see also noun-phrase tag
tag question 7.39, $47 f ; 11.56$
tag statement 14.36
tea 4.21
teach 12.28
tell 10.35; 12.28, 31
tempo 4.56
temporal clause see adverbial clause of time
temporal names 4.26
'temporal' subject 7.12
temporal transition conjuncts $8.50 ; 10.5$
'temporary' modification 13.4
tense 3.18-36, 46; back-shift $11.51 f$; see also time
terminus 4.48
than 11.37-41
thanks 4.34
that-clause 6.2; 11.13; 12.12, 14
as indirect statement $11.50,54$
with putative should 11.49
with transfer of negation 11.56
that, conjunction 9.10 Note; 11.9, 31
that, demonstrative pronoun $4.90 ; 10.39$
determiner 4.5
that - cont
for noun phrase reference 10.38
for sentence reference 10.37
intensifier with anaphoric reference 10.41
omitted in existential sentence 14.21
pro-form for place or time adjunct 10.28
relative 4.88; $13.8 f$
the, determiner 4.5, 16-30; for noun phrase
reference 10.38
the above 10.37
the following 10.37
the foregoing 10.37
the same, pro-form 10.27
the ... the, correlative 11.7, 34
their(s) $4.79 f, 87$
them $4.79 f$
theme 2.2; 14.10-18; marked 14.11 unmarked 14.10; with fronting 14.18
themselves $4.84 f$
then, additive conjunct 10.10 ; pro-form 10.28
time adjunct 9.13
there, existential subject 14.19-21
there, idiomatic uses of 8.28 Note $a$
pro-form for place adjunct 10.28
these, determiner 4.5; pronoun 4.90; 10.38
thesis 4.52
they $4.79 f ; 7.30$
thief 4.39
this, determiner 4.5
demonstrative pronoun 4.90; 10.39
for noun phrase reference 10.38
for sentence reference 10.37
this way 10.38
those, determinar 4.5
demonstrative pronoun 4.90; $10.38 f$
for noun phrase reference 10.38
though, conjunct 8.51, 53
conjunction 9.10 Note, 26 Note; 11.7, 26
thousand 4.45, 97
through(out) 6.17, 22
thus, for sentence reference 10.37
tights 4.33
till, preposition 6.23
conjunction 11.7, 21, 45
time 3.18-24
time adjectives and sentence connection $10.5 f$
time adjuncts $8.30-43,45$; extended scope of 9.27
time adverb as postmodifier of noun phrase 5.28
time adverbials 2.9 ; and aspect 3.22 ; and sentence connection $10.5 f$
time duration adjuncts 8.34
time frequency adjuncts 8.35-38
and quantifiers 8.38
time relationship and sentence connection 10.5-7
time when adjuncts 8.31-33
times of the day and night 4.21
titles, personal 4.25; 7.32
to $6.8,20,22,24,29,45 ; 7.6$
to-infinitive clause $11.3,31,35,44$
as adjective complementation 12.13
as direct object 12.19, $21 f, 32$
in existential sentence 14.20
nominal 11.17
with subject $12.21 f, 24$
without subject 12.19
to begin with 10.10
to conclude 10.10
to start with 10.10
tomato 4.36
ton, zero plural 4.45
tone unit 14.2
tongs 4.33
too, additive adjunct $7.35 ; 8.10 ; 10.12$; inten-
sifier $5.5,31 ; 11.42$
tooth 4.40
tornado 4.36
torpedo 4.36
toward(s) 6.14
town 4.21
train 4.21
transferred negation 11.56
transformational relations between clause types $7.5 f$
transitional conjuncts 8.50; 10.13
transitive verb $2.7 ; 7.2,10 ; 12.3-6,14,28$
troops 4.34
tropics 4.34
trousers 4.33
trout 4.44
truth 4.39
turn, copula 12.9 Note a
tweezers 4.33
twice, predeterminer 4.8
twilight 4.21
ultimatum 4.50
'unattached' participle 11.35
uncle 4.25
under 6.10-12, 20
underneath 6.10-12
unique reference $4.23-30$
university 4.21, 28 Note
unless $11.24 f$
unmarked theme see theme
unreal condition 11.25
‘unrelated’ participle 11.35
until, conjunction 11.7, 21, 45; preposition $6.23 f$; 8.34 Note $c$
unwell, non-attributive 5.18
up $6.14 f, 20$
up to 6.24
upon 6.7 Note
us 4.79 f
used to $3.14 f, 21,46$
utter 5.14 Note $a$
valuables 4.34
variable nouns 4.36-57
've see have
verb $2.5,7,12,15 f ; 3.1$; multi-word 12.2-6, 26
verb-adjective combinations 12.26
verb phrase 2.11 ; $3.16 f$
in dependent clause 11.45 ff
verbless clause 11.5; adjective $5.9 f$; adverbial
9.3, 45 Note; $11.35 f$; nominal 11.19; with
subject 12.26
vermin 4.35
vertebra 4.49
very 5.2, 14, 23, 33, 39, 42 Note $b, 46 f$
very, for noun phrase reference 10.38
veto 4.36
viewpoint adjuncts $8.7,46$
viewpoint adverbs 5.23
virtuoso 4.56
vocabulary 1.5
vocative $7.31 f, 58$
voice and participial premodification 13.24
26-29; transformation 7.5; see also passive
volcano 4.36
volition $3.42 f$
volitional adjectives $12.12 f$
volitional adjuncts 8.22
volitional verbs $12.17,21 f, 25$
vortex 4.51
wages 4.34
want 12.19, 21 Note
watch 12.23
we $4.79 f, 82$
well, comparison of 5.35 Note
emphasizer 8.13 Note a
initiator 5.49
intensifier $5.24 f$
were, subjunctive 11.46
wh-element as theme 14.10
wh-forms 2.18; in cleft sentences 14.16; in relative clauses $13.5-8$
wh-interrogative clause $11.14,53 ; 12.12$
wh-question 2.18; 6.3; $7.51 f$
wharf 4.39
what, determiner 4.5 ; exclamatory 5.27 ; 7.62; interrogative pronoun 4.89; 7.51; relative pronoun 4.88
whatever 11.28, 45
when, conjunction 11.7, 21, 45, 47;
interrogative 7.51 ; relative 13.6
whenever, conjunction 11.21, 45
where, conjunction 117,22 ; interrogative 7.52, relative 13.6
whereas 11.26
wherever, conjunction 11.22
whether 12.12, 17
whether ... or 11.7
which, determiner 4.5 ; for sentence reference 10.37 Note; interrogative pronoun 4.89; relative pronoun 4.88; $13.6 f, 10$
while 11 7, 21, 26
who, interrogative 4.89; 7.51
relative $4.88 ; 13.7,10$
who and whom, relative $13.5,10$
whoever 11.16, 28
whom, interrogative 4.89; 7.51
relative 4.88, 13.5-10
whose, determiner 4.5 ; interrogative 4.89; 7.51, relative 4.88; 13.5
why 7.51, relative 13.6
wife 4.39
will/would 3.14, 21, 36, 43, 46; 7.42; 11.45 Note
winter 4.21
wish 12.28
with 6.31-33, 35-37, 43; and postmodification
13.18; introducing verbless or non-finite clause $6.37 ; 11.3,5$
with reference to 6.39
with regard to 6.39
with the exception of 6.40
within 6.7 .Note
without $6.32,35,37$
without introducing verbless or non-finite clauses 6.37
wits 4.34
wolf 4.39
woman 4.40
won't 3.14; 7.42; see also will/would
word 2.12
works 4.46
worse, worst 5.35, 37
would see will
would rather 3.43 Note
wreath 4.39
yes, reaction signal 10.44
yes-no interrogative clause 11.15
question 2.19; 7.44-50, 53, 55
yet, conjunct 8.53 ; 9.10, 13, 26 Note; time adjunct 7.35; 8.40
yoke, zero plural 4.45
you $4.80,82,7.31 \mathrm{f}, 58$
your(s) 4.80, 87
yourself/yourselves $4.84 f$
youth 4.35, 39
zero article $4.5,21 f$
zero plural 4.42-46
zero relative $13.7 f$
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[^0]:    Note
    A few prepositions (chiefly in and out of can operate in an apparently converse relationship. For example:

[^1]:    We would have much preferred/appreciated her taking that position
    They will much admire/regret your methods

[^2]:    Note
    Several other types of ellipsis found only in familiar style in speech involve particular lexical items:
    (1) ellipsis of an article: (The) Fact is we don't know what to do;
    (2) ellipsis of a preposition: (Of) Course he's there; ellipsis that includes part of a word:
    'Fraid ( = I'm afraid) I won't be there. In contrast to the last example, there are many instances where the clipped form of a word is used in all but the most formal styles: (tele)phone, photo(graph).

[^3]:    *Old, young men were invited
    Honest, clever students always succeed

[^4]:    * agentials - verbal nouns (i. e. derived from verbs) with the suffix -er: worker, teacher, runner.

[^5]:    Note
    Cf the semantic versatility noted in finite non-restrictive relative clauses, 13.10.

[^6]:    *The violently quarrel over plans for the future
    Their safe arrival in Carro

[^7]:    Note
    Some adverbials (mainly disjuncts and conjuncts) appear characteristically in initial position, and so should not be accorded thematic status at all. However, certain adjuncts, especially those which would otherwise immediately follow an intransitive or intensive verb, may be treated as 'marked theme' when placed initially. Furthermore, adjustment of end-focus may also involve the initial placing of adverbials.

